Handbook of Research on Science Teacher Education



Edited by Julie A. Luft and M. Gail Jones



HANDBOOK OF RESEARCH ON SCIENCE TEACHER EDUCATION

This groundbreaking handbook offers a contemporary and thorough review of research relating directly to the preparation, induction, and career long professional learning of K–12 science teachers.

Through critical and concise chapters, this volume provides essential insights into science teacher education that range from their learning as individuals to the programs that cultivate their knowledge and practices. Each chapter is a current review of research that depicts the area and then points to empirically based conclusions or suggestions for science teacher educators or educational researchers. Issues associated with equity are embedded within each chapter. Drawing on the work of over 100 contributors from across the globe, this handbook has 35 chapters that cover established, emergent, diverse, and pioneering areas of research, including:

- Research methods and methodologies in science teacher education, including discussions of the purpose of science teacher education research and equitable perspectives;
- Formal and informal teacher education programs that span from early childhood educators to the complexity of preparation, to the role of informal settings such as museums;
- Continuous professional learning of science teachers that supports building cultural responsiveness and teacher leadership;
- Core topics in science teacher education that focus on teacher knowledge, educative curricula, and working with all students; and
- Emerging areas in science teacher education such as STEM education, global education, and identity development.

This comprehensive, in-depth text will be central to the work of science teacher educators, researchers in the field of science education, and all those who work closely with science teachers.

Julie A. Luft is Distinguished Research Professor, Athletic Association Professor of Mathematics and Science Education, and Adjunct Professor of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology at the University of Georgia, USA.

M. Gail Jones is Alumni Distinguished Graduate Professor of Science Education and Senior Research Fellow at the Friday Institute for Educational Innovation at North Carolina State University, USA.



HANDBOOK OF RESEARCH ON SCIENCE TEACHER EDUCATION

Edited by Julie A. Luft and M. Gail Jones



Cover image: © Getty Images

First published 2022 by Routledge 605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158

and by Routledge 4 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2022 selection and editorial matter, Julie A. Luft and M. Gail Jones; individual chapters, the contributors

The right of Julie A. Luft and M. Gail Jones to be identified as the authors of the editorial material, and of the authors for their individual chapters, has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data Names: Luft, Julie, editor. | Jones, M. Gail, 1955- editor. Title: Handbook of research on science teacher education / edited by Julie A. Luft and M. Gail Jones. Description: New York, NY : Routledge, 2022. | Includes bibliographical references and index. Identifiers: LCCN 2021050930 | ISBN 9780367565831 (hardcover) | ISBN 9780367565824 (paperback) | ISBN 9781003098478 (ebook) Subjects: LCSH: Science teachers—Tranining of. | Science—Study and teaching. Classification: LCC Q181 .H1495 2022 | DDC 507.1/2—dc23/eng/20211217

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2021050930

ISBN: 9780367565831 (hbk) ISBN: 9780367565824 (pbk) ISBN: 9781003098478 (ebk)

DOI: 10.4324/9781003098478

Typeset in Bembo by Apex CoVantage, LLC This book is dedicated to science teachers who graciously opened and open their classrooms to collaborate with science teacher educators and researchers. Every time we work together, we learn together.

Advisory Committee for the Handbook of Research on Science Teacher Education

Lucy Avraamidou – University of Groningen, Netherlands Julie A. Bianchini – University of California at Santa Barbara, USA Elizabeth A. Davis – University of Michigan, USA Linda Hobbs – Deakin University, Australia Carla C. Johnson – North Carolina State University, USA Jing Lin – Beijing Normal University, China Aik-Ling Tan – National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore Fred Lubben – The University of York, UK and South Africa Dana Vedder-Weiss – Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Israel

Project Assistants

José Manuel Pavez, Lead Assistant, University of Georgia, USA Joe DeLuca, University of Georgia, USA Kayla Flanagan, University of Georgia, USA

CONTENTS

List of Contributors Preface Acknowledgments		xi xxxvi xxxix	
SEC Res Sec	CTION 1 search in Science Teacher Education tion Editor: Julie A. Luft	1	
1	The Importance of Research in Science Teacher Education Sibel Erduran and Liam Guilfoyle	5	
2	The Contribution of Large Educational Surveys to Science Teacher Education Research Robert H. Tai, Joseph A. Taylor, Vijay Reddy, and Eric R. Banilower	16	
3	Qualitatively Conducting Teacher Education Research Felicia Moore Mensah and Jessica L. Chen	28	
4	Mixed Methods Research on Science Teacher Education Gayle A. Buck and Francesca A. Williamson	41	
5	Towards Justice: Designing for a Rightful Presence as a Lens for Science Teacher Education Research Angela Calabrese-Barton, Edna Tan, Kathleen Schenkel, and Aerin W. Benavides	52	

SECTION 2 Initial Science Teacher Education – Core Areas Section Editor: Sarah J. Carrier		65
6	Preparing Early Childhood Teachers to Support Young Children's Equitable Science Sensemaking Carla Zembal-Saul, Christina Siry, Sabela F. Monteira, and Frances Nebus Bose	69
7	Well-Started Beginners: Preparing Elementary Teachers for Rigorous, Consequential, Just, and Equitable Science Teaching Elizabeth A. Davis and Christa Haverly	83
8	Research on Secondary Science Teacher Preparation Todd Campbell, Ron Gray, Xavier Fazio, and Jan van Driel	97
9	Understanding the Role of Field Experiences in Preservice Science Teacher Preparation David Stroupe	119
10	Recent Trends in Science Education Research on Mentoring Preservice Teachers Leslie U. Bradbury	132
11	Alternative Pathways to Science Teaching: Approaches and Impacts Elizabeth Edmondson, Alison Dossick, Smadar Donitsa-Schmidt, Yehudit Judy Dori, Christine Ure, and Christel Balck	145
SEC Ini Sec	CTION 3 tial Teacher Preparation – Situated Aspects ction Editor: David F. Jackson	159
12	Preservice Science Teachers Education Around the Globe: Trends, Challenges, and Future Directions Hernán Cofré, Claudia Vergara, David Santibáñez, and José Manuel Pavez	163
13	Partnerships in K–12 Preservice Science Teacher Education Andrew Gilbert and Linda Hobbs	178
14	The Magic of Informal Settings: A Literature Review of Partnerships and Collaborations that Support Preservice Science Teacher Education Across the Globe Natasha Cooke-Nieves, Jamie Wallace, Preeti Gupta, and Elaine Howes	189

15	Discursive Practices in Initial Science Teacher Education Mercè Izquierdo, Ainoa Marzábal, Cristian Merino, Valeria Cabello, Patricia Moreira, Luigi Cuellar, Virginia Delgado, Franklin Manrique, and Macarena Soto	203
16	The Role of Emerging Technologies in Science Teacher Preparation Gina Childers and Rebecca Hite	218
17	Policy in K–12 Science Teacher Preparation: Uniformity and Diversity from International Perspectives Cheng Liu, Wenyuan Yang, and Enshan Liu	231
SEC Sci Sec	CTION 4 ence Teacher Continuing Professional Development ction Editor: Lauren Madden	243
18	The Learning Opportunities of Newly Hired Teachers of Science Shannon L. Navy, Julie A. Luft, and Audrey Msimanga	245
19	Science Teacher Leadership: The Current Landscape and Paths Forward Brooke A. Whitworth, Julianne A. Wenner, and Dorit Tubin	257
20	Professional Development of Science Teachers for Inquiry Instruction Umesh Ramnarain, Daniel Capps, and Ying-Shao Hsu	273
21	A Literature Review of Global Perspectives on the Professional Development of Culturally Responsive Science Teachers Julie C. Brown, Rose M. Pringle, and Nihat Kotluk	287
22	Professional Learning Communities Across Science Teachers' Careers: The Importance of Differentiating Learning Ron Blonder and Vicki Vescio	300
23	Digital Technologies and Professional Learning of Science Teachers: A Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) Perspective Seng Chee Tan, Tang Wee Teo, and Chin-Chung Tsai	313
SEC Sci Sec	CTION 5 ence Teacher Education – Central Tenets ction Editor: Soonhye Park	325
24	Science Teacher Professional Knowledge and Its Relationship to High-Quality Science Instruction Vanessa Kind, Soonhye Park, and Kennedy Kam Ho Chan	329

25	Indigenous Knowledge in Science Education: Implications for Teacher Education Josef de Beer, Neal Petersen, and Meshach Ogunniyi	340
26	Action Research: A Promising Strategy for Science Teacher Education Allan Feldman, Nadja Belova, Ingo Eilks, Marika Kapanadze, Rachel Mamlok-Naaman, Franz Rauch, and Mehmet Fatih Taşar	352
27	Including All Learners Through Science Teacher Education Michele Hollingsworth Koomen, Sami Kahn, and Teresa Shume	363
28	The Role of Teacher Education in Teaching Science to Emergent Bilingual Learners Edward G. Lyon and Sara Tolbert	376
29	Educative Curriculum Materials and Their Role in the Learning of Science Teachers Melina Furman, Mariana Luzuriaga, Margarita Gómez, and Mauricio Duque	388
SEC Scie Sec	TION 6 ence Teacher Education – Emerging Areas tion Editor: Rachel Mamlok-Naaman	399
30	Learning to Teach Controversial Topics Michael J. Reiss	403
31	Professional Identity as a Framework for Science Teacher Education and Professional Development Dana Vedder-Weiss	414
31 32	Professional Identity as a Framework for Science Teacher Education and Professional Development Dana Vedder-Weiss Emotion and Science Teacher Education Alberto Bellocchi and Arnau Amat	414 426
313233	 Professional Identity as a Framework for Science Teacher Education and Professional Development Dana Vedder-Weiss Emotion and Science Teacher Education Alberto Bellocchi and Arnau Amat Learning to Teach Science From a Contextualized Stance Michael Giamellaro, Kassandra L'Heureux, Cory Buxton, Marie-Claude Beaudry, Jean-Philippe Ayotte-Beaudet, and Talal Alajmi 	414 426 439
31323334	Professional Identity as a Framework for Science Teacher Education and Professional Development Dana Vedder-Weiss Emotion and Science Teacher Education Alberto Bellocchi and Arnau Amat Learning to Teach Science From a Contextualized Stance Michael Giamellaro, Kassandra L'Heureux, Cory Buxton, Marie-Claude Beaudry, Jean-Philippe Ayotte-Beaudet, and Talal Alajmi Learning in and Through Researcher-Teacher Collaboration Eve Manz, Sara C. Heredia, Carrie D. Allen, and William R. Penuel	414 426 439 452

CONTRIBUTORS

Talal Alajmi is a doctoral student in the Science and Mathematics Education Program at Oregon State University, USA. Prior to OSU, Talal completed two graduate degrees: one from the University of Edinburgh in science (biochemistry) communication and public engagement, and the most recent one from the University of Oregon in educational leadership. Talal's focus is in exploring science education through authenticity and context and utilizing this framework to enhance and improve students' knowledge and interest in science. Since enrolling at OSU in 2018, Talal has worked with an array of students from different backgrounds and believes that each learner is unique and deserves an engaging and collaborative environment to grow intellectually and socially. In addition to his obligations as a PhD student, Talal teaches several courses at OSU, including Inquiry in Science Education, Designing Learning Environments: Physical Dimensions of Informal Learning, and Examining Learner's Own Ideas: Personal Dimensions of Informal Learning.

Carrie D. Allen is Assistant Professor of Learning Sciences at the University of North Texas, USA. Her research aims to develop understanding of the various processes through which equity- and justice-oriented reform efforts within STEM education become understood, experienced, responded to, and enacted in order to inform the approach to designing learning opportunities for both teachers and students. She considers how espoused commitments to equity and instructional reform take on meaning and become consequential for youth, families, and educators in local practice. Toward these ends, she employs research designs that center the perspectives of youth and educators toward bringing about transformative learning and institutional change within the education system. Some of her current work explores the relationships among local policy, educators' organizational contexts, and their efforts to implement reform-based and equity-oriented pedagogies.

Arnau Amat is Professor of Education and Coordinator of the Master's Degree in Innovation in Specific Didactics at the Universitat de Vic – Universitat Central de Catalunya, Catalonia, Spain. His research focuses on sociocultural approaches in three different areas: involving the community in the school through science education, environmental education, and science teacher education. Prior to that he worked as environmental educator for various private companies and education authorities and as a science teacher in high school.

Jean-Philippe Ayotte-Beaudet is Director of, and an instructor in, the Research Center on Science Teaching and Learning in the Department of PreSchool and Primary Education at the University of Sherbrooke, Canada. His research focuses on contextualization of science learning and currently explores the impact of learning science in the context of the transfer of learning to everyday life. He is also interested in outdoor teaching and learning in schools' immediate surroundings, conducting research on the impact of outdoor education in schools' immediate surroundings on learning and on the implementation of more physically active behaviors. Ayotte-Beaudet has examined the role of situational interest in science learning.

Christel Balck is a lecturer and researcher in physics, technology, and STEM education at Odisee University of Applied Sciences in Flanders, Belgium. She obtained a master's degree in Physics at Antwerp University, Belgium, and taught secondary science and math from 1990 to 2000. From 2013 to 2015 she was a lecturer at the Erasmus Mundus program STETTIN (Science and Technology Education Teacher Training International Network). Her research interests include using preconceptions in science and technology to facilitate learning (Factory of Ideas research project), the implementation of thinking strategies in the didactics of STEM projects (STEM3D, from thinking to doing through dialogue in STEM projects) and culturally responsive teaching in STEM (Dialogo project). Through intense cooperation with in-service teachers , she aims to guarantee the transfer of research results in the practice of STEM teaching. She presented research results at national and international conferences VLHORA, VELON, VELOV ESERA, and NARST.

Eric R. Banilower is Vice President of Horizon Research, Inc., USA. Mr. Banilower has been part of the science education system in the United States for over 30 years, starting as a high school science teacher. Since 1998, he has worked at Horizon Research on a wide variety of evaluation and research projects focused on STEM education. He has particular expertise in teacher professional learning, research design, and measurement. His work has also included numerous large-scale science education program evaluations. He has also led the 2012 and 2018 iterations of the National Survey of Science and Mathematics Education which periodically gathers data about key aspects of the K–12 science and mathematics education system in the United States.

Marie-Claude Beaudry is a graduate student at the University of Sherbrooke, Canada. Beaudry is interested in the contextualization of outdoor teaching and learning in schools. Her research aims to better understand how teachers contextualize their teaching of outdoor science near the school. By studying different practices, she hopes to develop an approach that would help teacher candidates and teachers contextualize science learning in the immediate school environment. Her work is supervised by Professor Jean-Philippe Ayotte-Beaudet. She worked for about 10 years as an elementary school teacher and is now a lecturer at the University of Sherbrooke in didactics of science (BSc) and in outdoor science education (MSc).

Alberto Bellocchi is Associate Professor of Education at the Queensland University of Technology, Australia. He has established and leads the Studies of Emotion and Affect in Education Laboratory (SEAELs), a group of international education scholars interested in understanding the role of emotions, social bonds, and affect in school and university educational contexts. Alberto has published widely about emotions and social bonds in school and preservice teacher education and on methods and theories for understanding emotions during interactions. He is the lead editor of the collection *Exploring Emotions, Aesthetics, and Wellbeing in Science Education Research* (Springer) and co-editor of the collection *Emotions in Late Modernity* (Routledge).

Nadja Belova is a postdoctoral researcher at the Institute for Science Education (Chemistry Education Group) at the University of Bremen, Germany. Dr. Belova's doctoral work focused on advertising in science education. After her PhD, she worked as a grammar school teacher for two years before rejoining the science education group. Her main research focus is media literacy in the science classroom. In this context, she explicitly addresses modern media types such as social media and has designed and published a number of curricular innovations involving different types of media using action research.

Aerin W. Benavides is an Adjunct Research Assistant Professor at the University of North Carolina at Greensboro, USA. She is also a certified environmental educator and a bilingual STEM teacher educator. Dr. Benavides's environmental sustainability-minded STEM education inter-institutional research focuses on seeking social justice in education for marginalized learners by incorporating community-based issues and solutions into science education. Dr. Benavides's collaborative work with communities, on projects funded by the National Science Foundation, include investigating youth-engagement in outdoor environmental science activities and middle-school teachers and students taking up engineering for sustainable communities in classrooms. Her research has been published in *Science Education*, among others.

Ron Blonder is Professor in the Department of Science Teaching at the Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel. She is Head of the chemistry group and Head of the Rothschild-Weizmann Master's Program for Excellence in Mathematics and Science Teaching. Her research focuses on chemistry teachers' professional development in the context of contemporary science using innovative technological tools and environments. She has published over 100 peer-reviewed and invited papers and book chapters in which she has explored chemistry teachers' self-efficacy beliefs and knowledge development when teachers learn contemporary research in chemistry (mainly nanochemistry) and when they incorporate innovative pedagogies in their chemistry teaching. She has also investigated differentiated instruction in heterogeneous chemistry classes with and without the aid of technology to promote personalization in chemistry teachers' professional development in different frameworks including PLCs.

Frances Nebus Bose is a postdoctoral research associate and educator in the College of Education at the Pennsylvania State University, USA, where she also received a PhD in curriculum and instruction. Her research and teaching center on the advocacy of young bilingual/multilingual children for whom English is an additional language in the context of US schools. She is particularly interested in how science, technology, and engineering interactions offer unique opportunities for engaging and connecting within linguistically and culturally diverse classrooms and schools. Frances is a former bilingual preschool and elementary English as a Second language teacher and has taught in the mainland United States, Puerto Rico, China, and Italy.

Leslie U. Bradbury is Professor in the Department of Curriculum and Instruction at Appalachian State University, USA. Dr. Bradbury earned her PhD in science education at the University of Georgia. Her research interests include the use of multiple modes of learning in science, the integration of science with other content areas, and new teacher mentoring. She has published articles related to mentoring in the journals *Science Education, Journal of Science Teacher Education*, and *Teaching and Teacher Education*. Dr. Bradbury has received an Outstanding Teaching Award from the Reich College of Education and the Appalachian State University College Excellence in Teaching Award.

Julie C. Brown is Associate Professor in Science Education in the School of Teaching and Learning at the University of Florida, USA. Her work focuses on advancing equitable STEM learning environments by preparing culturally responsive science educators and designing equitable STEM instructional tools. Dr. Brown's research examines how science educators learn to enact

cultural responsiveness for the students they serve through their attitudes, classroom practices, and designed curricula. She employs design-based research methods to devise, assess, and revise theoretically grounded interventions that are responsive to specific, contextualized problems. As a design researcher, Dr. Brown has produced theoretical insights for promoting equitable science teaching and positive impacts for partnering communities.

Gayle A. Buck is Associate Dean for Research and Professor in the School of Education, Indiana University, Bloomington, USA. Dr. Buck's scholarship focuses on relationships between learners, teachers, communities, and science. Her research objective is to further enhance our understanding of the complexity of teaching science to an increasingly diverse student population. To that end, she has developed a research agenda that focuses on: (1) student populations traditionally underserved by science education; (2) neglected epistemological assumptions in science teaching; and (3) pragmatic, participatory, and mixed approaches to educational research. She has published and presented many research studies on science teaching and learning in venues such as *Journal of Science Teacher Education, Studying Teacher Education, Science Education,* and *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, as well as in books such as *Enhancing Professional Knowledge of Pre-Service Science Teacher Education by Self-Study Research, Moving the Equity Agenda Forward*, and *Research Based Undergraduate Science Teaching*.

Cory Buxton is Program Chair for Science and Mathematics Education at Oregon State University, USA. His research fosters more equitable science learning opportunities for all students, and especially for multilingual learners, by bringing together teacher professional learning and family engagement experiences in both school-based and out-of-school settings. His most recent research is on creating culturally and linguistically sustaining learning spaces where students, parents, teachers, and researchers can engage together as co-learners while strengthening their academic relationships, cultural connections, cumulative science knowledge building, and ownership of the language and practices of science. Buxton's research has been funded by the National Science Foundation, the U.S. Department of Education, and several private foundations.

Valeria Cabello is Assistant Professor in the Department of Learning and Development, of the Faculty of Education at the Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. She is a psychologist and holds a MPhil in educational psychology from Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile. She obtained her PhD in educational psychology at the University of Dundee (Scotland, United Kingdom). Currently, her research focuses on science learning with two emphases: how student teachers learn to explain in the science classroom, and how students develop thinking processes in context-based STEAM education. She collaborates with two research centers of excellence: the Center for Policies and Practices in Education (CEPPE UC), and the Research Center for Integrated Disaster Risk Management (CIGIDEN).

Angela Calabrese-Barton is Professor in the Educational Studies Department at the University of Michigan, USA. Dr. Calabrese-Barton's research focuses on issues of equity and justice in STEM education in school and community settings. A former chemistry teacher, she takes an historicized and future-oriented ecological and participatory approach to give witness to and learn with school and community partners. She studies approaches to teaching and learning that center on what matters to people in the here-and-now and towards imagined social futures; and that disrupt/transform injustices that operate in classrooms. Current funded projects include collaborative co-design of justice-oriented science pedagogies, the design of equitable and consequential STEM-rich making programs, and youths' STEM learning and action-taking in everyday living in a multi-pandemic. She has served as a WT Grant Foundation Distinguished Fellow and is a Fellow of the American

Education Research Association. She is an Editor of the American Educational Research Journal and former Editor of the Journal of Research in Science Teaching.

Todd Campbell is Department Head of Curriculum and Instruction and Professor of Science Education in the Neag School of Education at the University of Connecticut, USA. His research focuses on cultivating imaginative and equitable representations of STEM activity. This is accomplished in formal science learning environments through partnering with preservice and in-service science teachers and leaders to collaboratively focus on supporting student use of modeling as an anchoring epistemic practice to reason about events that happen in the natural world. Currently, he is Co-Editor in Chief of the *Journal of Science Teacher Education*.

Daniel Capps is Associate Professor of Science Education at the University of Georgia, USA. His research focuses on supporting K–12 teachers and students in understanding more about what science is and the many ways it is practiced. Related strands of his research program investigate teacher learning and teacher change connected with reform-based educational practices and the design of instructional experiences to support students in learning about inquiry and the nature of science. More recently, he has become interested in designing instructional experiences around modeling in order to position big ideas in the curriculum as tools for understanding challenging science content.

Sarah J. Carrier is Distinguished Graduate Professor of Science Education in the Department of Teacher Education and Learning Sciences at North Carolina State University, USA. She has been involved in elementary science education initially as an elementary school teacher and as a teacher educator and mentor for undergraduate and graduate students. Her research goals have focused on elementary teachers and students learning about the wonders of science and the natural world in formal and informal settings. Her research on environmental education and outdoor learning complements her examinations of teachers' developing identities as teachers of science and using the language of science for communication and sensemaking. She is an Editor for the *International Journal of Science Teacher Education*, and as an Associate Editor for the *Electronic Journal of Science Education*. She has been honored to serve as a section editor for *Handbook of Research on Science Teacher Education*.

Kennedy Kam Ho Chan is Assistant Professor at the University of Hong Kong. Dr. Chan's research interests include science teacher expertise and the use of video in teacher education. He has been an invited participant of the second PCK summit in the Netherlands and a recipient of various research awards and fellowships, including the East-Asian Association for Science Education Young Scholar Award and the Doris Zimmern HKU-Cambridge Hughes Hall Fellowship (2019–2020). He practices research-led teaching and is a recognized exemplary instructor. He is a Fellow of The Higher Education Academy and has won multiple teaching awards, including the 2017 University Early Career Teaching Award and the 2017 Student-Led University Teaching Feedback Award.

Jessica L. Chen is a postdoctoral fellow at Teachers College, Columbia University, New York, USA. Dr. Chen's research focuses on issues of equity, diversity, and social justice in science teacher education. Her work examines the science teacher identity and agency of elementary teachers as they participate in professional development and preservice teacher preparation programs. Her research has been published in the *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, and she has contributed several book chapters. She has been invited to present her research at AERA and NARST conferences. She currently teaches elementary preservice science methods courses. She received the Teachers College Doctoral Fellowship and Doctoral Dissertation Grant during her graduate studies.

Gina Childers is Assistant Professor of STEM Education in the Department of Curriculum and Instruction at Texas Tech University, USA. Her research interests include the investigation of remote and virtual technologies utilization in science education as well as the exploration of STEM within informal, nonformal, and community-based learning environments such as science cafés, science fiction conventions, and science festivals. Dr. Childers currently serves on the leadership team for the Southeastern Association for Science Teacher Education (SASTE) and on the *International Journal of Science Education* editorial board.

Hernán Cofré is Professor of Science Education in the Institute of Biology at Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Valparaíso, Chile. He obtained the degree of doctor of biological sciences in 2004 at the Pontificia Universidad Católica of Chile and has a postdoctoral experience in science education in the Department of Mathematics and Science Education at the Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, USA (2012). He is an Editor for *Journal of Science Teacher Education* and *Studies in Science Education*. His research interests focus on teaching and understanding the nature of science and the theory of evolution, and the professional development of biology teachers. He has been a guest presenter in a plenary session at NARST (2015) and has published more than 30 scientific articles in national and international journals. He is currently engaged in two funded projects about pedagogical content knowledge, nature of science, scientific argumentation, and climate change education.

Natasha Cooke-Nieves is Senior Specialist in Science and Teacher Education at the American Museum of Natural History, USA. Dr. Cooke-Nieves serves as Clinical Supervisor and Professor in the Masters of Arts in Teaching program for earth science teachers at the Richard Gilder Graduate School. Prior to this, she served as Instructional Specialist for Math and Science for a network of 27 New York City public schools, where she provided professional development for principals, administrators, and teachers in high-needs schools on differentiation and exemplary teaching practices. She also held positions as a science coach and science teacher in an elementary school. She holds a bachelor's degree in biopsychology from Vassar College and a master's degree in education from Brooklyn College at the City University of New York, and she earned a doctoral degree in science education from Columbia University Teacher's College.

Luigi Cuellar is Associate Professor in the Faculty of Education at the Universidad Católica de la Santísima Concepción, Chile. He obtained a degree of chemistry teacher at the Universidad Distrital de Bogotá (Colombia) and a PhD in science education at the Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. His research interests are science education at the school level, with special emphasis on the history of science, and teacher education for the development of scientific competences in secondary education. He is currently Coordinator of the Scientific Inquiry Program for Science Education (ICEC) of the Chilean Ministry of Education, in the Biobío Region.

Elizabeth A. Davis is Professor at the University of Michigan School of Education, USA. Her research focuses on beginning and experienced elementary teachers, teachers learning to engage in rigorous, consequential, and equitable science teaching, and the roles of curriculum materials and practice-based teacher education in promoting teacher learning. She was Chair of the Elementary Teacher Education Program at the University of Michigan for 4 years and helped lead a major redesign of this practice-based program. Davis received the Presidential Early Career Award for Scientists and Engineers at the White House in 2002. She has served on National Research Council committees focused on teacher learning and instructional materials, and she currently chairs the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine committee on enhancing science and engineering in preschool through elementary education. Davis earned her PhD in education in mathematics, science, and technology from the University of California, Berkeley.

Josef de Beer is Research Professor at North-West University, South Africa. His main research focus is on the affordances of indigenous knowledge to enhance self-directed learning in the natural sciences. Accolades include the National Research Foundation "Excellence in Science Engagement" Award that Josef received in 2019, and the Education Association of South Africa's Medal of Honour in 2020. Josef is the principal investigator in a Fuchs Foundation funded project, "Teachers without Borders." He has published at national and international levels and acts as a supervisor for postgraduate students. His most recent publication, "The Decolonization of the Curriculum Project: The Affordances of Indigenous Knowledge for Self-Directed Learning," was published by AOSIS.

Virginia Delgado is Assistant Professor at the Faculty of Chemistry and Pharmacy, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile. She obtained a chemistry degree at the Universidad Nacional de San Agustín (Perú), and a PhD in chemistry at the Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. Her research interests are focused on medical chemistry, specifically on quinones chemistry and natural products (synthesis and anticancer activity). At present, she is focused on chemistry education, specifically in preservice science teacher education. She has been co-investigator in three research projects in chemistry and two in education. She has also worked as an advisor with two undergraduate students, and she is Director of Program in Chemistry in Context for Science and Chemistry Teachers.

Smadar Donitsa-Schmidt is Associate Professor in the Kibbutzim College of Education in Israel. Since 2013 she has served as the Dean of the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences. Her previous roles in the college were Head of the Research Authority, Head of the Post Graduate Training Program, and Head of the English Department. Her PhD from Ontario Institute for Studies in Education (OISE) at Toronto University is in educational linguistics. Her current areas of research are initial teacher education with a particular emphasis on alternative pathways to teaching, teacher professional development, policy in education and higher education, and teaching in multicultural and multilingual contexts.

Yehudit Judy Dori is Professor of Science Education in the Faculty of Education in Science and Technology, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, Israel. She was Dean of Faculty during 2015–2020 and Dean of Continuing Education and External Studies at the Technion during 2009–2013. She is Senior Researcher at the Samuel Neaman Institute for National Policy Research, Haifa, Israel. She has been intermittently Visiting Professor or Visiting Scholar at Massachusetts Institute of Technology between 2000 and 2014 and during 2020. Professor Dori research interests encompass educational technology, teacher education, assessment, 21st-century STEM skills, and metacognition at the high school and university levels. Professor Dori co-edited two books on cognition and metacognition in STEM education, published by Springer in 2012 and 2018. Professor Dori received the 2020 NARST Distinguished Contributions to Science Education through Research Award (DCRA) for her exceptional research contributions and strong national and international community engagements.

Alison Dossick is a doctoral student at Virginia Commonwealth University, USA. She has a bachelor's degree in biology from Virginia Tech and a Master's of Education from Marymount University. She taught middle school science for 18 years before pursuing her doctorate. In 2020, she served as a Research Fellow at the Bay Area Museum in San Francisco, working on STEM content delivery for PreK children. In 2021, she was a Fellow with the Smithsonian Science Education Center working on their Zero Barriers in STEM program. This professional development pilot study provided curriculum and training for teachers to meet the needs of special education students in the general education classroom. Her research interests involve creating more equitable spaces for all learners in the science classroom.

Mauricio Duque is the Scientific and Academic Coordinator of the Stem-Academia Program at the Colombian Academy of Sciences, Colombia. In 2020 he created the program Young Scientists (*Pequeños Científicos*) to promote science education in public primary schools in Colombia. He designed and performed dozens of teacher training sessions and coordinated the evaluation of the project. He has been an advisor to various ministries of education in Latin America as well as to the IDB and WB. His work includes curriculum development, public policy recommendations, rural education programs in science and mathematics, and, more recently, computational education projects. He has written several papers and books concerning engineering education and is also a member of editorial committees of magazines and congresses on engineering education. He has worked for 25 years in teacher professional development, instructional material development, and evaluation of STEM education programs.

Elizabeth Edmondson is Program Coordinator for the Secondary Science and Mathematics Program at the Virginia Commonwealth School of Education, USA. She is also the principal investigator for a Robert Noyce Grant Phase I and NIH NIDA grant Hero-T. She is the Co-PI on BEST in Bay Watershed, a NOAA B-Wet grant; VCU SEED, a US Department of Education SEED grant; and Investigating Effective Teaching through a Culturally Responsive Lens, a Noyce Track 4 grant. Her research interests include classroom discourse, supporting novice teachers, initial teacher preparation (through licensing programs and provisional licensure efforts), and teacher professional development.

Ingo Eilks is a Full Professor in Chemistry Education at the University of Bremen, Germany. Dr. Eilks holds a full teacher qualification in secondary chemistry and mathematics teaching, a PhD (University of Oldenburg) and Habilitation (University of Dortmund), both in chemistry education. For many years he has been involved in research and development projects in science education both nationally and internationally, many of which are based in different modes of action research. He is one of the founding editors of the *Action Research and Innovation in Science Education* journal and serves on editorial boards of numerous journals. His areas of research encompass, among others, action research in science education, education for sustainable development, and science teacher professional development.

Sibel Erduran is Professor of Science Education and Fellow of St. Cross College at University of Oxford, United Kingdom. Dr. Erduran is also Professor II at University of Oslo, Norway. She is President of the European Science Education Research Association; Editor in Chief of *Science and Education* and an Editor for *International Journal of Science*. Her work experience includes positions in the USA, Ireland, and the UK. Her research interests focus on the infusion of epistemic practices of science in science education and the professional development of science teachers. Her work on argumentation has received international recognition through awards from NARST and EASE. She is currently engaged in three funded projects, including the FEDORA Project (European Union Horizon 2020). Her recent books are *Argumentation in Chemistry Education: Research, Policy and Practice* (Royal Society of Chemistry) and *Transforming Teacher Education Through the Epistemic Core of Chemistry: Empirical Evidence and Practical Strategies* (Springer).

Xavier Fazio is Professor of Science and Environmental Sustainability Education in the Department of Educational Studies at Brock University in Ontario, Canada. He holds a doctorate in curriculum and instruction with a focus on science teacher education. Dr. Fazio is also a member of the Environmental Sustainability Research Centre at Brock University. His research focuses on science and environmental sustainability education, teacher education and professional development, and curriculum innovation. Dr. Fazio's research has been supported by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada and various government agencies and educational associations, and he has collaborated with school districts and not-for-profit organizations. As principal investigator, he currently leads a multi-year project connecting school science to local communities using placebased perspectives. Dr. Fazio is presently Associate Editor for the *Journal of Science Teacher Education*.

Allan Feldman is a Professor of Science Education and Associate Director for Educational Innovation of the David C. Anchin Center at the University of South Florida, USA. Dr. Feldman's scholarship focuses on science teacher education, and in particular how in-service science teachers learn from their practice in a variety of subjects including physics, environmental education, and education for sustainability in formal and informal settings. In addition, he studies the ways in which people learn to engage in science and engineering practices in apprenticeship situations. He has been PI and co-PI of a number of funded projects, many of which have been in collaboration with colleagues in the sciences and engineering. These include environmental studies of acid mine drainage, arsenic in the environment, algal biofuels, and water and wastewater treatment. He is one of the editors of *Educational Action Research Journal*.

Melina Furman is Associate Professor at the University of San Andrés, Argentina, and Researcher at the National Council of Science and Technology, Argentina. She holds a PhD in education from Columbia University, USA, and a BA in biology from the University of Buenos Aires, Argentina. Her research focuses on science teaching and the development of scientific thinking across educational levels and contexts, and on educational innovation in schools and community settings. She has extensively worked with schools, nonprofit organizations, international agencies, and governments on innovative programs aimed to spark lifelong learning in students of all ages. She has authored books, academic papers, curriculum materials, and other dissemination materials. Melina directs the science education postgraduate degree and is a professor in the bachelor's and master's degrees in education at the University of San Andrés.

Michael Giamellaro is Associate Professor of Science Education at Oregon State University, USA. Dr. Giamellaro studies the role of contextualization in the teaching and learning of science. His work, funded by the NSF and others, examines how learning in authentic contexts or with contextual supports impacts learning processes and outcomes. This includes field learning experiences, whole-school STEM initiatives, teacher-scientist partnerships, and immersive technologies. Dr. Giamellaro is also invested in developing innovative approaches to mixed methods research. He is a former middle and high school science teacher and earned his PhD at the University of Colorado. Giamellaro's current teaching is focused on preparing future science teachers through the OSU-Cascades Masters in Teaching Program in Bend, Oregon.

Andrew Gilbert is Associate Professor at George Mason University in Fairfax County, Virginia, USA. He has taught in a variety of K–12 settings across the United States. He also has two decades' experience with school university partnerships as a science teacher educator across the United States and Australia. His two main research strands include developing inquiry practice with both in-service and preservice teachers as well as investigating the potential of wonder as a means to build interest and understanding within science.

Margarita Gómez is Professional Development Coordinator of the STEM-Academia Program at the Colombian Academy of Sciences, Colombia. She has worked in teacher training for more than 10 years and has contributed to the elaboration of educational materials for science and mathematics in primary education. At this moment she coordinates professional development actions at

STEM-Academia, a program of the Colombian Academy of Science that aims to promote science, mathematics, and engineering education in the region, and is also in charge of the implementation of a climate change education project with the office for climate education in Paris.

Ron Gray is Associate Professor of Science Education in the Department of STEM Education at Northern Arizona University, USA. His work focuses on providing secondary science teachers with the tools to design and implement learning experiences for their students that are effective and authentic to the discipline. Much of this work has been centered on model-based inquiry and the integration of scientific practices in a supportive and structured way. In addition, he examines the science studies literature and its potential impact on science education. A former middle school science teacher, Dr. Gray received his PhD in science education from Oregon State University.

Liam Guilfoyle is Departmental Lecturer in Science Education in the Department of Education at the University of Oxford, United Kingdom. Dr. Guilfoyle has also worked on the Oxford Argumentation in Religion and Science (OARS) project, funded by the Templeton World Charity Foundation. His PhD from the University of Limerick focused on teachers' epistemic beliefs and perceptions of their teacher education. He has been involved in a range of other research projects including an FP7-funded in-service teacher education project on inquiry-based science education and a National Forum for Teaching and Learning-commissioned exploration of nonaccredited CPD for those who teach in higher education. Liam is particularly motivated by exploring the challenges and possibilities for teachers drawing on educational research for classroom practice. To this end, he is a member of the Teaching Council's Research Engagement Group in Ireland, which works to promote teachers' engagement with and in research.

Preeti Gupta is Director for Youth Learning and Research at the American Museum of Natural History, USA. Dr. Gupta is responsible for strategic planning, program development, human capital development and research and evaluation for out-of-school time youth initiatives. Her portfolio also includes leading the summer museum residency components of the master of arts in teaching program for earth science teachers. Prior to this she served as Senior Vice President for Education and Family Programs at the New York Hall of Science. In that role, she led the internationally replicated Science Career Ladder Program, key initiatives in school change, teacher professional development, and family programs. She has a bachelor's degree in bioengineering from Columbia University, a master's degree in education from George Washington University, and a doctoral degree in urban education from the City University of New York Graduate Center.

Christa Haverly is a postdoctoral researcher at Northwestern University, USA. Her research focuses on supporting elementary teachers in science instruction both from a practice-based approach, considering students' sensemaking and teachers' responsiveness, as well as from a systems-building approach, considering how school systems can organize to support instructional improvements in elementary science. She is particularly interested in examining these issues through an equity lens that moves beyond access and opportunities for student learning to consider the ways that school systems, schools, and teachers make space for students to claim epistemic agency in the elementary science classroom. Haverly has published in *Cognition and Instruction* and *Journal of Teacher Education*, among other venues.

Sara C. Heredia is Assistant Professor of Science Education in the School of Education at the University of North Carolina at Greensboro, USA. Her research focuses on the design of professional learning opportunities that engage secondary science teachers in experiences that support them in facilitating student sensemaking in their classrooms. In particular, she focuses on the ways in which

teachers' school and district contexts matter for how they make decisions about reform implementation. She works in partnership with teachers, schools, and informal science institutions to create a network of support for implementation of science education reform. Her work has been published in research journals including *Science Education* and the *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, as well as practitioner journals such as *Science Scope* and *Connected Science Learning*.

Rebecca Hite is Assistant Professor of Science Education in the Department of Curriculum and Instruction at Texas Tech University, USA. Her research studies focus on curricular and instructional interventions, augmented by emerging technologies, that occur within in/formal learning spaces as well as professional pathways for K–12 STEM teacher leadership in policy-advocacy. Hite is on the editorial board for the *Journal of Interdisciplinary Teacher Leadership* (2015–present), the *Journal for Research in Science Teaching* (2019–22), and the *Journal of Science Teacher Education* (2020–23).

Linda Hobbs is Associate Professor of Education at Deakin University, Australia. Hobbs's research focuses on teaching out-of-field, partnerships in science teacher education, STEM, and science education, and she works with schools and teachers in a range of capacities through professional development, student programs, and research. Her most recent work has focused heavily on the various aspects of the out-of-field teaching phenomenon, particularly on the experiences of teachers who teach mathematics and science out-of-field. Her recent research funded internally is exploring the subject-specific nature of teaching out-of-field, the pedagogy of STEM professional development, and evaluation in education research.

Elaine Howes is a faculty member in education in the American Natural History Museum's (AMNH) Master of Arts in Teaching (MAT) Earth Science Residency Program, USA. Dr. Howes's work includes studying her own teaching, and teaching and collaborating with preservice and inservice science teachers, has led to publications about teachers' practices in working with English-language learners in science, and the challenges involved in developing environmentally and culturally relevant science curriculum for urban K–12 classrooms. Her current research examines how teacher education programs support new teachers in learning about their students' ideas, communities, and cultures, and how they use what they learn to inform their science teaching. As a member of the AMNH MAT faculty, she is continuing her commitment to working with teachers to develop science education that supports all students in succeeding in science in high-need schools.

Ying-Shao Hsu is Professor in the Graduate Institute of Science Education and the Department of Earth Sciences, as well as Chair Professor of National Taiwan Normal University, Taiwan. She received her PhD degree in 1997 from the Department of Curriculum and Instruction at Iowa State University. Her research focuses on e-learning and teaching, inquiry learning, science curriculum design, metacognition, social-scientific issues, and STEM education. Professor Hsu's research work has been recognized with Outstanding Research Awards by the Minister of Science Technology (MOST) in Taiwan (2011, 2015), National Science Council Reward Special Talents (2010, 2011), National Taiwan Normal University Research Awards (2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2012, 2015), and the Wu Da-Yu Memorial Award (2005).

Mercè Izquierdo is an Emeritus Professor at Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain. She obtained a bachelor's degree in chemistry at the Universitat de Barcelona, and a PhD in science at the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. She worked as a secondary chemistry teacher and also as a chemistry university lecturer before being involved in science teacher education and science education research. Her current research interests are history and philosophy of science, modeling and

language, and chemistry education within the contexts of secondary education and science teacher education. During her career, she has contributed to incorporating science education as part of primary and secondary teachers' education, and to consolidating science education as a research field in Spain and Latin America. She has also made significant theoretical contributions to models and modeling as a science learning perspective, and regarding the contributions of history and philosophy of science-to-science education.

David F. Jackson is Associate Professor of Science Education at the University of Georgia, USA, where he teaches in the Secondary Science teacher certification program, has been in charge of the science aspects of the Middle Grades teacher certification program for 32 years, and previously served as Graduate Coordinator for 13 years and as Associate Department Head for 3 years. He teaches a preservice course held in middle school classrooms and planned in cooperation with practicing middle school teachers, most often at the 8th-grade level. He recently designed and developed UGA's online MEd in science education program. The primary foci of his research efforts have been the use of electronic technologies and simulations in science teaching; cognitive, cultural, and political issues in the teaching of biological evolution and historical geology; and all aspects of middle-grades science teaching and teacher education.

M. Gail Jones is Alumni Distinguished Graduate Professor of Science Education and Senior Research Fellow, Friday Institute for Educational Innovation at North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA. Dr. Jones teaches preservice and in-service teachers and conducts research on teaching and learning science, concepts of size and scale, and the development of science career aspirations. She serves as Co-Editor in Chief of the *International Journal of Science Education*. Dr. Jones's scholarship has been recognized for excellence, with awards that include the Jackson Distinguished Service for Outstanding Science Education Leadership, the Association of Supervision and Curriculum Development, the Educational Innovation for NC Science Mathematics and Technology Education Center Partnership Award, the Academy of Outstanding Faculty Engaged in Extension, and the Alumni Outstanding Research Award. Dr. Jones's research group is currently identifying factors and strategies to enhance science career aspirations and studying new approaches to convergence science education.

Sami Kahn is Executive Director of the Council on Science and Technology at Princeton University, USA. Dr. Kahn uses her background in science education and law to inform her research on inclusive science practices, socioscientific issues (SSI), argumentation, and social justice. An awardwinning science educator, teacher educator, and author, she currently serves as Chair of the Inclusive Science Education Forum for the Association for Science Teacher Education (ASTE) and Co-Editor of the *Journal of Science Education for Students with Disabilities*. Her former posts include serving as Chair of the National Science Teaching Association's (NSTA) Special Needs Advisory Board and President of NSTA's associated group, Science Education for Students with Disabilities (SESD). Dr. Kahn holds an MS in ecology and evolutionary biology from Rutgers University, a JD in law from Rutgers School of Law, and a PhD in curriculum and instruction with a specialization in science education from the University of South Florida, where she served as a Presidential Doctoral Fellow.

Marika Kapanadze is Head of the Science Education Research Centre SALiS and PhD program in education at Ilia State University, Georgia. She develops training and learning programs for preservice and in-service science teachers and cooperates with the universities of different countries in the world. Her research interests are teacher professional development, science curriculum development, and investigation of teachers' attitudes/students' interest in science. She has long experience in implementing and coordinating international programs in education in Georgia. Dr. Kapanadze is

the author of many scientific papers published in international journals and is Joint Founding Editor of the online science journal *Action Research and Innovation in Science Education* (ARISE).

Vanessa Kind is Professor of Education and Head of the School of Education at Leeds University, UK. Previously she held a personal Chair in Education in the School of Education at Durham University, United Kingdom, and held the position of Deputy Executive Dean in Social Sciences and Health at Durham from 2015 to 2020 with responsibility for postgraduate students. She became a Principal Fellow of the Higher Education Academy in July 2021. Vanessa's research explores teacher professional knowledge, particularly teachers' science subject knowledge, beliefs and orientations, views about science, instructional strategies, self-confidence, attitudes, and the impact of these on student learning outcomes. She contributes to international debate on teacher knowledge and connections between science teacher education policy and practice. Vanessa has directed funded projects in teacher development and aspects of science education, including a nationwide survey of practical work in science and an interdisciplinary project on students' understandings of scientific issues in medical ethics. Trained initially as a chemistry teacher, Vanessa has held teaching positions as principal of an international school in Norway and taught chemistry in London and Hull in the UK.

Kelly L. Knight is Associate Professor with the George Mason University Forensic Science Program and a STEM Accelerator, USA. As a STEM Accelerator, she mentors STEM students and leads K–12 STEM outreach programs. She is the co-founder and director of Females of Color and those Underrepresented in STEM (FOCUS), a program which makes STEM exciting and accessible for BIPOC (Black, Indigenous, and people of color) girls. Professor Knight is also currently working on her doctorate in science education research at George Mason. Her research examines how outof-school STEM programs impact BIPOC girls, particularly in the area of STEM identity. Through her advisory roles and participation in various diversity, equity, and inclusion committees across campus, such as the President's Anti-Racism and Inclusive Excellence taskforce, Professor Knight's work in higher education centers on the development and implementation of equitable practices for both STEM students and faculty. She recently received the 2020 University Teaching Excellence Award.

Michele Hollingsworth Koomen is a Research Professor in Science Education at Gustavus Adolphus College in St. Peter, Minnesota, USA. Dr. Koomen's scholarship focuses on the intersection of inclusion and equity across citizen science, disciplinary literacy, the practices of science, and professional development. Her publications can be found in *Science Education, Journal of Research in Science Teaching, Cultural Studies in Science Education, Science and Children*, and the *American Biology Teacher*, to name just a few. She has been an invited speaker at NSTA and many state teacher association conferences and presented research at AERA, ASTE, CSA, EARLI, NARST, and NSTA. Koomen served as lead editor for the Brill-edited book *Towards Inclusion of All Learners Through Science Teacher Education* (2018). She is the former president of the Minnesota Science Teachers Association and a current co-editor of the *Journal of Science Education for Students with Disabilities*.

Nihat Kotluk is a postdoctoral researcher in the College des Humanities, The École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Switzerland. He has mainly focused on equality and diversity issues in engineering education. Nihat started his academic career as a physics teacher in Turkey in 2008 and received a PhD degree in educational science in 2018. In his thesis, Nihat studied the perceptions and practices of teachers in culturally relevant pedagogy and developed recommendations to put greater emphasis on inclusion in preservice teacher education. Nihat has published several articles in academic and international peer-reviewed journals. In his more recent work, he focused on the challenges teachers faced while implementing the culturally relevant pedagogy principles with Syrian

students in Turkey. His teaching and research interests include educational psychology, culturally relevant pedagogy, culturally responsive science/STEM education, equity, and diversity in education.

Kassandra L'Heureux is a graduate student at Université de Sherbrooke, Canada. She is interested in the contextualization of science learning and teaching inside and outdoor teaching and learning in schools' immediate surroundings. She is also interested in the development of critical thinking in the context of socioscientific topics, including the context of the pandemic. Her master's project focuses on the methods and strategies used to contextualize learning for future elementary and secondary science teachers. Her work is supervised by Professor Jean-Philippe Ayotte-Beaudet and Professor Abdelkrim Hasni. She used to work in orthopedagogy in high school. She is a lecturer at the Université de Sherbrooke, Canada, in Didactics of Science where she teaches to 1st- and 3rdyear undergrad students.

Cheng Liu is Associate Professor of Biology Education at the College of Life Sciences, Beijing Normal University, China. He received his PhD in curriculum and pedagogy from Beijing Normal University in 2011 and did postdoctoral research in mathematics and science education at the Illinois Institute of Technology during 2011–2012. His areas of research include teaching and learning scientific conceptions, nature of science, scientific inquiry, and science teacher professional development. He has authored or co-authored more than 20 articles and chapters in Chinese and English journals and books. He also has experience designing and implementing professional development programs for elementary and secondary science teachers.

Enshan Liu is Professor of Biology Education at the College of Life Sciences, Beijing Normal University, China. For over 30 years, he has studied the high school biology curriculum standards and the professional learning of biology teachers. His expertise resides in the areas of professional development programming and biology curriculum reform. He has led more than 15 national research projects and has authored or co-authored more than 200 papers in both Chinese and international journals and conferences. His commitment to the biology education community is extensive and includes being a member of the Basic Education Teaching Guidance Committee and Chairman of the Biology Teaching Guidance Committee of the Ministry of Education.

Julie A. Luft is a Distinguished Research Professor, Athletic Association Professor of Mathematics and Science Education, and Adjunct Professor of Biochemical and Molecular Biology at the University of Georgia, USA. Dr. Luft's studies have explored science teacher development, professional development, and recently leadership. She has awards for her research and practitioner studies and for her teaching and mentoring of graduate and undergraduate students. She is a Fellow of the National Science Teaching Association (NSTA), American Association for the Advancement of Science and the University of Georgia Owens Institute of Behavioral Research. She has been an Associate Editor of several journals including the *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, President of the Association of Science Teacher Education, an NSTA scholar-in-residence, and a Fulbright Specialist. She has testified about inquiry instruction to a US congressional subcommittee and served on the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine committee on the professional learning of science teachers.

Mariana Luzuriaga is a research assistant and Assistant Professor at the University of San Andrés, Argentina. She has a bachelor's degree and a postgraduate teaching qualification in education, and is currently a PhD candidate at the University of San Andrés, Argentina. Since 2014 she has been a member of the Science Education Program at the same university, participating in various research

and professional development projects related to science teaching at all educational levels. She has co-authored books, academic papers, and curriculum materials in the field, including the design of science teacher professional development courses within the National Teacher Training Program in Argentina. Her current research interests revolve around the planning and decision-making processes of primary-level teachers, and the ways in which these relate to curriculum policies and materials.

Edward G. Lyon is Associate Professor of Science Education at Sonoma State University, USA. Dr. Lyon researches how science teachers learn and enact core instructional and assessment practices that integrate inquiry-based science with language and literacy development for emergent bilinguals. He also co-directs the Sonoma State STEM Teacher Education Pathways Center. He has published in leading science education journals, authored the book *Secondary Science Teaching for English Learners: Developing Supportive and Responsive Learning Contexts for Sense-Making and Language Development*, and co-led the NSF-funded Secondary Science Teaching with English Language and Literacy Acquisition (SSTELLA) Project. He has served as an editorial board member for the *Journal of Research in Science Teaching and the Journal of Science Teacher Educator.* He earned his PhD in science education from the University of California, Santa Cruz and received the UC/ACCORD Dissertation Fellowship, the CCTE Outstanding Dissertation Award, and the NARST Outstanding Paper Award in 2012.

Lauren Madden is a Professor of Elementary Science Education at The College of New Jersey, USA, where she also coordinates the Environmental Sustainability Minor and Graduate Certificate programs. Her mission is to advocate for scientific literacy and the health of our planet through teaching and learning. She has published more than 40 peer-reviewed articles and book chapters, and has a textbook on science teaching methods due out in 2022. Her current research focus is on developing teachers' content knowledge and confidence in marine science, climate change, and the effective use of the NGSS. Her work has been funded by the New Jersey Sea-Grant Consortium, the US Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Science Foundation. In 2021, she was recognized as the Association for Science Teacher Education's Outstanding Science Teacher Educator of the Year and NJ STEM Pathways' inaugural iCAN STEM role model.

Rachel Mamlok-Naaman served as Head of the National Center for Chemistry Teachers until September 2020, and until June 2016 she was the Coordinator of the chemistry group at the Weizmann Institute, Israel. Dr. Mamlok-Naaman was the coordinator of a special master's program for chemistry teachers, and of projects in the framework of the European Union in Israel. In addition, she is the chair of EuCheMS DivCED, an ACS titular member, and serves on editorial and advisory boards of science education journals and organizations. Her publications focus on topics related to curriculum development, student learning, and teachers' professional development.

Franklin Manrique is a chemistry teacher from the Universidad Pedagógica Nacional de Bogotá, Colombia. He has a MSc in science education from the Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso (Chile). He has experience as a chemistry teacher in secondary education and is currently working as a science teacher educator in Chile. He also collaborates with the Mirador Interactive Museum (MIM), a space for informal science education, through practicing science teachers' education. His professional interests focus on science for citizenship, chemical education and initial science teacher education. His research has special emphasis on science teachers' formulation of productive questions in the classroom.

Eve Manz is an Assistant Professor of science education at Boston University Wheelock College of Education and Human Development, USA. Her research focuses on the development of epistemic practices in mathematics and science; that is, supporting students to participate in making and using knowledge in powerful, disciplinary ways. She seeks to understand how to design learning environments so that practices such as modeling, experimentation, and argumentation are meaningful and useful for elementary school students. She is the 2019 recipient of the Early Career Research Award from the National Association for Research in Science Teaching and recently served on the National Academies of Science and Engineering Committee on *Enhancing Science and Engineering in Prekindergarten through Fifth Grade*.

Ainoa Marzábal is an Associate Professor at the Faculty of Education of the Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile. She obtained a bachelor's degree in Chemistry and a PhD in Science Education (2011) at the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (Spain). Her research interests are science education at the school level with a special emphasis on model-based teaching and learning, and science teacher education that focuses on the transformation of science teachers' disciplinary teaching practices. In addition, she currently leads the impact assessment of a teacher education program for science teachers in public schools of the Chilean Ministry of Education. She also coordinated the team that proposed the Standards of Chemistry Teachers Education programs in Chile and collaborates permanently with schools and educational institutions.

Felicia Moore Mensah is a Professor of science education, and Department Chair of Mathematics, Science, and Technology at Teachers College, Columbia University, New York, USA. Dr. Mensah has published extensively, where her work addresses issues of diversity, equity, and identity in science education. Her most recent research utilizes critical race theory and intersectionality to transform teacher education research and practice. Her work on the experiences of Teachers of Color and preparing future teacher educators for racial literacy combines years of teaching, mentoring, and outreach. Dr. Mensah was the recipient of the 2017 Outstanding Science Teacher Educator of the Year (ASTE); the 2012 Early Career Award, Division K Teaching and Teacher Education (AERA); and an Equity and Ethics Scholar in 2005 (NARST). Dr. Mensah is a Past President of Sisters of the Academy Institute, or SOTA, an organization that supports the success of Black women in higher education. Among other activities, she is co-editor of the *Journal of Research in Science Teaching (JRST*).

Cristian Merino is an Adjunct Professor in Chemistry Teaching at different educational levels, at the Institute of Chemistry of the Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile. He has a bachelor's degree in Chemistry Education at the Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso (Chile), and a PhD in science education at the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (Spain). His research interests focus on the characterization of school chemical activity for the development and analysis of innovation activities that favor the construction of school-scientific explanations, with an emphasis on the transition between phenomenon and theory under a modeling approach for initial science teacher preparation (especially in chemistry), as well as experimental work and the teaching of chemistry through technological mediations.

Sabela F. Monteira is an educational researcher at the SciTeach Center at the University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg. Her work focuses on the collaborative creation of resources for promoting science teaching and learning during the early ages, as well as for supporting the development of creative spaces for engaging in science. She is also a preservice teacher educator (PhD, ed., Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Spain) and former chemist (BSc, chemistry, University of Glasgow, Scotland). Her research uses ethnographic methods in order to investigate the multiple ways through which young children and their teachers collectively engage in inquiry along the first 3 years of

formal schooling. Her work focuses on how children's engagement in the disciplinary practices of science evolves from 3 to 6 years of age and on how teachers foster the development of children's communication and representational skills, as well as cognitive and affective scaffolding strategies that promote increasing children's autonomy.

Patricia Moreira is a natural science and chemistry teacher in Chile. She received her PhD in science education at the Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile (Chile) in 2019. Moreira has 6 years of experience teaching at both middle and high school levels in Chile, and the last 6 years as a teacher educator at Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. The principal goal of her research is to provide evidence to understand and enhance the teaching and learning processes in science education through the characterization of the expressed scientific reasoning of middle school and high school students, and by identifying how classroom interactions shape students' expressed reasoning.

Audrey Msimanga is Associate Professor of Science Education and Head of the School of Education at the University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa. Audrey has worked in biology research, science education research, and teacher education for over 30 years. Audrey's research seeks to understand the challenges and affordances of access to science and success in science education for English second-language students in sub-Saharan Africa. Audrey is currently Associate Editor for the *Journal for Research in Science Teaching* (JRST), a member of the Editorial Board of the European Science Education Research Association (ESERA) Book Series, and President-Elect of the Southern African Association for Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education (SAARMSTE).

Shannon L. Navy is Assistant Professor of Science Education at Kent State University, USA. Dr. Navy's research pertains to STEM teacher education, teacher induction, and the professional development of teachers. Her work is published in leading journals in the field, and she recently co-edited a book on newly hired science teachers. She completed her postdoctoral studies at the University of Virginia, and was Assistant Professor and Director of the Woodrow Wilson Teaching Fellowship and Induction Program at Valparaiso University. As a graduate student she attended the Sandra K. Abell Summer Research Institute and won the Dissertation Award in the AERA SIG Research on Teacher Induction.

Meshach Ogunniyi is Emeritus Professor at the University of the Western Cape, South Africa. His research interests straddle NOS, IKS, and the integration of the two using an argumentation instructional model. He has written over a dozen books and published over 200 articles in refereed journals, conference proceedings, and book chapters. He has supervised over 80 master's and doctoral theses and has conducted several large-scale studies. He retired first in 2009 and finally in 2014. He has served as an editor and member of editorial boards of several journals. He received the Vice-Chancellor Best Teacher Award in 2008, a Life-time Research Award of SAARMSTE in 2014, and the NARST Distinguished Research Award in 2015.

Soonhye Park is Professor in Science Education at North Carolina State University, USA. Dr. Park's research centers on teacher Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK) and teacher professional development. She has led various federal, state, and internally funded grant projects on teacher professional development that explicitly seek effective ways to advance teachers' knowledge, skills, and practices that promote students' engagement in scientific practices, critical thinking skills, and science achievement, especially in the context of rural and low SES schools. She also served on the Editorial Board for the *Journal of Research in Science Teaching* and the *Journal of Science Teacher Education*. The impact and quality of her scholarship has been recognized by several awards,

including PCK Summit invitee (2012, 2016), NARST Outstanding Paper Award (2014), David P. Butts Award for Contributions to Science Education (2014), and University Faculty Scholar (2017–2018).

José Manuel Pavez is a doctoral student at the University of Georgia, USA. Originally from Chile, he graduated from the Metropolitan University of Educational Sciences, Santiago, Chile. He has over 10 years of teaching experience from 5th grade to the graduate level in Chile and the USA. He has been actively involved in educational research since 2012. His research interest has been around science teacher education, nature of science, and science methods courses. He has participated in different research projects funded by NSF and FONDECYT (Chilean state funds). He has served multiple local and international organizations like NARST, ASTE, and SCHEC, as well as many journals in science education, like the *Journal of Science Teacher Education* (JSTE), where he is part of the editorial review board. His efforts were recognized by the ethics and equity panel of NARST, who named him a Jhumki Basu fellow in 2021.

William (Bill) Penuel is Professor of Learning Sciences and Human Development in the School of Education and Institute of Cognitive Science at the University of Colorado, Boulder, USA. His research focuses on interest-related learning across settings, classroom assessment in science, teacher learning, and promoting the equitable implementation of reforms in STEM education. As Principal Investigator for a U.S. Department of Education knowledge utilization center, the National Center for Research in Policy and Practice, he studies how school, district, and state education leaders use research evidence in decision-making. He has been involved in research-practice partnerships at the district and state levels, focused on supporting implementation of the Next Generation Science Standards through co-design of curriculum and assessment resources that connect to students' interests, identities, and experiences. He is a Fellow of the International Society of the Learning Sciences, American Education, and the National Education Policy Center. He is also an elected member of the National Academy of Education and member of the Board on Science Education at the National Academy of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine.

Erin E. Peters-Burton is the Donna R. and David E. Sterling Endowed Professor in Science Education and Founder and Director of the Center for Social Equity through Science Education at George Mason University in Fairfax, Virginia, USA. Dr. Peters-Burton's research agenda is based in social justice, and she pursues projects that help students who feel excluded in science classes become more aware of the scientific enterprise and how scientific knowledge is generated. She is PI for an NSF-funded research project entitled *Fostering Student Computational Thinking with Self-Regulated Learning*, which is developing an electronic notebook that prompts students to think computationally with self-regulated learning strategies while collecting analytics on student learning (SPIN; Science Practices Innovation Notebook). In addition, Dr. Peters-Burton is an editor of the *STEM Roadmap Curriculum Series* published by NSTA Press, a K–12 curriculum that integrates STEM, English-language arts, and social studies concepts and practices.

Neal Petersen is Deputy Director in the School of Mathematics, Science, and Technology Education at North-West University, South Africa. His research interests focus on using engaging pedagogies to contextualize science teaching including indigenous knowledge, STEAM education, cooperative learning, self-directed learning, and teacher professional development. He was the principal investigator of a project on using engaging pedagogies and is currently a co-investigator in a Fuchs Foundation-funded project, "Teachers without Borders," with a focus on empowering in-service science teachers to use engaging pedagogies. He acts as supervisor and co-supervisor for various

postgraduate students, has published in national and international publications, is the co-editor of a AOSIS book, and has presented papers at national and international conferences.

Rose M. Pringle is Associate Professor in Science Education in the School of Teaching and Learning at the University of Florida, USA. Her research agenda extends into two parallel yet related areas in science education. In one line, she focuses on the development of science teachers' disciplinary content knowledge and their response to professional development. In her other line of research, Rose investigates inquiry-based pedagogical content knowledge as a framework for shifting practices and heightening science teachers' stance toward issues of social justice and cultural competence. She therefore operates at the nexus between teachers' knowledge and its transformation into culturally relevant and appropriate science teaching practices – that is, teaching in a manner that challenges assumptions and the status quo and leads to increased science achievement among minoritized populations.

Umesh Rammarain is Professor in Science Education and Head of the Department in Science and Technology Education at the University of Johannesburg, South Africa. His main research interest is on inquiry-based science education, with a particular focus on its uptake in South African classrooms. His research has been published in top-tier journals such as the *International Journal of Science Education, Research in Science Education, Journal of Research in Science Teaching, Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, and *Teaching and Teacher Education*. His work has also been disseminated at prominent international conferences such as NARST, ESERA, and IOSTE. He is associate editor of *Research in Science Education* and has served on the editorial board of *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*.

Franz Rauch is Professor for School Pedagogy and Environmental Education at the University of Klagenfurt, Austria. Dr. Rauch holds a master's degree in natural sciences (teaching certification), a PhD in education at Graz University, Austria, and a Habilitation in education (with a focus on environmental education). He has been involved in research and development projects internationally and nationally for many years. He is one of the editors of *Educational Action Research Journal* and the ARISE journal (*Action Research in Science Education*) and serves on editorial boards of other journals. His areas of research, teaching, and publication are education for sustainable development/ environmental education, networks in education, school development, science education, continuing education for teachers, and action research.

Vijay Reddy is Distinguished Research Specialist at the Human Sciences Research Council (HSRC), South Africa, in the Inclusive Economic Development (IED) research division. Dr. Reddy assumed this position after serving as Executive Director at the HSRC from 2006 to 2018. The three major thrusts of her research are large-scale achievement studies, skills planning, and public understanding of science. She has extensive experience in social scientific research, especially in science and mathematics education. Her work has included the application of large-scale surveys, life history research, and research contributing to setting up a skills planning mechanism. Earlier in her career, she worked as a high school teacher as well as a university chemistry and science education lecturer.

Michael J. Reiss is Professor of Science Education at IOE, UCL's Faculty of Education and Society, University College London, a Fellow of the Academy of Social Sciences, and Visiting Professor at the Royal Veterinary College, United Kingdom. The former director of education at the Royal Society, he is a member of the Nuffield Council on Bioethics and has written extensively about curricula, pedagogy, and assessment in science education and has directed a very large number of

research, evaluation and consultancy projects over the past 25 years funded by UK research councils, government departments, charities, and international agencies.

David Santibáñez is Professor of Science Education at Finis Terrae University. He is Public Policy Director of the Chilean Society of Scientific Education (SChEC), of which he is one of its founders. He has vast experience as a biology teacher in elementary, middle, and university education. He is the author and advisor of numerous textbooks and a consultant for national teacher evaluation agencies in the area of science. He is a researcher in FONDECYT (Chilean state funds) projects related to the training of science teachers, the nature of science, and pedagogical knowledge content (PCK). He has recently participated in the publication of the book *Teaching Evolution and Genetics for Scientific Literacy*. David's main interest is related to the training of elementary teachers, especially the process that allows them to develop their PCK in science.

Kathleen Schenkel is Assistant Professor in the School of Teacher Education at San Diego State University, USA. Dr. Schenkel is a former middle school science teacher, and her scholarship draws on critical sociocultural and consequential theories of learning and utilizes participatory research methodologies with teachers and students. She explores with students and their teachers how to redress systems of power and oppression operating within science learning spaces. One area of focus is the role of participatory pedagogies in disrupting systems of power. Her research has been published in *Science Education, Science Scope*, and the *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, among other places.

Teresa Shume is an Associate Professor in the School of Education at North Dakota State University in Fargo, USA. Dr. Shume's research explores equity, inclusion, and environmental sustainability within the realms of science education and teacher preparation. Dr. Shume's scholarship has appeared in journals such as the *International Journal of Inclusive Education, Cultural Studies in Science Education*, and *Environmental Education Research* and has been presented to the National Association for Research in Science Teaching (NARST), the Association for Science Teacher Education (ASTE), and the American Educational Studies Association (AESA), among many others. An award-winning educator of science and teacher education for over 25 years, she holds a PhD in teaching and learning from the University of North Dakota, an MEd from the University of Utah, and undergraduate degrees in biology and education completed in French at Collège Universitaire de St.-Boniface in Canada.

Christina Siry is Professor of Learning and Instruction at the University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg. She has several lines of research that focus on the intertwined areas of science learning and learning to teach science, particularly at the primary and early childhood levels. Together with her research team, she investigates the ways in which plurilingual young children interact with peers, teachers, and materials as they engage in science lessons. Grounded in critical perspectives, her work focuses on the necessity of incorporating multiple perspectives in research, and she draws upon collaborative pedagogies and participatory methodologies as tools for transforming science teacher education and science education. One of her current projects is the SciTeach Center at the University of Luxembourg, which provides resources and continuing education opportunities to support primary school teachers in teaching science. Using a foundation of sociocultural theories, she and her team work with teachers to explore the emerging possibilities for drawing on the many diverse resources plurilingual students bring to the classroom.

Macarena Soto is Assistant Adjunct Professor at Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile. She obtained her degree in physics and mathematics pedagogy at Universidad de Santiago de Chile and a PhD in science education at the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (Spain). She worked as a physics and mathematics teacher in the Chilean school system, and also as a teacher in the Physics and Mathematics Teacher Education Program at the Universidad de Santiago de Chile. Her research interest is focused on science education at the school level and on preservice physics teachers. Her research has a special emphasis on the development of scientific practices and scholar scientific models through research-based design, focusing on learning and teaching sequences.

David Stroupe is Associate Professor of Teacher Education and Science Education and Associate Director of STEM Teacher Education at the CREATE for STEM Institute at Michigan State University, USA. He has three overlapping areas of research interests anchored around ambitious and equitable teaching. First, he frames classrooms as science practice communities. Using lenses from science, technology, and society (STS) and the history and philosophy of science (HPS), he examines how teachers and students disrupt epistemic injustice through the negotiation of power, knowledge, and epistemic agency. Second, he examines how beginning teachers learn from practice in and across their varied contexts. Third, he studies how teacher preparation programs can provide support and opportunities for beginning teachers to learn from practice. David has a background in biology and taught secondary life science for 4 years.

Robert H. Tai is Associate Professor at the University of Virginia, USA. Dr. Tai's research has primarily focused on the use of large-scale, nationally representative survey data to address a variety of research topics including science and mathematics teacher retention, youth science engagement, and out-of-school time science program impact. His work applying these types of data resources was recognized with the 2008 Council of Scientific Society Presidents Award for Educational Research Leadership. He is Co-Editor in Chief of the *Science Educator*, official peer-reviewed research journal of the National Science Education Leadership Association. He currently teaches elementary science teaching methods to preservice teachers at the School of Education and Human Development at the University of Virginia.

Edna Tan is Professor of Science Education at the University of North Carolina at Greensboro, USA. Dr. Tan's collaborative research investigates the design, support, and outcomes of equitable and consequential STEM learning for historically minoritized youth across learning contexts and over time. Current National Science Foundation-funded projects include longitudinal, community-engaged research with minoritized and refugee youth engaging in makerspace work, focused on identifying the elements of an authentic, community-owned, and youth-centered making space; and working with middle school teachers in co-developing and enacting an engineering for sustainable communities curriculum that attends to students' identity work and engineering toward justice-oriented ends. Her research has been published in the *American Educational Research Journal, Teachers College Record*, the *Journal of the Learning Sciences, Journal of Research in Science Education*, and *Science Education*, among others. In 2020, Dr. Tan was elected as a Fellow of the American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Seng Chee Tan is Associate Professor with the Learning Sciences and Assessment Academic Group and Associate Dean with the Office of Graduate Studies and Professional Learning, National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore. He obtained his PhD (instructional systems) from the Pennsylvania State University in 2000. His research interests include integration of technologies in education, computer-supported collaborative learning, knowledge building, and adult learning. As a trained chemist and chemistry educator, many of his research studies were conducted in science classrooms. His recent research works include the use of learning analytics to analyze students' ideas in online forums and using eye-tracking glasses to study teacher noticing in science classrooms.

Mehmet Faith Taşar is Professor of Mathematics and Science Education at Gazi University, Turkey. Dr. Taşar earned his PhD from the Pennsylvania State University in 2001 in curriculum and instruction with emphasis on science education. His research focuses on qualitative methodologies, learning process studies, and science teacher education. Dr. Taşar has supervised 12 doctoral students and eight master's students to the successful completion of their degrees. He has published numerous journal articles, delivered keynote speeches, and presented scholarly works at the conferences of professional organizations around the world. He has served as an editor, editorial board member, and reviewer for international journals. Currently Dr. Taşar is Co-Editor of the *International Journal of Physics & Chemistry Education* and *Action Research and Innovation in Science Education* (ARISE).

Joseph A. Taylor is Assistant Professor of Educational Leadership, Research, and Foundations at the University of Colorado, Colorado Springs, USA. Dr. Taylor teaches courses in intermediate and advanced quantitative research methods as well as program evaluation. Formerly, he served as Director of Research and Development at BSCS Science Learning. Focusing primarily on STEM education contexts, his research focuses on issues of knowledge accumulation from intervention studies, including effect size reporting, study replication, and synthesis methods. Dr. Taylor also studies the use of research evidence by education practitioners. His publications have appeared in numerous research journals, including *American Educational Research Journal, Journal Research in Science Teaching*, and *International Journal of Science Education*.

Tang Wee Teo is Associate Professor in the Natural Sciences and Science Education – Academic Group, in the National Institute of Education, Singapore. She is also Co-Head of the Multi-centric Education, Research and Industry STEM Centre. Tang Wee is a social equity scholar in science education. She applies a critical lens to examine diverse equity issues in science education that affect learners (e.g., science learners with special education needs, lower track students, children aged 6–8, and international students) who are underrepresented in the local and international literature. She has more than a decade of teaching and research experience in STEM teaching and learning, specifically critical studies of STEM education. Her current work focuses on special education-needs science learners and lower-track science students. As a trained chemist and chemistry education professor, she also actively publishes in chemistry education journals.

Sara Tolbert is Associate Professor of Science and Environmental Education at the University of Canterbury, New Zealand. Dr. Tolbert has an extensive teaching and research background in science and ESOL education and teacher education. She has contributed to multiple federally funded projects, including as co-lead for the NSF-funded Secondary Science Teaching with English Language and Literacy Acquisition (SSTELLA) Project, recognized as a Hispanic Bright Spot for Education under the Obama administration; and consulted on the recent National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine's *STEM Education for English Learners* report. She was awarded a National Academy of Education/Spencer Postdoctoral Fellowship in 2015 to investigate how teachers enact social justice in school science. Her current work explores how science teachers can engage in justice-oriented praxis within the complex sociopolitical dimensions of teaching with/for emergent bilingual students.

Chin-Chung Tsai is currently Chair Professor and Dean for School of Learning Informatics, National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei, Taiwan. He received a master of education degree from

Harvard University and completed his doctoral study at Teachers College, Columbia University, in 1996. He is also Director of the Institute for Research Excellence in Learning Sciences, National Taiwan Normal University. Since July 2009, he has been appointed as the Co-Editor of Computers and Education (SSCI, IF = 8.538, rank 3/264). He also currently serves as Editor of the *International Journal of Science Education* (indexed in SSCI, one among the three core journals in science education). His research interests deal largely with constructivism, epistemic beliefs, and various types of technology-enhanced (such as VR, AR, game) instruction. He has a Google Scholar citation of more than 36,000 and an h-index of above 100.

Dorit Tubin is Head of the Masters of Arts Program for Educational Administration, Policy, and Society, and the Principal Preparation Program Head at Ben Gurion University, Israel. Dr. Tubin's main research interests are educational leadership and professional development, school success, and the relations between structure and interactions. She has published more than 50 papers, and her work is published in leading journals such as *Educational Administration Quarterly* and *School Effective-ness and School Improvement*. She also collaborates with the international research group as ISSPP (International Successful School Principal Project) and the OECD/CERI innovative learning environments project.

Christine Ure is Alfred Deakin Professor of School Education at the Faculty of Arts and Education, Deakin University, Australia. She was Executive Dean of the Faculty from 2018 to 2020 and Head of School of Education from 2012 to 2018, and she represented the tertiary sector in government forums on teacher supply and quality schooling. During 2017–2021 she was an expert panel member to the Australian Institute of Teaching and School Leadership for graduate teacher performance assessment, and in 2015 she established a National Network of Associate Deans of Professional Experience to lead a national review of practicum in ITE. During 2014–2017 she led the project for Successful Students – STEM Program, to improve teaching capability in secondary schools and promote student engagement with STEM disciplines. She currently advises the review of the Tech Schools Initiative in Victoria, which is designed to increase student and teacher engagement with STEM capabilities and link education with emerging industries.

Jan van Driel is Professor of Science Education and Leader of the Mathematics, Science and Technology Education Group in the Melbourne Graduate School of Education at the University of Melbourne, Australia. His research interests include science teacher knowledge, teacher education and professional learning, science and gender, and interdisciplinary science and STEM education. He has supervised 25 doctoral students to successful completion. He has served on the boards of a number of associations for educational research in the Netherlands and the USA. Currently, he is Co-Editor in Chief of the *International Journal of Science Education* and a member of the Education Committee of Council of the Australian Academy of Science and the executive board of the Australasian Science Education Research Association (ASERA).

Dana Vedder-Weiss serves as the Chair of Teacher Education Program and leads the Informal Learning Environments Research Group as a faculty member in the Department of Education at Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel. She was a science teacher and curriculum developer and earned her PhD in science education from the Weizmann Institute of Science. She is interested in the socio-emotional dimensions of learning, including, for example, identity, emotions, motivation, agency, and face management. Her research studies examine teacher and student learning in science (and other domains) in formal and informal settings. In recent years, she has been involved in a design-based implementation research, aiming to advance on-the-job professional learning and to cultivate pedagogical discourse and teacher leadership. Additionally, she has been exploring learning

processes in family everyday life. She has received the NARST 2020 Early Career Research Award and serves as Associate Editor for the *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*.

Claudia Vergara is Professor of Science Education in the Faculty of Philosophy and Humanities at the Alberto Hurtado University, Santiago, Chile. She earned a bachelor's degree in biology (1996) and a PhD in education from the Pontifical Catholic University of Chile (2006). She had a postdoctoral experience in science education at the Illinois Institute of Technology, USA (2012). She served as a biology and natural sciences teacher in secondary and middle school for five years. She is the author or co-author of 20 scientific articles in national and international journals and of five national and international book chapters. She is a founding member of the Chilean Society of Scientific Education, of which she is past president (2014–2015). Her current line of research is pedagogical knowledge content, geoscience education, nature of science, and the professional development of primary school teachers. She is a member of the editorial staff of the *Journal of Science Teacher Education*. She is currently engaged in two funded projects about pedagogical content knowledge, nature of science, scientific argumentation, and climate change education.

Vicki Vescio is Clinical Associate Professor in the School of Teaching and Learning at the University of Florida, USA. She teaches master's and doctoral courses in curriculum, social justice, qualitative research, and culturally responsive pedagogy. She specifically works with pre- and in-service educators to support endeavors designed to make schools more equitable. She is the lead author of *A Review of Research on the Impact of Professional Learning Communities on Teaching Practice and Student Learning*, a publication that has been cited 3,000 times since 2008. Her current research interests include advancing teachers' understandings of social justice as it relates to classroom practices, examining teacher professional development in collaborative groups, and exploring the experiences of educators enrolled in an online professional practice doctoral program focused on equity. Dr. Vescio is also an active member of professional organizations including the American Educational Research Association (AERA) and the National Association for Multicultural Education (NAME), where she engages in service that both advances and gives back to education as a profession.

Jamie Wallace works in educational research and evaluation at the American Museum of Natural History (AMNH), USA. She is a member of the research and evaluation team for the Master of Arts in Teaching Earth Science Residency Program. Some of her current research projects focus on culturally responsive science education and conceptions of mentoring practice. Her background is in cultural and material anthropology and museum ethnography. She has worked at AMNH for more than 10 years and has worked as an evaluator, researcher, and educator in a variety of learning settings in the United States and internationally.

Julianne A. Wenner is Associate Professor at Clemson University, USA. She was Program Coordinator for the MiT in Elementary Education as well as the MA in curriculum and instruction at Boise State University, USA. Dr. Wenner's research focuses on teacher leadership, elementary/early childhood science education, and science teacher education. Wenner also collaborates with interdisciplinary projects to assist with educational research and qualitative data collection and analysis. Her work has been published in *Review of Educational Research, Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, and *Journal of Science Teacher Education*. Wenner currently serves on the Editorial Board for the *International Journal of Teacher Leadership*.

Brooke A. Whitworth is Associate Professor and Doctoral Program Coordinator in Teaching and Learning at Clemson University, USA. Dr. Whitworth's research focuses on teacher leadership, district science coordinators, and more broadly on professional development. Whitworth has received

a teaching award and recognition for her work with undergraduate and graduate students. She currently serves as an Executive Director on the National Association of Research in Science Teaching (NARST) Board of Directors and as Program Coordinator for the Association for Science Teacher Education (ASTE). Whitworth also serves on the Editorial Review Boards for the *Journal of Research in Science Teaching* and *Journal of Science Teacher Education*.

Francesca A. Williamson is Assistant Professor at Indiana University School of Medicine, USA. Dr. Williamson earned her PhD in science education and inquiry methodology. Her research is interdisciplinary and focuses on three main areas: (1) equity work in STEMM education, (2) STEMM future faculty development and socialization, and (3) qualitative and multimethod research in education. She is a research associate for several NSF-funded multimethod and mixed methods projects, including the I CAN PERSIST STEM Initiative for Girls and Women of Color and Cultivating Scientific Literacy and Action through Place-Based Experiential Learning at Butler University. Dr. Williamson was the 2017–2019 Graduate Student Representative to the NARST Executive Board, a 2019 Sandra K. Abell Scholar, and is currently a member of the NARST External Policy and Relations Committee.

Wenyuan Yang is Associate Professor of the College of Teacher Education, Capital Normal University, China. Her areas of research include pre- and in-service teacher education, assessment on scientific literacy, teaching, and learning about scientific conceptions, scientific inquiry, and evaluation and policy of textbooks. She has published more than 20 articles in Chinese and English journals. She is currently completing a book manuscript in Chinese that addresses the policy of schoolbooks in the USA and explores the historical development process of the publishing and adoption of textbooks in the United States. She feels it is enjoyable and joyful to work in this field, appreciates the broad world and wonderful views of this field, and perceives the improvement of her personal thinking style since entering into this field.

Carla Zembal-Saul holds the Kahn Endowed Professorship of STEM Education at the Pennsylvania State University, USA. She is an educational researcher, science teacher educator, and biologist. Dr. Zembal-Saul's work is situated in school–university–community partnerships in the United States and abroad. Her research investigates how preservice and practicing elementary teachers learn to support children's equitable sensemaking in science through participation in disciplinary discourses and practices. Her most recent work is situated in a semi-urban community undergoing rapid demographic shifts with teachers and other education professionals who work with emergent bilingual students and their families. Dr. Zembal-Saul is committed to collaborating with teachers and families to provide opportunities for children from under-resourced communities to experience authentic science and engineering investigations and design.
PREFACE

The idea for this book began with a friend, Patricia (Pat) Friedrichsen. In discussing our final years in science teacher education, we shared the important contributions we hoped to make in the field. Our discussion meandered through research contributions, our work with graduate students, and our work on behalf of different professional organizations. It was an easy conversation that gave us a moment to reflect on the work we had done and the work we planned to conclude in the upcoming years.

We talked about compilations of research that could guide the field, leading us to the topic of handbooks. Both Pat and I have always appreciated handbooks for teacher educators. Clandinin and Husu's (2017) *Handbook of Research on Teacher Education* and Loughran and Hamilton's (2016) *International Handbook of Teacher Education* were two that we found useful in our own work. These handbooks reviewed established and emerging research in teacher education in general, yet they offered insights to the field of science teacher education.

We found that the unique qualities, attributes, and challenges in science teacher education could intersect with the topics in these handbooks. However, the time seemed right for a handbook focused on science teacher education. We understood the potential and the need for such a handbook. Excited in our vision, within two days we had an outline for a *Handbook of Research on Science Teacher Education* and the name of a person at Taylor and Francis.

Our plan for the *Handbook* clipped along. We wanted it to capture essential areas in the field, as well as new areas in need of review. Established science teacher educators with a solid understanding of the field and emerging science teacher education researchers with fresh ideas would be the authors. We envisioned chapters that were concise reviews and that would suggest future research which would be important in years to come. Most importantly, we wanted the *Handbook* to have global appeal. We would ask authors to partner with their colleagues in different countries and attend to research across the globe. It was a lofty vision.

As the *Handbook* started to take shape, Pat was asked to take on new responsibilities at her institution. The university needed her administrative expertise. Pat felt the *Handbook* was in a good position, but she would not have the time needed to review and shape the chapters. It was a difficult decision for Pat, and I wanted to be supportive. I agreed to continue moving the *Handbook* forward, while Pat focused on a new role at her university. This was ultimately a good decision for Pat. During the writing and editing of the *Handbook*, Pat was diagnosed with an aggressive form of lymphoma, underwent chemotherapy, and recovered from a stem cell transplant. Pat reminds me often that she is forever grateful for the power of science and science education. I am too.

Preface

Pat and I discussed potential co-editors, and we agreed that Gail Jones's experience in publishing would be a tremendous asset to this project. In just a few days, Gail and I were talking about the *Handbook*. Gail needed time to think about joining the project. The workload, the reading, and the necessary comments on chapters would add to her already busy schedule. Needless to say, Gail joined the project because it sounded novel and brought her back to her passion of science teacher education.

Taking on this project was a huge leap of faith for Gail. While Pat had contributed to the conceptualization of the project, the procedural part would now rest with Gail and me. We had never worked on a project together before, but Gail's experience as an editor would be an ongoing asset. She could see how to move the chapters along and point out ways to make the chapters stronger. She was ultimately the perfect person for this stage of the project. It was clear Gail and I were like the experimentalists on a physics experiment – charged with enacting the vision of the theorists. We were constantly figuring out how to enhance the chapters in ways that could best present the field of science teacher education.

One of our first tasks was meeting with our global advisory team and our section editors. These two groups had different purposes on this project. Our intent was to make the *Handbook* global. Thus, we convened a global advisory team who suggested authors and occasionally provided reviews of the different chapters. The section editors were important in doing first- or second-level reviews that could guide the authors. In preparing for these meetings, Gail and I identified different documents that needed to be created and shared with the advisory team and editors. Gail's documents were usually completed before mine and always stated what was needed in the final product.

In working with Gail, I have learned that she is organized and procedural. We were a good team for this part of the project. We divided the chapters for review, worked with section editors, discussed the different chapters repeatedly, and decided how to bolster the ideas that were being advanced. Our Tuesday afternoon meetings were good discussions about the topics in the *Handbook*, and they resulted in suggestions that could guide the chapter authors.

As Gail and I worked with the chapters, we were always aware of the challenges our authors were facing. COVID had moved many of our authors to home offices, and many of our authors were navigating the virtual working environment. We had to strike a balance between what we could ask for and what was reasonable to request. Gail was exceptional in this area. She could see good ways to move the different chapters forward, and she could help find new authors when an author or team had to drop out.

In looking over the *Handbook*, I can see that we have achieved a global document that summarizes the research in the field. Across the 35 chapters, the *Handbook* has 111 authors, who come from 22 countries. Most of the authors are from the United States, with a good number from Chile. Authors from South Africa, Israel, Canada, and Australia also have a good presence in the *Handbook*. There are authors from Argentina, Austria, Belgium, China, Colombia, England, Georgia, Germany, Hong Kong, Luxembourg, New Zealand, Singapore, Spain, Switzerland, Taiwan, and Turkey, as well.

As this project comes to fruition, I have a few thoughts. First, I hope the *Handbook* continues to remind us that teachers are not our subjects, but partners in our research work. Each day I spend in a science classroom, I learn something new, and I realize the tremendous knowledge that science teachers hold.

Second, I hope the *Handbook* sees a second edition. I learned quite a bit during this project. Most importantly, I learned that many more areas worth examination are not included in the *Handbook*. So much more empirical work is available to be shared to guide science teacher education.

Finally, we are truly a community of science teacher educators. The individuals comprising the chapter authors in the *Handbook* include people who are new to the field and who will guide our future. Some authors are experienced science teacher education researchers who have made

Preface

significant contributions over time and who have something to say. These individuals reside across the globe, and they easily associate with one another. These authors came together to create an intergenerational and globally oriented *Handbook* that will guide many educators and researchers in the field.

 Julie A. Luft, Distinguished Research Professor,
 Athletic Association Professor of Mathematics and Science Education, University of Georgia

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The list consists of many people who have supported and contributed to this project. To begin with, this project would not have happened without the team at Routledge/Taylor and Francis. Simon Jacobs saw the importance of this project from the beginning. As the COVID pandemic became more pronounced, Simon was still optimistic that we could manage the *Handbook*. As the *Handbook* started to take shape, AnnaMary Goodall was vital. She answered every question asked about formatting and the submission process.

Three University of Georgia students also joined the project. Kayla Prichard, who graduated with her doctorate after we started the project, and José Manuel Pavez, a doctoral candidate, willingly offered to help with the project in the beginning. They were a perfect team in the early part of the project. As time went on, José shifted to a more central role in the project. Joe DeLuca joined José in preparing the final document for Taylor and Francis. Their attention to detail was essential during this phase. I am forever indebted to José for his connections to collaborators across the globe (many in the *Handbook*) and for his attention to detail in organizing the *Handbook* for submission to Taylor and Francis. The *Handbook* would not be possible without Kayla, José, and Joe.

The *Handbook* came into its own because of the section editors. The team was great to work with from the beginning through to the final stages. Each section editor was diligent in communicating with authors and focused on having each chapter in its best state. As in all endeavors, these editors brought their own skills to this project. It was an outstanding team. Thank you, Sarah J. Carrier, Lauren Madden, David F. Jackson, Soonhye Park, and Rachel Mamlok-Naaman.

Finally, this project was made possible, in part, through funding from the University of Georgia Office of the Vice President for Research and the Athletic Association Professorship in Mathematics and Science Education. The findings or conclusions offered in the *Handbook* do not necessarily reflect the views of the people at these organizations.



SECTION 1

Research in Science Teacher Education

Section Editor: Julie A. Luft

Science teacher education relies on empirical work to advance the field. Through investigations of science teaching, science learning, and teacher learning, knowledge accumulates that provides insights into ways science teachers should be supported throughout their careers. Investigations in the field of science teacher education can use different theories, methodologies, or methods to contribute to the knowledge base. Of course, the methodological, theoretical, and conceptual orientation also entails a sound understanding of the studied area. As many researchers know, these considerations are only a few that are important for empirical work that contributes to the field.

The chapters in this section represent a few areas associated with empirical work in science teacher education. They were initially envisioned to be educative and directive for those in science teacher education and science teacher education research. With a broad charge, the authors of these chapters offer science teacher education researchers insights into different dimensions of educational research. They conceptualize science teacher education can contribute to the field of science teacher education.

This section begins with a chapter by Erduran and Guilfoyle, who take a broad view of the nature of research in science teacher education. In their conceptualization of the research, they describe the space existing between science teachers and science teacher educators. The complex nature of this research space is evident in the examples they provide. They describe a continuum of teacher learning that reaches from preservice teachers to experienced teachers to knowledgeable veterans. Science teacher educators engage in the process of research in different ways. These groups are essential in shaping the space of science teacher education research.

Erduran and Guilfoyle's chapter is to be appreciated for the way in which they attempt to portray this space. Like a painting that is the result of both subject and artist, the activity of science teacher education research is varied. Within this activity is a topic of study that associates with an area and that can have an orientation that ranges from broad, macro, or general to refined, micro, or specific. The varied positioning becomes evident in the examples later in the chapter. However, Erduran and Guilfoyle leave the door open for different configurations or descriptions that comprise the activity of science teacher education, and future science teacher educators are left to contemplate these configurations.

Within science teacher education research are different methodologies and methods that contribute to the variability of contributions. The next chapters broadly contemplate these areas. Tai, Taylor, Reddy, and Banilower provide an overview regarding large data sets that are used in education. The

Julie A. Luft

data sets they focus on are the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Surveys (TIMSS), the National Teachers and Principals Survey, the National Assessment of Education Progress, the National Survey of Science and Mathematics Education, and the High School Longitudinal Study of 2009. In their examination of these data sets, they suggest how the data can be used to inform science teacher education and add to the field's knowledge in various science teacher education research areas.

Their overview provides science teacher educators and researchers with some important considerations related to using these data sets. As experienced researchers who work with large data sets, Tai et al. are the right people to distill the important considerations that should be made when working with these and similar data sets. They also provide a solid example from South Africa about how an analysis of TIMSS data can guide science teacher educators in their work with teachers.

Moore Mensah and Chen, in contrast to analyzing large data sets, explore how science teacher education researchers utilize qualitative or interpretivist research methods. Their analysis of published articles reveals that general qualitative studies and case studies were the most prevalent methods, followed by grounded theory, ethnography, phenomenology, narrative, action research, and self-study. To frame these areas, spotlight studies are selected and described in a way that provides insights about these types of studies to both new and experienced researchers.

The descriptions provided by Moore Mensah and Chen illustrate the manner in which these studies are designed and enacted. These descriptions also provide guidance to those who engage in qualitative research. The authors point out the complex nature of qualitative work and the importance of qualitative research in understanding the varied nature of science teacher education. They also reiterate the need for the purposeful selection and discussion of the research process, especially in areas needing understanding. Qualitative research, they posit, is well-positioned to explore and address many topics that are underexplored – most notably, issues of power/knowledge, diversity, equity, and inclusion.

Buck and Williamson's chapter on mixed methods research is focused on ways mixed methods studies can and do contribute to the knowledge base in science teacher education. They begin their overview by defining mixed methods research, which is followed by a discussion of the purposes and questions associated with mixed methods research. The rest of the chapter describes the ways mixed methods approaches are used in science teacher education, what has been learned from mixed methods work, and what mixed methods researchers should look toward in the future.

The important contribution of this chapter resides in two areas: the discussion of ways mixed methods research is used in the science teacher education community and the knowledge obtained through mixed methods approaches. Not surprisingly, much of the mixed methods research in science teacher education is evaluative in nature. Buck and Williamson suggest that science teacher education researchers should move beyond this evaluative stance and use mixed methods approaches to understand the more nuanced how-and-why aspect of a study. This methodological orientation will help build a knowledge base with utility in science teacher education.

The final chapter in this section, by Calabrese-Barton, Tan, Schenkel, and Benavides, focuses on the equity-oriented research framework referred to as "rightful presence." According to Calabrese-Barton et al., this emerging framework pushes equity beyond the notions of inclusion and focuses on high-quality learning experiences that allow students to address their experiences and redress systemic inequities. In this section, they describe the framework, link it to science teacher education, and suggest ways science educators and science teachers can support the enactment of this framework.

The contribution of the chapter to this section is significant. It illustrates how an emerging framework focused on students can be used to guide research in science teacher education. Descriptions in this chapter are drawn from their work in the field with teachers, and they suggest how teachers can create this type of instructional space. The focus on the enactment of a rightful presence framework certainly leaves room for research that explores how science teachers move (or not) toward this approach. The space between the framework and the actions of the teachers and students is ripe for exploring how to support science teacher learning. This opportunity for research occurs with so many other frameworks that are important in science teacher education.

As a collection, the chapters in this section provide a characterization of science teacher education research, an overview of a few research approaches, and an example of ways in which a framework can guide research and implications for science teaching. While several other chapters could have been included in this section, these chapters serve as a beginning point. As researchers review these chapters, they may engage in generative discussions that contemplate how science teacher education researchers engage in their investigations, how they situate their work within a framework, and how their work contributes to the knowledge base in the field of science teacher education. We hope these discussions result in new characterizations, overviews, or examples, and potential chapters for the next handbook.



THE IMPORTANCE OF RESEARCH IN SCIENCE TEACHER EDUCATION

Sibel Erduran and Liam Guilfoyle

Introduction

Research in STE is a complex area that involves a range of theoretical perspectives (e.g., sociocultural theories, cognitive psychological frameworks), methodological approaches (e.g., action research, experimental studies, ethnographies, case studies) and actors (e.g., teacher educators, student teachers, in-service teachers). Not all research is STE is empirical in nature. In fact, very important research involves conceptual, theoretical, philosophical, or other non-empirical approaches. For example, there are systematic reviews (e.g., Rushton & Reiss, 2021) and meta-analyses on STE (Kraft et al., 2018). Often, theoretical studies challenge the community to think about what key issues need to be the focus of investigation, problematizing the function, purpose, or direction of STE in research, policy, and practice (e.g., Luehmann, 2007). In this chapter, we trace the scope and breadth of recent research in STE by raising three questions: (a) What are the purposes of research in STE? (b) What are the key concepts and methods underpinning research in STE? and (c) What are some example areas of research in STE? Given that STE is a very rich and complex domain as evidenced by the remit of this handbook itself, it is beyond the scope of a single chapter to cover all aspects of research in STE. Hence, the chapter is intended to provide a meta-perspective on a set of example areas of research to illustrate the rationale for carrying out research in STE and to illustrate some indicative areas of work for advancing the field.

Many international curriculum reform efforts have placed new and emerging demands on science teachers, making it necessary to develop teachers' knowledge about a whole range of issues (Reiser, 2013). For example, in the USA, the Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS) (NGSS, Lead States, 2013) have recently prompted a shift in the emphasis away from the breadth of too much content to a focus on the in-depth development of core explanatory ideas. Similar shifts in curricula in other parts of the world have been observed, for instance in the case of the inclusion of argumentation in the science curriculum in South Africa (Erduran & Msimanga, 2014). Another dimension of recent science curriculum reform includes the emphasis on integrated science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) in education when, traditionally, these subjects are taught separately in schools. However, research has illustrated that there may be a lack of coherence in how different aspects of STEM are represented in curriculum documents. For example, by tracing the disciplinary aims, values, methods, and practices of STEM disciplines in science curriculum standards from Korea and Taiwan as well as the USA, Park et al. (2020) demonstrated that mathematics is underemphasized in science curriculum statements.

Sibel Erduran and Liam Guilfoyle

In light of recent developments in science curriculum reform, we review a set of themes that highlight the significance of research in STE. Researching the experiences of teachers as they navigate their developmental journey is helpful for teacher educators to better understand so that they can respond to teachers' needs. Likewise, teacher educators' research into their own practice can potentially improve the quality of their teaching. The discussion will identify (a) the purposes of doing research in STE, (b) the key constructs that frame research in STE, and (c) some example areas of research in STE. As we survey research in STE, we will often use the generic term "teacher" rather than the specific terms preservice teacher (PST) or in-service teacher. This is in recognition of the continuum of teacher education, which extends beyond the initial phase focusing on preservice teachers (Kahle & Kronebusch, 2003).

Key Constructs in Research in Science Teacher Education

Teacher education can be highly contested and variable in different jurisdictions around the world (Kitchen & Petrarca, 2016). Over the past decade, there have been increasing calls for teacher education to become more "evidence informed" and for research to become a more integral part of teacher education (Menter & Flores, 2020). The role of research in teacher education programmes was discussed in broad and inclusive terms by the BERA-RSA report (2014). The report cited purposes such as (a) informing the content of teacher education, (b) informing the designing and structure of teacher education, (c) equipping teachers and teacher educators to engage with and be discerning consumers of research, and (d) to equip teachers and teacher educators to conduct their own research investigating the impact of particular interventions or to explore the positive and negative effects of educational practice. It has also been argued that teacher educators' understandings and experiences of research can influence their teaching approaches in initial teacher education (Brew & Saunders, 2020). Therefore, it appears important to develop research programmes in teacher education where teacher educators investigate their own practices and "engage in collaborative research-based partnerships with school mentors, student teachers and teachers" (Menter & Flores, 2020, p. 9).

As a research field, STE literature presents a plethora of theoretical and empirically derived constructs such as "Pedagogical Content Knowledge" (PCK) and "Metacognition" which are also prominent in generic teacher education literature. These constructs often frame researchers' discussions about how teachers learn to teach as well as the nature of their pedagogical and subject knowledge. Numerous theoretical orientations, thus, inform such constructs including cognitive psychological accounts in the case of "metacognition" and epistemological perspectives, including the nature of subject knowledge (Schwab, 1962). PCK, a concept proposed by Lee Shulman (1986), has framed much research in STE. PCK has provided a powerful framework to illustrate a central feature of teachers' knowledge. Shulman described PCK as "The most useful forms of content representation . . . the most powerful analogies, illustrations, examples, explanations, and demonstrations – in a word, the ways of representing and formulating the subject that makes it comprehensible for others" (p. 9). Various iterations of PCK have been proposed by other researchers, often complemented with other aspects of science teaching including subject knowledge (Berry et al., 2015; Hume et al., 2019).

Grossman (1990) added two other components to Shulman's original PCK components: knowledge of curriculum, and knowledge of purposes for teaching. A further account was proposed by Magnusson et al. (1999). This model added three components to Shulman's original ones: orientation to teaching science (i.e., knowledge and beliefs about purposes and goals for teaching), knowledge of science curricula, and knowledge of assessment of scientific literacy. A recent perspective on teacher knowledge uses a transformative yet structured model of teacher professional knowledge and skills. A model proposed by Gess-Newsom (2015) incorporates ideas from Shulman (1986), such as PCK, as well as other concepts such as Teacher Professional Knowledge Bases (TPKB) and Topic Specific Professional Knowledge (TSPK). The model makes explicit that content for teaching occurs at the topic levels (i.e., chromatography) and not at the disciplinary level (e.g., chemistry). Furthermore, authors have argued that subject matter, pedagogy, and context can be considered in unison.

"Metacognition" is another widely and broadly used concept in teacher education. It is often considered as knowledge about cognition which refers to one's knowledge about her/his own cognition (Schraw & Moshman, 1995). It consists of three sub-components: (a) declarative, (b) procedural, and (c) conditional knowledge. Declarative knowledge is defined as one's knowledge about oneself as a cognitive processor. Procedural knowledge involves knowledge about execution of procedures for a specific cognitive task. The conditional knowledge refers to knowledge of why and when to use a particular strategy for a particular cognitive task. Accounts of science teachers' cognition include domain-specific aspects of science teaching, such as the teaching of scientific inquiry. Examples of metacognition articulated in the work of STE researchers include Zohar's (2012) framework that distinguishes meta-strategic knowledge or MSK as a sub-component of metacognition. MSK is the "thinking behind the thinking" (meta-level of thinking) rather than the "thinking behind the doing" (Zohar & Ben-David, 2008).

Research in STE is conducted through a range of methodological approaches. The sorts of knowledge claims that these audiences are interested in may differ, and so the sorts of evidence or method of generating evidence that they value may also differ. More generally, there are noticeable trends towards valuing forms of evidence in education, and efforts to make educational research "more scientific" (Wrigley, 2018). Researchers in STE often use experimental methods and randomised control trial (RCT) approaches to study the impact of interventions. While there is perhaps a heightened value on RCTs or experimental studies in some spheres, researchers in STE recognise value in wider forms of evidence for informing practice. There are a broad range of frequently used research approaches beyond the experimental designs, including action research, ethnographies, and case studies. Quantitative (Ronald, 2012) and mixed methods (Luft et al., 2011) studies that seek to explore and explain relationships between variables, such as between teacher competence, quality, and student outcomes (e.g., Fauth et al., 2019). Further examples include investigations about how individuals in particular contexts respond in given research instruments for beliefs or understanding at points in time, or developmentally over periods of time (e.g., Herman & Clough, 2016).

Areas of Research in Science Teacher Education

Research in STE often differentiates the issues related to beginning and in-service teachers (Cochran et al., 1993; Friedrichsen et al., 2010). The needs of beginning and experienced teachers can vary significantly. For example, while experienced teachers can benefit from professional development on higher-order thinking skills, novice teachers tend to focus on more basic matters such as classroom management (Luft et al., 2011). Regardless of the career trajectories of teachers, studies on teacher education draw from a range of foundational disciplines that frame science teaching and teacher education. Some areas are guided by theoretical constructs from diverse fields such as cognitive psychology – for instance, those focusing on teachers' cognition (Borko & Putnam, 1996) – and sociology of the teaching profession – for instance, those focusing on teaching in the broader societal norms and institutional imperatives (Ferfolja et al., 2015). Figure 1.1 provides an illustration of the areas of research in STE and the ways in which these areas relate to each other.

Any such illustration will necessarily be limited insofar as it is a reduction of the true complexity of teacher education. However, such representations can help summarise some of the key constituents of teacher education where research efforts are placed. In the center of Figure 1.1 lies the activity of STE. This activity is flanked by the primary actors involved in the activity, namely teachers and teacher educators. Research in STE will be related to all three of these elements, but some research is associated with one more than the others. A wide range of research is undertaken pertaining to the actors involved in teacher education (i.e., their beliefs, their background profiles and journeys, or



Figure 1.1 Areas of research in science teacher education

other characteristics) as well as systemic elements including the broader policy landscape of teacher education and accountability measures.

There are a variety of different areas and levels of research on the activity of STE, and some of the most pertinent of these are represented around the outside of the activity. Many of these are unpacked in greater detail in the body of the chapter but are briefly introduced here as a way to think about the breath of research in STE. *Landscape* refers to the kinds of research that are most concerned with understanding the "current state" of STE, particularly in light of policies in national as well as international comparative contexts (Scherr & Chasteen, 2020). For example, research can focus on who is entering STE (Roloff Henoch et al., 2015) or the policies relating to STE more generally (Olson et al., 2015). *Orientations* refers to the forms of research which are related to the overarching principles of STE, often informed by philosophies of education or other underpinning values. These principles and values guide the decision-making of STE and even the fundamental structure or approach to teacher preparation that is adopted (Craig, 2016). *Accountability* as a term in this representation includes a reasonably wide range of research interests. It differs from *Landscape* in that it is less about providing an account of the current state (the who, what, and how of current provision) and more about the measures and outcomes of the process.

The most familiar area of research is related to how teacher education programmes are evaluated for their outcomes such as teacher retention (Zhang & Zeller, 2016) or student achievement (Boyd et al., 2009). Subsumed in such evaluations, there is also the assessment and certification of teachers that many teacher education providers are accredited to undertake (Richmond et al., 2019). An area of research involves teacher competencies and how to assess that such competencies are adequately developed in STE. *Strategies and self-study* are closely related in that they can both be concerned with the practices of teacher educators (Bullock & Russell, 2012; Hordvik et al., 2020; Loughran et al., 2004). Research on pedagogical strategies used in STE can be subjected to a wide range of forms of empirical study, some of which are on a large scale (Ronald, 2012) while others are investigated and usefully described in rich detail through an individual teacher educators' own self-study (Russell & Berry, 2014). Teacher self-studies can be concerned with their own developmental journeys as actors in the activity of teacher education, and not just about the impact of their strategies. In the rest of this section, we focus on some example areas of research that are subsumed under each aspect of the broad characterisation in Figure 1.1.

Teacher beliefs, attitudes, dispositions, and identities

Research on beliefs, attitudes, and dispositions of teachers, both preservice and in-service, has been a long-standing strand in STE (Bryan, 2012). Much of this research has been motivated by the need

to identify the thought processes that drive teacher behavior, paralleled with the idea that changes in such mental constructs could yield changes in teaching practices (Cochrane-Smith & Fries, 2005). Approaches in research shifted towards considering teacher education as learning experience where it was necessary to understand how teachers' knowledge and beliefs develop, and how teachers ultimately translate these beliefs into practice (Bryan, 2012). The task of defining the construct of teacher beliefs is a challenging one (Pajares, 1992), and despite a growing literature on the topic, it continues to be "murky" and lacking consensus (Fives & Buehl, 2012). Nonetheless, it has been argued that preservice teachers come to teacher education with pre-existing beliefs (Yesil-Dagli et al., 2012) and these beliefs act as filters for the information encountered in their education (Fives & Buehl, 2017). It is therefore important for teacher educators to investigate and understand the beliefs of preservice teachers to establish how their learning progression can be supported (Guilfoyle et al., 2020).

A range of beliefs, attitudes, and dispositions have been examined as important and influential in teacher education, including instructional beliefs (Rubie-Davies, 2015), goal-orientation beliefs (Anderman et al., 2002), self-efficacy beliefs (Cakiroglu et al., 2012), as well as beliefs about assessment (Barnes et al., 2015), technology (Hermans et al., 2008) and diversity (Gay, 2010). Among the wide range of beliefs that are relevant for teaching in general, beliefs about the nature of the subject/ discipline are clearly of particular importance to STE. Shulman (1986) argued that teachers need to be able to guide students not only in learning the "accepted truths in a domain" but also in why these truths are deemed warranted in the domain (p. 9). For science teachers to be able to do so, STE must consider understanding of the nature of the discipline as part of the subject matter preparation of teachers (Ball & McDiarmid, 1990). Indeed, the science education community has long focused on this issue of students' and teachers' beliefs about the nature of science (e.g., Erduran & Dagher, 2014; Lederman, 1992).

Some researchers have taken particular interest in the beliefs about the epistemic nature of the discipline and considered how these play a role in learning (Peng & Fitzgerald, 2006), teaching (Kang, 2008), and learning to teach (Buehl & Fives, 2016). Researchers are often interested in how such themes develop over time. Consequently, longitudinal studies have been designed and implemented to trace teachers' development over the course of teacher education and into their careers (Buldur, 2017; Herman & Clough, 2016). A relatively recent area of research in STE focuses on science teachers' identities (Avraamidou, 2014), and here, too, there is growing interest in identity development through the life cycle of the teacher (Hong et al., 2017). Although this is a recent emphasis in science education research, preservice science teachers' identities have been investigated from a developmental and social psychological perspective in the broader teacher education for a number of years (Friesen & Besley, 2013).

Pedagogy of Teacher Education

Being concerned with the development of teachers, STE researchers take particular interest in understanding the aspects and activities of teacher education that can support teachers' professional development. Studies of the content and processes of teacher education take a number of forms. At the broadest level, studies can be conducted which aim to generate an understanding of the land-scape of STE provision at any given point in time. For example, the Research on Science Education Survey (ROSES) report in the USA (Newton & Watson, 1968), provided insight into, amongst other things, the particular practices of teacher education employed in various institutions (e.g., the use of class discussion, student laboratories, student demonstrations, mock teaching, construction of teaching units, and lecturing). Other studies about the pedagogy of STE can focus on the level of the overarching orientation to programme construction. More recently, Olson (2017) explained how STE programmes can be differently constructed depending on the conceptual orientation of "construction," "resolution," discrimination," or "assimilation."

Sibel Erduran and Liam Guilfoyle

Korthagen (2016) argued that the pedagogy of teacher education should be different from other areas of higher education and that teacher educators need to "show exemplary pedagogical behaviour" (p. 313). Thus, the development and study of specific pedagogical practices in teacher education has been an important and growing area of research. Korthagen reviews illustrative examples of specific pedagogical practices and techniques that have been studied in the context of teacher education, including workplace learning, case methods, the use of video, approximations of practice, reflective practice, learning communities, narratives, teacher research, portfolios, and modeling (2016, pp. 320– 331). In the specific case of STE, Berry and Loughran (2012) documented how science teacher educators developed their personal pedagogies for STE, and how they articulate these pedagogies in ways that can impact the work of others. They describe a series of self-studies where science teacher educators explore tensions in their practice to build upon and communicate their pedagogies. However, science teacher educators can investigate pedagogies in other ways as well. For example, Scantlebury et al. (2008) conducted a longitudinal ethnographic study of the implementation of co-teaching in an undergraduate science education course. In doing so, they evaluate their own practice and share both the affordances and challenges of the pedagogical strategy for preservice teachers and teacher educators.

Siry and Martin (2014) used case study approaches to examine the role of video analysis in supporting preservice science teachers to reflect on their classroom teacher, in tandem with cogenerative dialogue, to make reflexive changes to their practice. They argue that while the pedagogy of using video-based media in STE has received attention in literature, there is less reporting of the impact resulting from such practices for teachers. Siry and Martin's research suggests that their dialogic video analysis can be transformative for preservice teachers' practice. Hetherington and Wegerif (2018) use a large-scale international teacher survey and teacher interviews in a case study school to argue how dialogic pedagogy in STE needs to be cognisant of the material-dialogic relationships (i.e., not just focusing on words, but also how material resources used in the science classroom are linked to the dialogue). In this case, the research advocates for a pedagogy of teacher education by identifying a gap, rather than evaluating the implementation of the pedagogy. In summary, the scope of research on the pedagogy of STE is vast and diverse, ranging from the macro levels of ascertaining the landscape of provision and categorisation of approaches to programme construction, to micro levels of measuring and articulating pedagogical strategies.

Teacher Educators' Professional Development

An important yet still growing focus of research in teacher education has been on teacher educators themselves, including their journeys, identities, beliefs, practices, and competencies (Korthagen et al., 2005; Lunenberg et al., 2011). However, there is still much left to do in this area, particularly in the specific cases of science teacher educators (Berry & Van Driel, 2012). Research studies on teacher educators address the questions of "Who teaches teachers?" "How do they become teacher educators?" "How can teacher educators be supported in their development?" These studies can focus on the personal experiences and professional journeys to becoming a teacher educator, including the challenges and opportunities along the way. Some focus on pathways through various career roles, such as from classroom teacher to cooperating teacher or from school-based mentor to university teacher educators, whose identities and backgrounds do not always match the "academic scholar" of other disciplines in the academy (e.g., Murray & Male, 2005; Loughran, 2011). Such research on teacher educators can help to better understand how STE works in practice and how best to support science teacher educators' own professional journeys.

An example of a study that homed in on the case of subject discipline teacher educators is that of Johnston and Purcell (2020). These authors explored the profiles and practices of those involved

in initial teacher education programmes who provide disciplinary content knowledge to preservice teachers (e.g., a physics lecturer on a teacher education course). Johnston and Purcell argued that although undergraduate preservice teachers would spend a significant portion of their initial teacher education course with such subject discipline teacher educators, little attention has been paid to them in teacher education research or policy. Erduran and Kaya (2019) reflected on their own journeys as science teacher educators as they collaboratively designed and taught a preservice science teacher education course about nature of science. The authors remarked about their own exposure to the foundational disciplines of history, philosophy, and sociology of science that help frame nature of science in science education. Research accounts of science teacher educators thus help to identify the opportunities as well as constraints to teacher educators' own knowledge base in what they are including in their teaching. Berry & Van Driel (2012), in their study of science teacher educators' expertise and practices, suggest that this form of research can contribute not only to a better understanding of science teacher educators' work but also to "the development of a pedagogy of STE" (p. 117).

Teacher Education Communities, Institutions, and Accountability

Some researchers argue that a systemic approach that considers teacher education communities, institutions, and accountability mechanisms is necessary for significant and lasting changes to reforming science teacher education (Bryk et al., 2015; Coburn & Penuel, 2016). However, research investigating STE through frameworks focusing on a systems approach are scarce. Based on a review of literature, Allen and Heredia (2021) specify four practices that can aid in designing professional learning to facilitate science teachers' organizational sensemaking of science reform. These practices are intended to complement and expand upon existing best practices for teacher professional learning, including active learning opportunities for teachers. The authors recommend practices that are aimed at intentionally surfacing organizational sensemaking: (a) anticipating sources of uncertainty and ambiguity teachers may experience due to their organizational context and (b) triggering sensemaking during professional learning meetings. These practices are then followed by opportunities to reduce ambiguity and uncertainty through (c) collective meaning-making and materials development and (d) sustained professional development and iteration around perceived barriers to implementation.

Organisations that provide teacher education are governed by accountability for quality and performance (Gitomer, 2003). For example, in many parts of the world, there are governmentbased standards for being qualified to teach, and teacher training programmes are periodically inspected for quality assurance purposes. Research on such matters of accountability is often conducted within organisational settings and commissioned by the relevant organisation. For instance, in the United Kingdom, Ofsted has published research evidence underpinning the education inspection framework. Ofsted stands for Office for Standards in Education, Children's Services and Skills, and it is a non-ministerial department of the UK government, reporting to Parliament. Ofsted is responsible for inspecting a range of educational institutions, including initial teacher training. Ofsted regularly conducts research drawing on a range of sources, including both Ofsted's own research programme and a review of the existing evidence base. Ofsted subsequently used their research report to justify the key judgements for a proposed new framework on inspection of schools, including quality of education, leadership, and management (Ofsted, 2019). When research is conducted within the institutional settings of accountability, particular biases may potentially arise guided by ideological stances (e.g., Murray & Wittaker, 2018). Nevertheless, it can also be argued that the research-policy gap is narrowed when organisations that govern and lead STE provisions engage in research.

Discussion and Conclusion

The chapter outlined an overview of research in STE, including some key constructs and areas of research. The discussion raises numerous questions, some of which pertain to long-standing problems. For example, questions about the theory-practice gap (Kortkagen & Kessels, 1999) and scaling up of research outcomes involving a small number of teachers to the system level of teacher education (Schalock et al., 2006) persist. As the research base in STE continues to build, a significant concern is the extent to which congruence in evidence is established across the various methods, agents, concepts, and contexts of research. At times, the interpretations in evidence may potentially include biases of researchers imposing meanings on teachers, teaching, and teacher education not necessarily matching those of the participants of research. Convergence in collaboration and dialogue among the stakeholders of STE is likely to improve the credibility of evidence generated through multiplicity of approaches to research in STE. Some examples of spaces that are aiming to create platforms for such interaction are beginning to emerge. For instance, there are now websites that build connections, mediate the development of research projects, and enable sharing of research findings as exemplified by the Teachers' Research Exchange (T-Rex) in Ireland (McGann et al., 2020). Such initiatives are already extending the more traditional School-University Partnerships for research collaboration in STE, such as the Oxford Deanery situated at our own institution (Fancourt et al., 2015) and research briefs generated by organisations such as the NSTA in the USA that are intended to communicate outcomes of research. Ultimately, effective incorporation of robust research evidence in STE will ensure that science teachers are well prepared for the demands of teaching.

References

- Allen, C. D., & Heredia, S. C. (2021). Reframing organizational contexts from barriers to levers for teacher learning in science education reform. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 32(2), 148–166.
- Anderman, L. H., Patrick, H., Hruda, L. Z., & Linnenbrink, E. A. (2002). Observing classroom goal structures to clarify and expand goal theory. In C. Midgley (Ed.), *Goals, goal structures, and patterns of adaptive learning* (pp. 243–294). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Avraamidou, L. (2014). Studying science teacher identity: Current insights and future research directions. Studies in Science Education, 50(2), 145–179.
- Ball, D. L., & McDiarmid, G. W. (1990). The subject-matter preparation of teachers. In W. R. Houston, M. Haberman, & J. Sikula (Eds.), *Handbook of research on teacher education* (pp. 437–449). New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Barnes, N., Fives, H., & Dacey, C. (2015). Teachers' beliefs about assessment. In H. Fives & M. G. Gill (Eds.), International handbook of research on teacher beliefs (pp. 284–300). Oxon: Routledge.
- BERA-RSA. (2014). Research and the teaching profession: Building the capacity for a self-improving education system. Final Report of the BERA-RSA Inquiry into the Role of Research in Teacher Education. London. www.thersa.org/discover/publications-and-articles/reports/researchand-the-teaching-profession-buildingthe-capacity-for-a-self-improving-education-system
- Berry, A., Friedrichsen, P., & Loughran, J. (2015). *Re-examining pedagogical content knowledge in science education*. New York: Routledge.
- Berry, A., & Loughran, J. (2012). Developing science teacher educators' pedagogy of teacher education. In B. J. Fraser, K. Tobin, & C. J. McRobbie (Eds.), Second international handbook of science education (pp. 401–415). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Berry, A., & Van Driel, J. H. (2012). Teaching about teaching science: Aims, strategies, and backgrounds of science teacher educators. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 64(2), 117–128.
- Borko, H., & Putnam, R. T. (1996). Learning to teach. In D. C. Berliner & R. C. Calfee (Eds.), *Handbook of educational psychology* (p. 673–708). Macmillan Library Reference USA; Prentice Hall International.
- Boyd, D. J., Grossman, P. L., Lankford, H., Loeb, S., & Wyckoff, J. (2009). Teacher preparation and student achievement. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 31(4), 416–440. https://doi.org/10.3102/0162373709353129
- Brew, A., & Saunders, C. (2020). Making sense of research-based learning in teacher education. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 87(January), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2019.102935

- Bryan, L. A. (2012). Research on science teacher beliefs. In B. Fraser, K. Tobin, & C. McRobbie (Eds.), Second International Handbook of Science Education. Springer. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-9041-7_33
- Bryk, A. S., Gomez, L. M., Grunow, A., & LeMahieu, P. G. (2015). Learning to improve: How America's Schools can get better at getting better. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.
- Buehl, M. M., & Fives, H. (2016). The role of epistemic cognition in teacher learning and praxis. In J. A. Greene,
 W. A. Sandoval, & I. Bråten (Eds.), *Handbook of epistemic cognition* (pp. 247–264). New York: Routledge.
- Buldur, S. (2017). A longitudinal investigation of the preservice science teachers' beliefs about science teaching during a science teacher training programme. *International Journal of Science Education*, 39(1), 1–19.
- Bullock, S., & Russell, T. (Eds.). (2012). Self-studies of science teacher education practices. Springer: Dordrecht.
- Cakiroglu, J., Capa-Aydin, Y., & Hoy, A. W. (2012). Science teaching efficacy beliefs. In B. J. Fraser, K. Tobin, & C. J. McRobbie (Eds.), Second international handbook of science education (pp. 449–461). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Coburn, C. E., & Penuel, W. R. (2016). Research practice partnerships in education: Outcomes, dynamics, and open questions. *Educational Researcher*, 45(1), 48–54.
- Cochran, K. F., DeRuiter, J. A., & King, R. A. (1993). Pedagogical content knowing: An integrative model for teacher preparation. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 44, 263–272.
- Cochran-Smith, M., & Fries, K. (2005). Researching teacher education in changing times: Politics and paradigms. In M. Cochran-Smith & K. M. Zeichner (Eds.), *Studying teacher education: The report of the AERA Panel on research and teacher education* (pp. 69–110). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Craig, C. (2016). Structure of teacher education. In J. Loughran & M. L. Hamilton (Eds.), International handbook of teacher education (Vol. 1, pp. 69–135). Singapore: Springer.
- Erduran, S., & Dagher, Z. R. (2014). Reconceptualizing the nature of science for science education: Scientific knowledge, practices and other family categories. Netherlands: Springer.
- Erduran, S., & Kaya, E. (2019). Transforming teacher education through the epistemic core of chemistry. Switzerland: Springer.
- Erduran, S., & Msimanga, A. (2014). Science curriculum reform in South Africa: Lessons for professional development from research on argumentation in science education. *Education as Change*, 18(S1), S33–S46.
- Fancourt, N., Edwards, A., & Menter, I. (2015). Reimagining a school university partnership: The development of the oxford education deanery narrative. *Education Inquiry*, 6(3), 27724.
- Fauth, B., Decristan, J., Decker, A.-T., Büttner, G., Hardy, I., Klieme, E., & Kunter, M. (2019). The effects of teacher competence on student outcomes in elementary science education: The mediating role of teaching quality. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 86, 102882.
- Ferfolja, T., Jones Díaz, C., & Ullman, J. (2015). Understanding sociological theory for educational practices. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316151167
- Fives, H., & Buehl, M. M. (2012). Spring cleaning for the "messy" construct of teachers' beliefs: What are they? Which have been examined? What can they tell us? In K. Harris, S. Graham, T. Urdan, C. McCormick, G. Sinatra, & J. Sweller (Eds.), APA educational psychology handbook: Theories, constructs, and critical issues (Vol. 1, pp. 471–499). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. https://doi.org/doi:10.1037/13273-008
- Fives, H., & Buehl, M. M. (2017). The functions of teachers' beliefs: Personal epistemology on the pinning block. In G. Schraw, J. Lunn, L. Olafson, & M. VenderVeldt (Eds.), *Teachers' personal epistemologies: Evolving* models for transforming practice (pp. 25–54). Charlotte, NC: Information Ag.
- Friedrichsen, P., Van Driel, J. H., & Abell, S. K. (2010). Taking a closer look at science teaching orientations. Science Education, 95, 358–376.
- Friesen, M. D., & Besley, S. C. (2013). Teacher identity development in the first year of teacher education: A developmental and social psychological perspective. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 36, 23–32.
- Gay, G. (2010). Acting on beliefs in teacher education for cultural diversity. Journal of Teacher Education, 61(1–2), 143–152. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487109347320
- Gess-Newsome, J. (2015). A model of teacher professional knowledge and skill including PCK: Results of the thinking from the PCK summit. In A. Berry, P. Friedrichsen, & J. Loughran (Eds.), *Re-examining pedagogical content knowledge in Science Education* (pp. 28–42). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Gitomer, D. (2003). Preparing teachers around the world. Policy information report. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Grossman, P. L. (1990). The making of a teacher: Teacher knowledge and teacher education. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Guilfoyle, L., McCormack, O., & Erduran, S. (2020, April). The "tipping point" for educational research: The role of pre-service science teachers' epistemic beliefs in evaluating the professional utility of educational research. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *90*.

- Herman, B. C., & Clough, M. P. (2016). Teachers' longitudinal NOS understanding after having completed a science teacher education program. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 14(1), 207–227. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-014-9594-1
- Hermans, R., Tondeur, J., van Braak, J., & Valcke, M. (2008). The impact of primary school teachers' educational beliefs on classroom use of computers. *Computers & Education*, 51, 1499–1509.
- Hetherington, L., & Wegerif, R. (2018). Developing a material-dialogic approach to pedagogy to guide science teacher education. *Journal of Education for Teaching*, 44(1), 27–43. https://doi.org/10.1080/02607476. 2018.1422611
- Hong, J., Greene, B., & Lowery, J. (2017). Multiple dimensions of teacher identity development from preservice to early years of teaching: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Education for Teaching*, 43(1), 84–98. https:// doi.org/10.1080/02607476.2017.1251111
- Hordvik, M., MacPhail, A., & Ronglan, L. T. (2020). Developing a pedagogy of teacher education using selfstudy: A rhizomatic examination of negotiating learning and practice. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2019.102969.
- Hume, A., Cooper, R., & Borowski, A. (2019). Repositioning pedagogical content knowledge in teachers' knowledge for teaching science. Singapore: Springer.
- Johnston, J., & Purcell, R. (2020). Who else is teaching the teachers? The subject discipline teacher educator in initial teacher education. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768 .2020.1803267
- Kahle, J. B., & Kronebusch, M. (2003). Science teacher education: From a fractured system to a seamless continuum. *Review of Policy Research*, 20(4), 585–602.
- Kang, N. H. (2008). Learning to teach science: Personal epistemologies, teaching goals, and practices of teaching. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 24(2), 478–498.
- Kitchen, J., & Petrarca, D. (2016). Approaches to teacher education. In J. Loughran & M. L. Hamilton (Eds.), International handbook of teacher education (Vol. 1, pp. 127–186). Singapore: Springer.
- Korthagen, F., & Kessels, J. (1999). Linking theory and practice: Changing the pedagogy of teacher education. *Educational Researcher*, 28(4), 4–17.
- Korthagen, F., Loughran, J., & Lunenberg, M. (2005). Teaching teachers studies into the expertise of teacher educators: An introduction to this theme issue. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 21(2), 107–115. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.tate.2004.12.007
- Korthagen, F. A. J. (2016). Pedagogy of teacher education. In J. Loughran & M. L. Hamilton (Eds.), International handbook of teacher education (Vol. 1, pp. 311–346). Singapore: Springer.
- Kraft, M. A., Blazar, D., & Hogan, D. (2018). The effect of teacher coaching on instruction and achievement: A meta-analysis of the causal evidence. *Review of Educational Research*, *88*(4), 547–588.
- Lederman, N. G. (1992). Students' and teachers' conceptions of the nature of science: A review of the research. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 29, 331–359.
- Loughran, J. (2011). On becoming a teacher educator. Journal of Education for Teaching, 37(3), 279-291.
- Loughran, J. J., Hamilton, M. L., LaBoskey, V. K., & Russell, T. L. (Eds.). (2004). *International handbook of self-study of teaching and teacher education practices*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Luehmann, A. L. (2007). Identity development as a lens to science teacher preparation. *Science Education*, 91(5), 822–839.
- Luft, J. A., Firestone, J. B., Wong, S. S., Ortega, I., Adams, K., & Bang, E. (2011). Beginning secondary science teacher induction: A two-year mixed methods study. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 48(10), 1199–1224.
- Lunenberg, M., Korthagen, F., & Zwart, R. (2011). Self-study research and the development of teacher educators' professional identities. *European Educational Research Journal*, 10(3), 407–420. https://doi.org/10.2304/ eerj.2011.10.3.407
- Magnusson, S., Krajcik, J., & Borko, H. (1999). Nature, sources and development of pedagogical content knowledge for science teaching. In, J. Gess-Newsome & N. G. Lederman (Eds.), *Examining pedagogical content knowledge: The construct and its implication for science education* (pp. 95–132). Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Kluwer Academic.
- Menter, I., & Flores, M. A. (2020). Connecting research and professionalism in teacher education. European Journal of Teacher Education, 1–13.
- McGann, M., Ryan, M., McMahon, J., & Hall, T. (2020). T-REX: The teachers' research exchange. Overcoming the research-practice gap in education. *TechTrends*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-020-00486-4
- Murray, J., & Male, T. (2005). Becoming a teacher educator: Evidence from the field. Teacher and Teacher Education, 21(2), 124–142.
- Murray, C., & Wittaker, F. (2018, October 11). Ofsted chief 'horrified' by accusations of knowledge bias in curriculum review. Retrieved March 31, 2021, from https://schoolsweek.co.uk/ofsted-chief-horrified-byaccusations-of-knowledge-bias-in-curriculum-review/

- Newton, D. E., & Watson, F. G. (1968). The research on science education survey. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Graduate School of Education.
- NGSS Lead States (2013). Next generation science standards: For states, by states. Washington, DC; National Academies Press.
- Ofsted (2019, January). Education inspection framework: Overview of research. No: 180045.
- Olson, J. K. (2017). Teacher preparation for science education. In K. S. Taber & B. Akpan (Eds.), *Science education: An international course companion* (pp. 523–537). Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.
- Olson, J. K., Tippett, C. D., Milford, T. M., Ohana, C., & Clough, M. P. (2015). Science Teacher Preparation in a North American Context. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 26(1), 7–28.
- Park, W., Wu, J., & Erduran, S. (2020). The nature of STEM disciplines in the science education standards documents from the United States, Korea and Taiwan: Focusing on disciplinary aims, values and practices, Science & Education. *Science & Education* 29(4), 899–927.
- Pajares, F. (1992). Teachers' beliefs and educational research: Cleaning up a messy construct. Review of Educational Research, 62(3), 307–332. http://rer.sagepub.com/content/62/3/307.short
- Peng, H., & Fitzgerald, G. (2006). Relationships between teacher education students' epistemological beliefs and their learning outcomes in a case-based hypermedia learning environment. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*. 14(2). 255–285.
- Reiser, B. (2013). What professional development strategies are needed for successful implementation of the Next Generation Science Standards. K-12 Centre at ETS: International Research Symposium on Science Assessment.
- Richmond, G., Salazar, M. d. C., & Jones, N. (2019). Assessment and the Future of Teacher Education. Journal of Teacher Education, 70(2), 86–89. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487118824331
- Ronald, M. (2012). Large-scale interventions in science education: The road to utopia? Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 49, 420–427.
- Rubie-Davies, C. (2015). Teachers' instructional beliefs and classroom climate: Connections and conundrums. In H. Fives & M. Gill (Eds.), *International handbook of research on teachers' beliefs* (pp. 266–283). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Rushton, E. A. C., & Reiss, M. J. (2021). Middle and high school science teacher identity considered through the lens of the social identity approach: A systematic review of the literature. *Studies in Science Education*, 57(2), 141–203. https://doi.org/10.1080/03057267.2020.1799621
- Russell, T., & Berry, A. (2014). Self-study of teacher education practices promotes self-understanding. *Studying Teacher Education*, 10(1), 1–2. https://doi.org/10.1080/17425964.2014.878988
- Scantlebury, K., Gallo-Fox, J., & Wassell, B. (2008). Coteaching as a model for preservice secondary science teacher education. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 24(4), 967–981.
- Schalock, H. D., Schalock, M. D., & Ayres, R. (2006). Scaling up research in teacher education: New demands on theory, measurement, and design. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 57(2), 102–119.
- Scherr, R. E., & Chasteen, S. V. (2020). Initial findings of the Physics Teacher Education Program Analysis rubric: What do thriving programs do? *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 16(1), 010116.
- Schraw, G., & Moshman, D. (1995). Metacognitive theories. Educational Psychology Review, 7(4), 351-371.
- Schwab, J. J. (1962). The teaching of science as enquiry. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Shulman, L. S. (1986). Those who understand: Knowledge growth in teaching. *Educational Researcher*, 15(2), 4–14.
- Siry, C., & Martin, S. N. (2014). Facilitating reflexivity in preservice science teacher education using video analysis and cogenerative dialogue in field-based methods courses. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 10(5), 481–508.
- Wrigley, T. (2018). The power of 'evidence': Reliable science or a set of blunt tools? British Educational Research Journal, 44(3), 359–376.
- Yesil-Dagli, U., Lake, V. E., & Jones, I. (2012). Preservice teachers' perceptions towards mathematics and science. Journal of Research in Education, 20(2), 32–48.
- Zeichner, K. (2005). Becoming a teacher educator: A personal perspective. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 21(2), 117–124.
- Zhang, G., & Zeller, N. (2016). A longitudinal investigation of the relationship between teacher preparation and teacher retention. *Teacher Education Quarterly*, 43(2), 73–92.
- Zohar, A. (2012). Explicit teaching of metastrategic knowledge: Definitions, students' learning, and teachers' professional development. In A. Zohar & Y. J. Dori (Eds.), *Metacognition in science education: Trends in current research* (pp. 197–223). Dordrecht: Springer.
- Zohar, A., & Ben-David, A. (2008). Explicit teaching of meta-strategic knowledge in authentic classroom situations. *Metacognition Learning*, *3*, 59–82.

The Importance of Research in Science Teacher Education

Allen, C. D., & Heredia, S. C. (2021). Reframing organizational contexts from barriers to levers for teacher learning in science education reform. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 32(2), 148–166.

Anderman, L. H., Patrick, H., Hruda, L. Z., & Linnenbrink, E. A. (2002). Observing classroom goal structures to clarify and expand goal theory. In C. Midgley (Ed.), Goals, goal structures, and patterns of adaptive learning (pp. 243–294). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Avraamidou, L. (2014). Studying science teacher identity: Current insights and future research directions. Studies in Science Education, 50(2), 145–179.

Ball, D. L., & McDiarmid, G. W. (1990). The subject-matter preparation of teachers. In W. R. Houston , M. Haberman , & J. Sikula (Eds.), Handbook of research on teacher education (pp. 437–449). New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Barnes, N., Fives, H., & Dacey, C. (2015). Teachers' beliefs about assessment. In H. Fives & M. G. Gill (Eds.), International handbook of research on teacher beliefs (pp. 284–300). Oxon: Routledge.

BERA-RSA . (2014). Research and the teaching profession: Building the capacity for a self-improving education system. Final Report of the BERA-RSA Inquiry into the Role of Research in Teacher Education. London. www.thersa.org/discover/publications-and-articles/reports/researchand-the-teaching-profession-building-the-capacity-for-a-self-improving-education-system

Berry, A., Friedrichsen, P., & Loughran, J. (2015). Re-examining pedagogical content knowledge in science education. New York: Routledge.

Berry, A., & Loughran, J. (2012). Developing science teacher educators' pedagogy of teacher education. In B. J. Fraser, K. Tobin, & C. J. McRobbie (Eds.), Second international handbook of science education (pp. 401–415). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.

Berry, A., & Van Driel, J. H. (2012). Teaching about teaching science: Aims, strategies, and backgrounds of science teacher educators. Journal of Teacher Education, 64(2), 117–128.

Borko, H., & Putnam, R. T. (1996). Learning to teach. In D. C. Berliner & R. C. Calfee (Eds.), Handbook of educational psychology (p. 673–708). Macmillan Library Reference USA; Prentice Hall International.

Boyd, D. J. , Grossman, P. L. , Lankford, H. , Loeb, S. , & Wyckoff, J. (2009). Teacher preparation and student achievement. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 31(4) 416–440.

https://doi.org/10.3102/0162373709353129

Brew, A. , & Saunders, C. (2020). Making sense of research-based learning in teacher education. Teaching and Teacher Education, 87(January) 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2019.102935

Bryan, L. A. (2012). Research on science teacher beliefs. In B. Fraser , K. Tobin , & C. McRobbie (Eds.), Second International Handbook of Science Education. Springer. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-9041-7_33

Bryk, A. S. , Gomez, L. M. , Grunow, A. , & LeMahieu, P. G. (2015). Learning to improve: How America's Schools can get better at getting better. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.

Buehl, M. M., & Fives, H. (2016). The role of epistemic cognition in teacher learning and praxis. In J. A. Greene, W. A. Sandoval, & I. Bråten (Eds.), Handbook of epistemic cognition (pp. 247–264). New York: Routledge. Buldur, S. (2017). A longitudinal investigation of the preservice science teachers' beliefs about science teaching during a science teacher training programme. International Journal of Science Education, 39(1), 1–19. Bullock, S., & Russell, T. (Eds.). (2012). Self-studies of science teacher education practices. Springer: Dordrecht.

Cakiroglu, J., Capa-Aydin, Y., & Hoy, A. W. (2012). Science teaching efficacy beliefs. In B. J. Fraser, K. Tobin , & C. J. McRobbie (Eds.), Second international handbook of science education (pp. 449–461). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.

Coburn, C. E., & Penuel, W. R. (2016). Research – practice partnerships in education: Outcomes, dynamics, and open questions. Educational Researcher, 45(1), 48–54.

Cochran, K. F., DeRuiter, J. A., & King, R. A. (1993). Pedagogical content knowing: An integrative model for teacher preparation. Journal of Teacher Education, 44, 263–272.

Cochran-Smith, M., & Fries, K. (2005). Researching teacher education in changing times: Politics and paradigms. In M. Cochran-Smith & K. M. Zeichner (Eds.), Studying teacher education: The report of the AERA Panel on research and teacher education (pp. 69–110). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Craig, C. (2016). Structure of teacher education. In J. Loughran & M. L. Hamilton (Eds.), International handbook of teacher education (Vol. 1, pp. 69–135). Singapore: Springer.

Erduran, S., & Dagher, Z. R. (2014). Reconceptualizing the nature of science for science education: Scientific knowledge, practices and other family categories. Netherlands: Springer.

Erduran, S. , & Kaya, E. (2019). Transforming teacher education through the epistemic core of chemistry. Switzerland: Springer.

Erduran, S., & Msimanga, A. (2014). Science curriculum reform in South Africa: Lessons for professional development from research on argumentation in science education. Education as Change, 18(S1), S33–S46. Fancourt, N., Edwards, A., & Menter, I. (2015). Reimagining a school – university partnership: The development of the oxford education deanery narrative. Education Inquiry, 6(3), 27724.

Fauth, B., Decristan, J., Decker, A.-T., Büttner, G., Hardy, I., Klieme, E., & Kunter, M. (2019). The effects of teacher competence on student outcomes in elementary science education: The mediating role of teaching quality. Teaching and Teacher Education, 86, 102882.

Ferfolja, T., Jones Díaz, C., & Ullman, J. (2015). Understanding sociological theory for educational practices. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316151167

Fives, H. , & Buehl, M. M. (2012). Spring cleaning for the "messy" construct of teachers' beliefs: What are they? Which have been examined? What can they tell us? In K. Harris , S. Graham , T. Urdan , C. McCormick , G. Sinatra , & J. Sweller (Eds.), APA educational psychology handbook: Theories, constructs, and critical issues (Vol. 1, pp. 471–499). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. https://doi.org/doi:10.1037/13273-008

Fives, H. , & Buehl, M. M. (2017). The functions of teachers' beliefs: Personal epistemology on the pinning block. In G. Schraw , J. Lunn , L. Olafson , & M. VenderVeldt (Eds.), Teachers' personal epistemologies: Evolving models for transforming practice (pp. 25–54). Charlotte, NC: Information Ag.

Friedrichsen, P., Van Driel, J. H., & Abell, S. K. (2010). Taking a closer look at science teaching orientations. Science Education, 95, 358–376.

Friesen, M. D., & Besley, S. C. (2013). Teacher identity development in the first year of teacher education: A developmental and social psychological perspective. Teaching and Teacher Education, 36, 23–32.

Gay, G. (2010). Acting on beliefs in teacher education for cultural diversity. Journal of Teacher Education, 61(1–2) 143–152. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487109347320

Gess-Newsome, J. (2015). A model of teacher professional knowledge and skill including PCK: Results of the thinking from the PCK summit. In A. Berry, P. Friedrichsen, & J. Loughran (Eds.), Re-examining pedagogical content knowledge in Science Education (pp. 28–42). New York, NY: Routledge.

Gitomer, D. (2003). Preparing teachers around the world. Policy information report. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.

Grossman, P. L. (1990). The making of a teacher: Teacher knowledge and teacher education. New York: Teachers College Press.

Guilfoyle, L., McCormack, O., & Erduran, S. (2020, April). The "tipping point" for educational research: The role of pre-service science teachers' epistemic beliefs in evaluating the professional utility of educational research. Teaching and Teacher Education, 90.

Herman, B. C., & Clough, M. P. (2016). Teachers' longitudinal NOS understanding after having completed a science teacher education program. International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 14(1) 207–227. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-014-9594-1

Hermans, R., Tondeur, J., van Braak, J., & Valcke, M. (2008). The impact of primary school teachers' educational beliefs on classroom use of computers. Computers & Education, 51, 1499–1509.

Hetherington, L., & Wegerif, R. (2018). Developing a material-dialogic approach to pedagogy to guide science teacher education. Journal of Education for Teaching, 44(1) 27–43.

https://doi.org/10.1080/02607476.2018.1422611

Hong, J., Greene, B., & Lowery, J. (2017). Multiple dimensions of teacher identity development from preservice to early years of teaching: A longitudinal study. Journal of Education for Teaching, 43(1) 84–98. https://doi.org/10.1080/02607476.2017.1251111

Hordvik, M., MacPhail, A., & Ronglan, L. T. (2020). Developing a pedagogy of teacher education using selfstudy: A rhizomatic examination of negotiating learning and practice. Teaching and Teacher Education, 88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2019.102969.

Hume, A., Cooper, R., & Borowski, A. (2019). Repositioning pedagogical content knowledge in teachers' knowledge for teaching science. Singapore: Springer.

Johnston, J., & Purcell, R. (2020). Who else is teaching the teachers? The subject discipline teacher educator in initial teacher education. European Journal of Teacher Education, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2020.1803267

Kahle, J. B., & Kronebusch, M. (2003). Science teacher education: From a fractured system to a seamless continuum. Review of Policy Research, 20(4), 585–602.

Kang, N. H. (2008). Learning to teach science: Personal epistemologies, teaching goals, and practices of teaching. Teaching and Teacher Education, 24(2), 478–498.

Kitchen, J., & Petrarca, D. (2016). Approaches to teacher education. In J. Loughran & M. L. Hamilton (Eds.), International handbook of teacher education (Vol. 1, pp. 127–186). Singapore: Springer.

Korthagen, F., & Kessels, J. (1999). Linking theory and practice: Changing the pedagogy of teacher education. Educational Researcher, 28(4), 4–17.

Korthagen, F., Loughran, J., & Lunenberg, M. (2005). Teaching teachers – studies into the expertise of teacher educators: An introduction to this theme issue. Teaching and Teacher Education, 21(2) 107–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2004.12.007

Korthagen, F. A. J. (2016). Pedagogy of teacher education. In J. Loughran & M. L. Hamilton (Eds.), International handbook of teacher education (Vol. 1, pp. 311–346). Singapore: Springer.

Kraft, M. A., Blazar, D., & Hogan, D. (2018). The effect of teacher coaching on instruction and achievement: A meta-analysis of the causal evidence. Review of Educational Research, 88(4), 547–588.

Lederman, N. G. (1992). Students' and teachers' conceptions of the nature of science: A review of the research. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 29, 331–359.

Loughran, J. (2011). On becoming a teacher educator. Journal of Education for Teaching, 37(3), 279–291. Loughran, J. J. , Hamilton, M. L. , LaBoskey, V. K. , & Russell, T. L. (Eds.). (2004). International handbook of self-study of teaching and teacher education practices. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Luehmann, A. L. (2007). Identity development as a lens to science teacher preparation. Science Education, 91(5), 822–839.

Luft, J. A., Firestone, J. B., Wong, S. S., Ortega, I., Adams, K., & Bang, E. (2011). Beginning secondary science teacher induction: A two-year mixed methods study. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 48(10), 1199–1224.

Lunenberg, M., Korthagen, F., & Zwart, R. (2011). Self-study research and the development of teacher educators' professional identities. European Educational Research Journal, 10(3) 407–420. https://doi.org/10.2304/eerj.2011.10.3.407

Magnusson, S., Krajcik, J., & Borko, H. (1999). Nature, sources and development of pedagogical content knowledge for science teaching. In, J. Gess-Newsome & N. G. Lederman (Eds.), Examining pedagogical content knowledge: The construct and its implication for science education (pp. 95–132). Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Kluwer Academic.

Menter, I., & Flores, M. A. (2020). Connecting research and professionalism in teacher education. European Journal of Teacher Education, 1–13.

McGann, M., Ryan, M., McMahon, J., & Hall, T. (2020). T-REX: The teachers' research exchange. Overcoming the research-practice gap in education. TechTrends. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-020-00486-4 Murray, J., & Male, T. (2005). Becoming a teacher educator: Evidence from the field. Teacher and Teacher Education, 21(2), 124–142.

Murray, C., & Wittaker, F. (2018, October 11). Ofsted chief 'horrified' by accusations of knowledge bias in curriculum review. Retrieved March 31, 2021, from https://schoolsweek.co.uk/ofsted-chief-horrified-by-accusations-of-knowledge-bias-in-curriculum-review/

Newton, D. E., & Watson, F. G. (1968). The research on science education survey. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Graduate School of Education.

NGSS Lead States (2013). Next generation science standards: For states, by states. Washington, DC; National Academies Press.

Ofsted (2019, January). Education inspection framework: Overview of research. No: 180045.

Olson, J. K. (2017). Teacher preparation for science education. In K. S. Taber & B. Akpan (Eds.), Science education: An international course companion (pp. 523–537). Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.

Olson, J. K., Tippett, C. D., Milford, T. M., Ohana, C., & Clough, M. P. (2015). Science Teacher Preparation in a North American Context. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(1), 7–28.

Park, W., Wu, J., & Erduran, S. (2020). The nature of STEM disciplines in the science education standards documents from the United States, Korea and Taiwan: Focusing on disciplinary aims, values and practices, Science & Education. Science & Education 29(4), 899–927.

Pajares, F. (1992). Teachers' beliefs and educational research: Cleaning up a messy construct. Review of Educational Research, 62(3) 307–332. http://rer.sagepub.com/content/62/3/307.short

Peng, H., & Fitzgerald, G. (2006). Relationships between teacher education students' epistemological beliefs and their learning outcomes in a case-based hypermedia learning environment. Journal of Technology and Teacher Education. 14(2). 255–285.

Reiser, B. (2013). What professional development strategies are needed for successful implementation of the Next Generation Science Standards. K-12 Centre at ETS: International Research Symposium on Science Assessment.

Richmond, G., Salazar, M. d. C., & Jones, N. (2019). Assessment and the Future of Teacher Education. Journal of Teacher Education, 70(2) 86–89. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487118824331

Ronald, M. (2012). Large-scale interventions in science education: The road to utopia? Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 49, 420–427.

Rubie-Davies, C. (2015). Teachers' instructional beliefs and classroom climate: Connections and conundrums. In H. Fives & M. Gill (Eds.), International handbook of research on teachers' beliefs (pp. 266–283). New York, NY: Routledge.

Rushton, E. A. C., & Reiss, M. J. (2021). Middle and high school science teacher identity considered through the lens of the social identity approach: A systematic review of the literature. Studies in Science Education, 57(2) 141–203. https://doi.org/10.1080/03057267.2020.1799621

Russell, T., & Berry, A. (2014). Self-study of teacher education practices promotes self-understanding. Studying Teacher Education, 10(1) 1–2. https://doi.org/10.1080/17425964.2014.878988

Scantlebury, K., Gallo-Fox, J., & Wassell, B. (2008). Coteaching as a model for preservice secondary science teacher education. Teaching and Teacher Education, 24(4), 967–981.

Schalock, H. D., Schalock, M. D., & Ayres, R. (2006). Scaling up research in teacher education: New demands on theory, measurement, and design. Journal of Teacher Education, 57(2), 102–119.

Scherr, R. E., & Chasteen, S. V. (2020). Initial findings of the Physics Teacher Education Program Analysis rubric: What do thriving programs do? Physical Review Physics Education Research, 16(1), 010116. Schraw, G., & Moshman, D. (1995). Metacognitive theories. Educational Psychology Review, 7(4), 351–371. Schwab, J. J. (1962). The teaching of science as enquiry. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. Shulman, L. S. (1986). Those who understand: Knowledge growth in teaching. Educational Researcher, 15(2), 4–14.

Siry, C., & Martin, S. N. (2014). Facilitating reflexivity in preservice science teacher education using video analysis and cogenerative dialogue in field-based methods courses. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 10(5), 481–508.

Wrigley, T. (2018). The power of 'evidence': Reliable science or a set of blunt tools? British Educational Research Journal, 44(3), 359–376.

Yesil-Dagli, U. , Lake, V. E. , & Jones, I. (2012). Preservice teachers' perceptions towards mathematics and science. Journal of Research in Education, 20(2), 32–48.

Zeichner, K. (2005). Becoming a teacher educator: A personal perspective. Teaching and Teacher Education, 21(2), 117–124.

Zhang, G., & Zeller, N. (2016). A longitudinal investigation of the relationship between teacher preparation and teacher retention. Teacher Education Quarterly, 43(2), 73–92.

Zohar, A. (2012). Explicit teaching of metastrategic knowledge: Definitions, students' learning, and teachers' professional development. In A. Zohar & Y. J. Dori (Eds.), Metacognition in science education: Trends in current research (pp. 197–223). Dordrecht: Springer.

Zohar, A., & Ben-David, A. (2008). Explicit teaching of meta-strategic knowledge in authentic classroom situations. Metacognition Learning, 3, 59–82.

The Contribution of Large Educational Surveys to Science Teacher Education Research

American Institute of Research . (2020a). NCES data R project – EdSurvey. Retrieved June 17, 2021, from www.air.org/project/nces-data-r-project-edsurvey

American Institute of Research . (2020b). Am statistical software. Retrieved June 17, 2021, from https://am.air.org/default.asp

IBM . (2020). SPSS Statistics. Retrieved June 17, 2021, from www.ibm.com/products/spss-statistics IEA . (2019). TIMSS 2019 teacher questionnaire science.

https://nces.ed.gov/timss/pdf/T19_Gr8_SciTchQ_USA_Questionnaire.pdf.

Martin, M. O., von Davier, M., & Mullis, I. V. S. (Eds.). (2020). Methods and procedures: TIMSS 2019 technical report. TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center. https://timssandpirls.bc.edu/timss2019/methods/pdf/TIMSS-2019-MP-Technical-Report.pdf

National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine . (2018). English learners in STEM subjects: Transforming classrooms, schools, and lives. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/25182.

National Assessment of Educational Progress . (2018a). Teacher questionnaire – Science classroom organization and instruction 4th grade. National Center for Educational

Statistics.https://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/subject/about/pdf/bgq/teacher/2018_sq_teacher_science_class _org_g4_pilot.pdf

National Assessment of Educational Progress . (2018b). Teacher questionnaire – Science classroom organization and instruction 8th grade. National Center for Educational Statistics.

https://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/subject/about/pdf/bgq/teacher/2018_sq_teacher_science_class_org_g8_ pilot.pdf

National Assessment of Educational Progress . (2018c). Teacher questionnaire – Science background education and training 4th grade. National Center for Educational Statistics.

https://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/subject/about/pdf/bgq/teacher/2018_sq_teacher_science_core_g4_pilot.p df

National Assessment of Educational Progress . (2018d). Teacher questionnaire – Science background education and training 8th grade. National Center for Educational Statistics.

https://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/subject/about/pdf/bgq/teacher/2018_sq_teacher_science_core_g8_pilot.p df

National Assessment of Educational Progress . (2020). Nation's report card. Retrieved June 17, 2021, from www.nationsreportcard.gov/.

National Center for Educational Statistics . (2017a). National teacher and principal survey teacher questionnaire 2017–2018. US Department of Education.

https://nces.ed.gov/surveys/ntps/pdf/1718/Teacher_Questionnaire_2017-18.pdf.

National Center for Educational Statistics . (2017b). National teacher and principal survey teacher questionnaire 2020–2021. US Department of Education.

https://nces.ed.gov/surveys/ntps/pdf/2021/Teacher_Questionnaire_2020_21.pdf.

National Center for Educational Statistics (2020). Online codebook. Retrieved June 17, 2021, from https://nces.ed.gov/onlinecodebook.

National Center for Educational Statistics (2021). Distance learning dataset training. https://nces.ed.gov/training/datauser/#/

R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved June 17, 2021, from www.R-project.org/

Reddy, V., Winnaar, L., Juan, A., Arends, F., Harvey, J., Hannan, S., ... Zulu, N. (2020). TIMSS 2019: Highlights of South African grade 9 results in mathematics and science. Pretoria, South Africa: Human Sciences Research Council.

www.hsrc.ac.za/uploads/pageContent/1044991/TIMSS%202019_Grade9_HSRC_FinalReport.pdf Sirin, S. (2005). Socioeconomic status and academic achievement: A meta-analytic review of research. Review of Educational Research, 75 417–453. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543075003417

STATA . (2020). Retrieved June 17, 2021, from www.stata.com/

Sulla, V., & Zikhali, P. (2018). Overcoming poverty and inequality in South Africa: An assessment of drivers, constraints and opportunities. The World Bank.

https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/530481521735906534/pdf/124521-REV-OUO-South-Africa-Poverty-and-Inequality-Assessment-Report-2018-FINAL-WEB.pdf

Van der Berg, S. (2008). How effective are poor schools? Poverty and educational outcomes in South Africa. Studies in Educational Evaluation, 34, 145–154.

WesVar [Computer software] . (2015). Retrieved June 17, 2021, from www.westat.com/capability/information-technology/wesvar.

Qualitatively Conducting Teacher Education Research

* Bergold, J. , & Thomas, S. (2012). Participatory research methods: A methodological approach in motion. Historical Social Research, 37(4) 191–222. https://doi.org/10.12759/hsr.37.2012.4.191-222

Bogdan, R. C. , & Biklen, S. K. (1998). Qualitative research for education: An introduction to theory and methods (3rd ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

* Borgerding, L. A., & Caniglia, J. (2017). Service learning within a secondary math and science teacher education program: Preservice MAT teachers' perspectives. School Science & Mathematics, 117(2) 63–75. https://doi.org/10.1111/ssm.12210

Borko, H., Liston, D., & Whitcomb, J. A. (2007). Genres of empirical research in teacher education. Journal of Teacher Education, 58(1) 3–11. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487106296220

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

* Ceven McNally, J. (2016). Learning from one's own teaching: New science teachers analyzing their practice through classroom observation cycles. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 53(3) 473–501. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21253

Chappell, M. J., & Varelas, M. (2020). Ethnodance and identity: Black students representing science identities in the making. Science Education, 104(2) 193–221. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21558

Charmaz, K. (2006). Constructing grounded theory: A practical guide through qualitative analysis. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. P. (2018). Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2011). Introduction: The discipline and practice of qualitative research. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), The Sage handbook of qualitative research (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. Emerson, R. M., Fretz, R. I., & Shaw, L. L. (2011). Writing ethnographic fieldnotes. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Enochs, L., & Riggs, I. (1990). Towards the development of an elementary teacher's science teaching efficacy belief instrument. Science Education, 74 625–638. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.3730740605

Erickson, F. (2012). Qualitative research methods for science education. In B. J. Fraser , K. Tobin , & C. McRobbie (Eds.), Second international handbook of science education (pp. 1451–1469). Dordrecht, Switzerland: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-9041-7_93

Feldman, A., Paugh, P., & Mills, G. (2004). Self-study through action research. In J. J. Loughran, M. L. Hamilton ., V. K. LaBoskey , & T. Russell (Eds.), International handbook of self-study of teaching and teacher education practices (pp. 943–978). Dordrecht, Switzerland: Springer.

Flick, U. (2014). Mapping the field. In U. Flick (Ed.), The SAGE handbook of qualitative data analysis (pp. 3–18). London: Sage. https://doi-org.10.4135/9781446282243

* Garbett, D. (2011). Developing pedagogical practices to enhance confidence and competence in science teacher education. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 22(8) 729–743. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-011-9258-8

* Goodnough, K. (2016). Professional learning of K-6 teachers in science through collaborative action research: An activity theory analysis. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 27(7) 747–767. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-016-9485-0

Goodwin, W. L., & Goodwin, L. D. (1996). Understanding quantitative and qualitative research in early childhood education. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

Guest, G., Namey, E., & Mitchell, M. (2013). Qualitative research: Defining and designing. In Collecting qualitative data: A field manual for applied research, online version (pp. 1–43). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. * Gunning, A. M., Marrero, M. E., Hillman, P. C., & Brandon, L. T. (2020). How K-12 teachers of science experience a vertically articulated professional learning community. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 31(6) 705–718. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2020.1758419

Haney, W., Russell, M., & Bebell, D. (2003). Drawing on education: Using drawings to document schooling and support change. Harvard Educational Review, 74(3) 241–271.

https://doi.org/10.17763/haer.74.3.w0817u84w7452011

* Huang, Y. , & Asghar, A. (2018). Science education reform in Confucian learning cultures: Teachers' perspectives on policy and practice in Taiwan. Cultural Studies in Science Education, 13(1) 101–131. https://doi.org/101007/s11422-016-9762-4

* Kang, E., Bianchini, J., & Kelly, G. (2013). Crossing the border from science student to science teacher: Preservice teachers' views and experiences learning to teach inquiry. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(3) 427–447. https://10.1007/s10972-012-9317-9

Kurasaki, K. S. (2000). Intercoder reliability for validating conclusions drawn from open-ended interview data. Field Methods, 12(3) 179–194. https://doi.org/10.1177/1525822X0001200301

Lassonde, C. A., Galman, S., & Kosnik, C. (2009). Self-study research methodologies for teacher educators. Rotterdam, Netherlands: Sense Publishers.

Lederman, N. G. , & Abell, S. K. (2014). Handbook of research on science education (Vol. II). New York, NY: Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203097267

* Mawyer, K. N. N. , & Johnson, H. J. (2019). Eliciting preservice teachers' reading strategies through structured literacy activities. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(6) 583–600. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2019.1589848

Mensah, F. M. (2019). Finding voice and passion: Critical race theory methodology in science teacher education. American Educational Research Journal, 56(4) 1412–1456.

https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831218818093

Merriam, S. B., & Grenier, R. S. (2019). Qualitative research in practice: Examples for discussion and analysis (2nd ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). Qualitative data analysis: A method sourcebook (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

* Napier, J. B., Luft, J. A., & Singh, H. (2020). In the classrooms of newly hired secondary science teachers: The consequences of teaching in-field or out-of-field. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 31(7) 802–820. www.doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2020.1800195

* Peters-Burton, E., & Hiller, S. (2013). Fun science: The use of variable manipulation to avoid content instruction. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(1) 199–217. www.doi.org/10.1007/s10972-012-9269-0 * Rinchen, E., Ritchie, S., & Bellocchi, A. (2016). Emotional climate of a pre-service science teacher education class in Bhutan. Cultural Studies in Science Education, 11(3) 603–628. www.doi.org/10.1007/s11422-014-9658-0

Saldaña, J. (2013). The coding manual for qualitative researchers (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. * Sezen-Barrie, A., Stapleton, M. K., & Marbach-Ad, G. (2020). Science teacher" sensemaking of the use of epistemic tools to scaffold students' knowledge (re)construction in classrooms. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 57(7) 1058–1092. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21621

Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1990). Basics of qualitative research. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1998). Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

* Subramaniam, K., Asim, S., Lee, E. U., & Koo, Y. (2018). Student teachers' images of science instruction in informal settings: A focus on field trip pedagogy. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 29(4) 307–325. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2018.1452531

* Underwood, J., B., & Mensah, F. M. (2018). An investigation of science teacher educators' perspectives of culturally relevant pedagogy. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 29(1) 46–64. www.doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2017.1423457

Yin, R. K. (2016). Qualitative research from start to finish (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.

Zeichner, K. (2005). A research agenda for teacher education. In M. Cochran-Smith & K. M. Zeichner (Eds.), Studying teacher education: The report of the AERA Panel on research and teacher education (pp. 737–759). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Mixed Methods Research on Science Teacher Education

Akerson, V. L., Buzzelli, C. A., & Eastwood, J. L. (2011). Bridging the gap between pre-service early childhood teachers' cultural values, perceptions of values held by scientists, and the relationships of these values to conceptions of nature of science. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 23 133–157. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-011-9244-1

Almalki, S. (2016). Integrating quantitative and qualitative data in mixed methods research: Challenges and benefits. Journal of Education and Learning, 5(3) 288–296. https://doi.org/10.5539/jel.v5n3p288

Anguera, M. T., Blanco-Villaseñor, A., Losada, J. L., Sánchez-Algarra, P., & Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2018). Revisiting the difference between mixed methods and multimethods: Is it all in the name? Quality & Quantity, 52 2757–2770. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-018-0700-2

Bautista, N. U. (2011). Investigating the use of vicarious and mastery experiences in influencing early childhood education majors' self-efficacy beliefs. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 22(4) 333–349. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-011-9232-5

Bautista, N. U., & Boone, W. J. (2015). Exploring the impact of TeachME lab virtual Classroom teaching simulation on early childhood education majors' self-efficacy beliefs. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(3) 237–262. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-014-9418-8

Boda, P. A., & Brown, B. (2019). Priming urban learner's attitudes toward the relevancy of science: A mixedmethods study testing the importance of context. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 57 567–596. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21604

Capobianco, B. M., Radloff, J., & Lehman, J. D. (2021). Elementary science teachers' sense-making with learning to implement engineering design and its impact on students' science achievement. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 32(1) 39–61. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2020.1789267

Creswell, J. W. (2003). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Creswell, J. W. , & Plano Clark, V. (2007). Designing and conducting mixed methods research. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Cutucache, C. E., Leas, H. D., Grandgenett, N. F., Nelson, K. L., Rodie, S., Shuster, R., ... Tapprich, W. E. (2017). Genuine faculty-mentored research experiences for In-Service science teachers: Increases in science knowledge, perception, and confidence levels. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 28(8) 724–744. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2017.1415615

Denscombe, M. (2008). Communities of practice: A research paradigm for the mixed methods approach. Journal of Mixed Methods Research, 2(3) 270–283. http://doi.org/10.1177/1558689808316807 Du Bois, W. E. B. (1899). The Philadelphia Negro: A social study. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press.

Feldman, A., & Pirog, K. (2011). Authentic science research in elementary school after-school science clubs. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 20(5) 494–507. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-011-9305-4 Flores, B. B., Claeys, L., Gist, C. D., Clark, E. R., & Villarreal, A. (2015). Culturally efficacious mathematics and science teacher preparation for working with English learners. Science Teacher Education Quarterly, 42(4) 3–31. www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/teaceducquar.42.4.3

Forbes, C. T. (2013). Curriculum-dependent and curriculum-independent factors in pre-service elementary teachers' adaptation of science curriculum materials for inquiry-based science. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(1) 179–197. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-011-9245-0

Greene, J. C. (2008). Is mixed methods social inquiry a distinctive methodology? Journal of Mixed Methods Research, 2(1) 7–22. https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689807309969

Grimberg, B. I., & Gummer, E. (2013). Teaching science from cultural points of intersection. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 50(1) 12–32. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21066

Guetterman, T. C. (2017). What distinguishes a novice from an expert mixed methods researcher?. Quality & Quantity, 51 377–398. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-016-0310-9

Harrison, R. L., Reilly, T. M., & Creswell, J. W. (2020). Methodological rigor in mixed methods: An application in management studies. Journal of Mixed Methods Research, 14(4) 473–495.

https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689819900585

Hesse-Biber, S. (2015). Introduction: Navigating a turbulent research landscaper: Working the boundaries, tensions, diversity, and contradictions of multi-method and mixed-methods inquiry. In S. Hesse-Biber & R. Burke Johnson (Eds.), The Oxford handbook of multimethod and mixed methods research inquiry (pp. xxxiii–liii). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Ivankova, N. V., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). Teaching mixed methods research: Using a socioecological framework as a pedagogical approach for addressing the complexity of the field. International Journal of Social

Research Methodology, 21(4) 409–424. https://doi.org/10.1080/13645579.2018.1427604 Johnson, R. B., & Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2004). Mixed methods research: A research paradigm whose time has come. Educational Researcher, 33(7) 14–26. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X033007014

Lederman, N. G., & Lederman, J. S. (2013). Mixed up about mixed methods. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(7) 1073–1076. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-013-9367-7

Luft, J. A., Firestone, J. B., Wong, S. S., Ortega, I., Adams, K., & Bang, E. (2011). Beginning secondary science teacher induction: A two-year mixed-methods study. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 48(10) 1199–1224. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20444

Lynam, T., Damayanti, R., Rialine Titaley, C., Suharno, N., Bradley, M., & Krentel, A. (2020). Reframing integration for mixed methods research. Journal of Mixed Methods Research, 14(3) 336–357. https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689819879352

Maxwell, J. A. (2016). Expanding the history and range of mixed methods research. Journal of Mixed Methods Research, 10(1) 12–27. https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689815571132

Maxwell, J. A., Chmiel, M., & Rogers, S. (2015). Designing integration in multimethod and mixed methods research. In Hesse-Bieber, S. & Johnson, R. B. (Eds.), Oxford handbook of multimethod and mixed methods research inquiry (pp. 688–706). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

McKim, C. (2017). The value of mixed methods research: A mixed methods study. Journal of Mixed Methods Research, 11(2) 202–222. https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689815607096

Menon, D. (2020). Influence of the sources of science teaching self-efficacy in pre-service elementary teachers' identity development. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 31(4) 460–481.

https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2020.1718863

Menon, D., & Sadler, T. D. (2016). Pre-service elementary teachers' science self-efficacy beliefs and science content knowledge. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 27(6) 649–673. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-016-9479-y

Milford, T. M., & Tippett, C. D. (2013). Pre-service teachers' images of scientists: Do prior science experiences make a difference? Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24, 745–762.

Milner, A. R., Sondergeld, T. A., Demir, A., Johnson, C. C., & Czerniak, C. M. (2012). Elementary teachers' beliefs about teaching science and classroom practice: An examination of pre/post NCLB testing in science. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 23(2) 111–132. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-011-9230-7

Mintzes, J. J., Marcum, V., Messerschmidt-Yates, C., & Mark, A. (2013). Enhancing self-efficacy in elementary science teaching with professional learning communities. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(7) 1201–1218. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-012-9320-1

Morse, J. M., & Niehaus, L. (2009). Mixed method design: Principles and procedures. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press Inc.

Palmberg, I., Berg, I., Jeronen, E., Kärkkäinen, S., Norrgård-Sillanpää, P., Persson, C., ... Yli-Panula, E. (2015). Nordic-Baltic student teachers' identification of and interest in plant and animal species: The importance of species identification and biodiversity for sustainable development. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(6) 549–571. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-015-9438-z

Ramnarain, U. (2016). Understanding the influence of intrinsic and extrinsic factors on inquiry-based science education at township schools in South Africa. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 53(4) 598–619. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21315

Seung, E., Park, S., & Lee, M. (2019). The impact of a summer camp-based science methods course on preservice teachers' self-efficacy in teaching science as inquiry. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(8) 872–889. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2019.1635848

Seung, E., Park, S., & Narayan, R. (2011). Exploring elementary pre-service teachers' beliefs about science teaching and learning as revealed in their metaphor writing. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 20(6) 703–714. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-010-9263-2

Shanahan, T., & Shea, L. M. (2012). Incorporating English language teaching through science for K-12 teachers. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 23(4) 407–428. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-012-9276-1 Steele, A., Brew, C., Rees, C., & Ibrahim-Khan, S. (2013). Our practice, their readiness: Teacher educators collaborate to explore and improve pre-service teacher readiness for science and math instruction. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(1) 111–131. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-012-9311-2

Tao, Y., Oliver, M., & Venville, G. (2013). A comparison of approaches to the teaching and learning of science in Chinese and Australian elementary classrooms: Cultural and socioeconomic complexities. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 50(1) 33–61. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21064

Teddlie, C., & Tashakkori, A. (2009). Foundations of mixed methods research: Integrating quantitative and qualitative approaches in the social and behavioral sciences. London: Sage Publications.

Treagust, D. F., Won, M., & Duit, R. (2014). Paradigms in science education research. In N. G. Lederman & S. K. Abell (Eds.), Handbook of science education research (Vol. II, pp. 3–17). New York: Routledge.

Urbani, J. M., Roshandel, S., Michaels, R., & Truesdell, E. (2017). Developing and modeling 21st-century skills with pre-service teachers. Teacher Education Quarterly, 44(4) 27–50. www.jstor.org/stable/90014088 Wong, S. S., & Luft, J. A. (2015). Secondary science teachers' beliefs and persistence: A longitudinal mixed-methods study. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(7) 619–645. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-015-9441-4

Zangori, L., Forbes, C. T., & Biggers, M. (2013). Fostering students' sense-making in elementary science learning environments: Elementary teachers' use of science curriculum materials to promote explanation construction. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 50(8) 989–1017. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21104

Towards Justice

Asowayan, A. , Ashreef, S. , & Omar, S. (2017). A systematic review: NGSS and the increased cultural diversity. English Language Teaching, 10(10), 63.

Bang, M. , Warren, B. , Rosebery, A. , & Medin, D. (2012). Desettling expectations in science education. Human Development, 55(5–6) 302–318. https://doi.org/10.1159/000345322.

Calabrese Barton, A., & Tan, E. (2009). Funds of knowledge and discourses and hybrid space. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 46(1), 50–73.

Calabrese Barton, A., & Tan, E. (2019). Designing for rightful presence in STEM: The role of making present practices. Journal of the Learning Sciences, 28(4–5) 616–658. https://doi.org/10.1080/10508406.2019.1591411 Calabrese Barton, A., & Tan, E. (2020). Beyond equity as inclusion: A framework of "rightful presence" for guiding justice-oriented studies in teaching and learning. Educational Researcher, 49(6) 433–440. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X20927363

Calabrese Barton, A., Tan, E., & Birmingham, D. (2020). Rethinking high leverage practices in justice-oriented ways. Journal of Teacher Education. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487119900209

Johnson, N., & Atwater, M. (2014). Impact of beliefs and actions on the infusion of culturally relevant pedagogy in science teacher education. In Multicultural science education (pp. 81–102). Dordrecht: Springer.

Madkins, T., & Morton, K. (2021). Disrupting anti-Blackness with young learners in STEM: Strategies for elementary science and mathematics teacher education. CJSMTE, 1–18.

Mensah, F. , & Jackson, I. (2018). Whiteness as property in science teacher education. Teachers College Record, 120(1), 1–38.

Morales-Doyle, D. (2017). Justice-centered science pedagogy: A catalyst for academic achievement and social transformation. Science Education, 101(6) 1034–1060. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21305.

Nxumalo, F., & Gitari, W. (2021). Introduction to the special theme on responding to anti-blackness in science, mathematics, technology and STEM education. CJSMTE, 1–6.

Shirazi, R. (2018). Between hosts and guests: Conditional hospitality and citizenship in an American suburban school. Curriculum Inquiry, 48(1) 95–114. https://doi.org/10.1080/03626784.2017.1409592

Squire, V., & Darling, J. (2013). The 'minor' politics of rightful presence: Justice and relationality in City of Sanctuary. International Political Sociology, 7(1) 59–74. https://doi.org/10.1111/ips.12009

Tedesco, D. , & Bagelman, J. (2017). The 'missing' politics of whiteness and rightful presence in settler-colonial city. Millennium, 45(3), 380–402 https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829817712075

Windschitl, M. , Thompson, J. , & Braaten, M. (2018). Ambitious science teaching. Cambridge: Harvard Education Press.

Preparing Early Childhood Teachers to Support Young Children's Equitable Science Sensemaking

(*= articles that are part of the review)

* Akerson, V., Buzzelli, C., & Borgerding, L. (2010). On the nature of teaching nature of science: Preservice early childhood teachers' Instruction in preschool and elementary settings. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 47(2) 213–233. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tea.20323

* Akerson, V., Buzzelli, C., & Eastwood, J. (2012). Bridging the gap between preservice early childhood teachers' cultural values, perceptions of values held by scientists, and the relationships of these values to conceptions of nature of science. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 23(2) 133–157. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10972-011-9244-1

* Akerson, V., Erumit, B. A., & Kaynak, N. E. (2019). Teaching Nature of Science through children's literature: An early childhood preservice teacher study. International Journal of Science Education, 41(18) 1–23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2019.1698785

American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education (2019). Education students and diversity: A review of New Evidence. https://aacte.org/resources/research-reports-and-briefs/education-students-and-diversity-a-review-of-new-evidence/

* Andersson, K. , Gullberg, A. , Danielsson, A. , Scantlebury, K. , & Hussenius, A. (2020). Chafing borderlands: Obstacles for science teaching and learning in preschool teacher education. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 15 1–20. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11422-019-09934-x * Ärlemalm-Hagsér, E., & Sandberg, A. (2011). Sustainable development in early childhood education: Inservice students' comprehension of the concept. Environmental Education Research, 17(2) 187–200. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2010.522704

Avraamidou, L. (2016). Studying science teacher identity: Theoretical, methodological, and empirical explorations. Rotterdam, Netherlands: Sense Publishers.

* Barenthien, J., Oppermann, E., Anders, Y., & Steffensky, M. (2020). Preschool teachers' learning opportunities in their initial teacher education and in-service professional development – do they have an influence on preschool teachers' science-specific professional knowledge and motivation? International Journal of Science Education, 42(5) 744–763. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2020.1727586

* Bautista, N. U. (2011). Investigating the use of vicarious and mastery experiences in influencing early childhood education majors' self-efficacy beliefs. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 22 333–349. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10972-011-9232-5

* Bautista, N. U., & Boone, W. J. (2015). Exploring the impact of TeachME[™] lab virtual classroom teaching simulation on early childhood education majors' self-efficacy beliefs. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(2) 237–262. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10972-014-9418-8

* Bravo, M. A., Mosqueda, E., Solís, J. L., & Stoddart, T. (2014). Possibilities and limits of integrating science and diversity education in preservice elementary teacher preparation. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 25(5) 601–619. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10972-013-9374-8

* Bulunuz, M. (2012a). Developing Turkish preservice preschool teachers' attitudes and understanding about teaching science through play. International Journal of Environmental and Science Education, 7(2), 141–166. * Bulunuz, M. (2012b). Motivational qualities of hands-on science activities for Turkish preservice kindergarten teachers. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education, 8(2) 73–82. http://dx.doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2012.821a

Cannella, G. S. (1997). Deconstructing early childhood education: Social justice and revolution. New York, NY: Peter Lang.

* Çiftçi, A. , Topçu, M. S. , & Foulk, J. A. (2020). Pre-service early childhood teachers' views on STEM education and their STEM teaching practices. Research in Science & Technological Education, 1–27. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02635143.2020.1784125

Cochran-Smith, M., & Lytle, S. (2009). Inquiry as stance: Practitioner research for the next generation. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

Dahlberg, G. , & Moss, P. (2005). Ethics and politics in early childhood education. Abingdon, UK: Routledge. Darling-Hammond, L. , & Oakes, J. (2019). Preparing teachers for deeper learning. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.

Donlevy, V., Meierkord, A., & Rajania, A. (2016). Study on the diversity within the teaching profession with particular focus on migrant and/or minority background: Final report to DG education and culture of the European commission. https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/e478082d-0a81-11e7-8a35–01aa75ed71a1

* Eckhoff, A. (2017). Partners in inquiry: A collaborative life science investigation with preservice teachers and kindergarten students. Early Childhood Education Journal, 45(2) 219–227. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10643-015-0769-3

* Enochs, L. G., & Riggs, I. M. (1990). Further development of an elementary science teaching efficacy belief instrument: A preservice elementary scale. School Science and Mathematics, 90(8) 694–706. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.1990.tb12048.x

* Ernst, J. , & Tornabene, L. (2012). Preservice early childhood educators' perceptions of outdoor settings as learning environments. Environmental Education Research, 18(5) 643–664.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2011.640749

Eshach, H., & Fried, M. N. (2005). Should science be taught in early childhood? Journal of Science Education and Technology, 14(3) 315–336. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-005-7198-9

* Fleer, M. (2011). Imagination, emotions and scientific thinking: What matters in the being and becoming of a teacher of elementary science? Cultural Studies of Science Education, 7(1) 31–39.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11422-011-9365-z

* Giallousi, M., Tselfes, V., & Gialamas, V. (2014). Using student-teachers' reports of self-efficacy to evaluate an early childhood science course. Journal of Early Childhood Teacher Education, 35(4) 337–356. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10901027.2014.968298

* Gullberg, A., Andersson, K., Danielsson, A., Scantlebury, K., & Hussenius, A. (2017). Pre-service teachers' views of the child – reproducing or challenging gender stereotypes in science in preschool. Research in Science Education, 48 691–715. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11165-016-9593-z

Haverly, C., Calabrese Barton, A., Schwarz, C. V., & Braaten, M. (2020). "Making space": How novice teachers create opportunities for equitable sense-making in elementary science. Journal of Teacher Education, 71(1) 63–79. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022487118800706

* Kalchman, M., & Kozoll, R. (2016). Dis-integrating mathematics and science in early childhood methods courses: Encouraging discrete content area proficiency. Journal of Early Childhood Teacher Education, 37(1) 61–75. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10901027.2015.1131210

Kane, J. M., & Varelas, M. (2016). Elementary school teachers constructing teacher-of-science identities: Two communities of practice coming together. In L. Avraamidou (Ed.), Studying science teacher identity: Theoretical, methodological, and empirical explorations (pp. 177–195). Rotterdam, Netherlands: Sense Publishers.

Kirch, S. A. (2009). Identifying and resolving uncertainty as a mediated action in science: A comparative analysis of the cultural tools used by scientists and elementary science students at work. Science Studies and Science Education, 308–335. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20362

* Kotaman, H. (2016). Impact of religion on Turkish prospective early childhood teachers' judgments of fact. Journal of Education for Teaching, 42(2) 163–172. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02607476.2016.1143140 Larimore, R. A. (2020). Preschool science education: A vision for the future. Early Childhood Education Journal, 48 703–714. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10643-020-01033-9

* Lippard, C. N., Tank, K., Walter, M. C., Krogh, J., & Colbert, K. (2018). Preparing early childhood preservice teachers for science teaching: Aligning across a teacher preparation program. Journal of Early Childhood Teacher Education, 39(3) 193–212. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10901027.2018.1457578

* Martínez-Álvarez, P. (2019). What counts as science? Expansive learning actions for teaching and learning science with bilingual children. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 14 799–837. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11422-019-09909-y

Mbamalu, G. E. (2001). Teaching science to academically underprepared Students. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 10, 267–272. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016642717633

Metz, K. E. (1995). Reassessment of developmental constraints on children's science instruction. Review of Educational Research, 65(2) 93–127. http://dx.doi.org/10.3102/00346543065002093

Monteira, S. F., & Jiménez-Áleixandre, M. P. (2016). The practice of using evidence in kindergarten: The role of purposeful observation. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 53(8) 1232–1258. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tea.21259

* Moseley, C. , Desjean-Perrotta, B. , & Utley, J. (2010). The Draw-An-Environment Test Rubric (DAET-R): Exploring pre-service teachers' mental models of the environment. Environmental Education Research, 16(2) 189–208. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13504620903548674

Mullis, I. V. S. , & Jenkins, L. B. (1988). The science report card. Report No. 17–5–01. Educational Testing Service.

Nasir, N. S., Rosebery, A. S., Warren, B., & Lee, C. D. (2006). Learning as a cultural process: Achieving equity through diversity. In R. K. Sawyer (Ed.), The Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences (pp. 489–504). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

National Research Council (2007). Taking science to school: Learning and teaching science in grades K-8. The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/11625

Neuman, M. J., Josephson, K., & Chua, P. G. (2015). A review of the literature: Early childhood care and education (ECCE) personnel in low-and middle-income countries. Paris, France: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO).

* Novak, E., & Wisdom, S. (2018). Effects of a 3D printing project on preservice elementary teachers' science attitudes, science content knowledge, and anxiety about teaching science. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 27(7) 412–432. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10956-018-9733-5

* O'Gorman, L., & Davis, J. (2013). Ecological footprinting: Its potential as a tool for change in preservice teacher education, Environmental Education Research, 19(6) 779–791.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2012.749979

* Olson, J. K. , Bruxvoort, C. N. , & Vande Haar, A. J. (2016). The impact of video case content on preservice elementary teachers' decision-making and conceptions of effective science teaching. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 53 1–24. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tea.21335

Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (2020). Education at a glance: OECD indicators. https://doi.org/10.1787/69096873-en

* Reinoso, R., Delgado-Iglesias, J., & Fernández, I. (2019). Preservice teachers' views on science teaching in Early Childhood Education in Spain. European Early Childhood Education Research Journal, 27(6) 801–820. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1350293X.2019.1678720

* Saçkes, M. , & Cabe-Trundle, K. (2014). Preservice early childhood teachers' learning of science in a methods course: Examining the predictive ability of an intentional learning model. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 25(4) 413–444. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10972-013-9355-y

* Saçkes, M., & Cabe-Trundle, K. (2016). Change or durability? The contribution of metaconceptual awareness in preservice early childhood teachers' learning of science concepts. Research in Science Education, 47 655–671. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11165-016-9522-1

* Saçkes, M., Flevares, L. M., Gonya, J., & Cabe Trundle, K. (2012). Preservice early childhood teachers' sense of efficacy for integrating mathematics and science: Impact of a methods course. Journal of Early Childhood Teacher Education, 33(4) 349–364. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10901027.2012.732666

* Sancar Tokmak, H. (2014). Pre-service teachers' perceptions on TPACK development after designing educational games. Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education, 43(5) 1–19.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1359866X.2014.939611

Siry, C., & Gorges, A. (2020). Young students' diverse resources for meaning making in science: Learning from multilingual contexts. International Journal of Science Education, 42(14) 2364–2386. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2019.1625495

* Siry, C. , & Lang, D. (2010). Creating participatory discourse for teaching and research in early childhood science. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 21 149–160. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10972-009-9162-7. * Siry, C. , & Lara, J. (2012). "I didn't know water could be so messy": Coteaching in elementary teacher education and the production of identity for a new teacher of science. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 7(1) 1–30. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11422-011-9339-1

* Sundberg, B., & Ottander, C. (2013). The conflict within the role: A longitudinal study of preschool student teachers' developing competence in and attitudes towards science teaching in relation to developing a professional role. Journal of Early Childhood Teacher Education, 34(1) 80–94.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10901027.2013.758540

* Thulin, S. , & Redfors, A. (2016). Student preschool teachers' experiences of science and its role in preschool. Early Childhood Education Journal, 45 509–520. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10643-016-0783-0

* Torkar, G. (2015). Pre-service teachers' fear of snakes, conservation attitudes, and likelihood of incorporating animals into the future science curriculum. Journal of Baltic Science Education, 14, 401–410.

* Torres-Porras, J., & Alcántara-Manzanares, J. (2019). Are plants living beings? Biases in the interpretation of landscape features by pre-service teachers. Journal of Biological Education, 55(2), 1–11. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00219266.2019.1667405

* Trundle, K. C. , & Bell, R. L. (2010). The use of a computer simulation to promote conceptual change: A quasi-experimental study. Computers and Education, 54(4) 1078–1088.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2009.10.012

Trundle, K. C. , & Saçkes, M. (2012). Science and early education. In R. C. Pianta , W. S. Barnett , L. M. Justice , & S. M. Sheridan (Eds.), Handbook of early childhood education (pp. 240–258). New York, NY: Guilford Press.

* Tsevreni, I. (2020). Nature journaling as a holistic pedagogical experience with the more-than-human world. The Journal of Environmental Education, 52(1) 14–24. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958964.2020.1724854 UN General Assembly (1989). Convention on the rights of the child. United Nations, Treaty Series, vol. 1577, p. 3. https://www.refworld.org/docid/3ae6b38f0.html

* Wu, D., Liao, T., Yang, W., & Li, H. (2020). Exploring the relationships between scientific epistemic beliefs, science teaching beliefs and science-specific PCK among pre-service kindergarten teachers in China. Early Education and Development, 32(1) 82–97. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10409289.2020.1771971

* Yılmaz, S., Olgan, R., & Yılmaztekin, E. (2016). Nature connectedness and landscape preferences of Turkish preservice preschool teachers. International Journal of Environmental and Science Education, 11(15), 8120–8142.

* Yoon, J. , & Martin, L. (2019). Infusing culturally responsive science curriculum into early childhood teacher preparation. Research in Science Education, 49 697–710. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11165-017-9647-x Zembal-Saul, C. , Carlone, H. , & Brown, M. (2020). Flipping the script: A possibility-centric vision of elementary teachers and ambitious science teaching. In D. Stroupe , K. Hammerness , & S. McDonald (Eds.), Preparing science teachers through practice-based teacher education. (pp. 117–132). Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.

Well-Started Beginners

Abed, O., & Abd-El-Khalick, F. (2015). Jordanian preservice primary teachers' perceptions of mentoring in science teaching. International Journal of Science Education, 37(4), 703–726.

Akerson, V., Buzellii, C., & Eastwood, J. (2012). Bridging the gap between preservice early childhood teachers' cultural values, perceptions of values held by scientists, and the relationships of these values to conceptions of nature of science. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 23(2), 133–157.

Akerson, V., Donnelly, L., Riggs, M., & Eastwood, J. (2012). Developing a community of practice to support preservice elementary teachers' nature of science instruction. International Journal of Science Education, 34(9), 1371–1392.

Arias, A., & Davis, E. A. (2017). Supporting children to construct evidence-based claims in science: Individual learning trajectories in a practice-based program. Teaching and Teacher Education, 66, 204–218.

Australian Curriculum Assessment and Reporting Authority (ACARA) . (2014). The Australian curriculum: Science. Sydney: Australian Curriculum Assessment and Reporting Authority.

www.australiancurriculum.edu.au/science/curriculum/f-10?layout=1

Avraamidou, L. (2014). Tracing a beginning elementary teacher's development of identity for science teaching. Journal of Teacher Education, 65(3), 223–240.

Bautista, N. , & Boone, W. (2015). Exploring the impact of TeachME[™] Lab virtual classroom teaching simulation on early childhood education majors' self-efficacy beliefs. Journal of Science Teacher Education,

26(3), 237–262.

Bell, R., Matkins, J., & Gansneder, B. (2011). Impacts of contextual and explicit instruction on preservice elementary teachers' understandings of the nature of science. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 48(4), 414–436.

Benedict-Chambers, A. (2016). Using tools to promote novice teacher noticing of science teaching practices in post-rehearsal discussions. Teaching and Teacher Education, 59, 28–44.

Benedict-Chambers, A., & Aram, R. (2017). Tools for teacher noticing: Helping preservice teachers notice and analyze student thinking and scientific practice use. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 28(3), 294–318. Biggers, M., & Forbes, C. (2012). Balancing teacher and student roles in elementary classrooms: Preservice elementary teachers' learning about the inquiry continuum. International Journal of Science Education, 34(14), 2205–2229.

Bottoms, S., Ciechanowski, K., & Hartman, B. (2015). Learning to teach elementary science through iterative cycles of enactment in culturally and linguistically diverse contexts. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(8), 715–742.

Bravo, M., Mosqueda, E., Solís, J., & Stoddart, T. (2014). Possibilities and limits of integrating science and diversity education in preservice elementary teacher preparation. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 25(5), 601–619.

Buck, G., Trauth-Nare, A., & Kaftan, J. (2010). Making formative assessment discernable to pre-service teachers of science. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 47(4), 402–421.

Canipe, M., & Gunckel, K. (2020). Imagination, brokers, and boundary objects: Interrupting the mentor – preservice teacher hierarchy when negotiating meanings. Journal of Teacher Education, 71(1), 80–93. Carrier, S. (2013). Elementary preservice teachers' science vocabulary: Knowledge and application. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(2), 405–425.

Carrier, S., & Grifenhagen, J. (2020). Academic vocabulary support for elementary science pre-service teachers. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 31(2), 115–133.

Cartwright, T. J., & Hallar, B. (2018). Taking risks with a growth mindset: Longterm influence of an elementary pre-service after school science practicum. International Journal of Science Education, 40(3), 348–370. Chen, J., & Mensah, F. M. (2018). Teaching contexts that influence elementary preservice teachers' teacher and science teacher identity development. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 29(5), 420–439.

Cone, N. (2012). The effects of community-based service learning on preservice teachers' beliefs about the characteristics of effective science teachers of diverse students. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 23(8), 889–907.

Cooper, G., Kenny, J., & Fraser, S. (2012). Influencing intended teaching practice: Exploring pre-service teachers' perceptions of science teaching resources. International Journal of Science Education, 34(12), 1883–1908.

Crowl, M., Devitt, A., Jansen, H., van Zee, E., & Winograd, K. (2013). Encouraging prospective teachers to engage friends and family in exploring physical phenomena. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(1), 93–110.

Cruz-Guzmán, M., García-Carmona, A., & Criado, A. (2017). An analysis of the questions proposed by elementary pre-service teachers when designing experimental activities as inquiry. International Journal of Science Education, 39(13), 1755–1774.

d'Alessio, M. (2018). The effect of microteaching on science teaching self-efficacy beliefs in preservice elementary teachers. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 29(6), 441–467.

Donna, J., & Hick, S. (2017). Developing elementary preservice teacher subject matter knowledge through the use of educative science curriculum materials. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 28(1), 92–110.

Forbes, C. (2011). Preservice elementary teachers' adaptation of science curriculum materials for inquiry-based elementary science. Science Education, 95, 927–955.

Forbes, C. (2013). Curriculum-dependent and curriculum-independent factors in preservice elementary teachers' adaptation of science curriculum materials for inquiry-based science. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(1), 179–197.

Forbes, C., & Davis, E. A. (2010). Curriculum design for inquiry: Preservice elementary teachers' mobilization and adaptation of science curriculum materials. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 47(7), 820–839. Greca, I. (2016). Supporting pre-service elementary teachers in their understanding of inquiry teaching through the construction of a third discursive space. International Journal of Science Education, 38(5), 791–813. Grossman, P., Compton, C., Igra, D., Ronfeldt, M., Shahan, E., & Williamson, P. W. (2009). Teaching practice: A cross-professional perspective. Teachers College Record, 111(9), 2055–2100.

Gunckel, K. (2011). Mediators of a preservice teacher's use of the inquiry-application instructional model. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 22(1), 79–100.

Gunckel, K. (2013). Fulfilling multiple obligations: Preservice elementary teachers' use of an instructional model while learning to plan and teach science. Science Education, 97, 139–162.

Gunckel, K., & Wood, M. (2016). The principle – practical discourse edge: Elementary preservice and mentor teachers working together on colearning tasks. Science Education, 100, 96–121.

Hanuscin, D. (2013). Critical incidents in the development of pedagogical content knowledge for teaching the nature of science: A prospective elementary teacher's journey. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(6), 933–956.

Harlow, D. (2012). The excitement and wonder of teaching science: What pre-service teachers learn from facilitating family science night centers. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 23(2), 199–220.

Harlow, D., Swanson, L., & Otero, V. (2014). Prospective elementary teachers' analysis of children's science talk in an undergraduate physics course. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 25(1), 97–117.

Haverly, C., & Davis, E. A. (in progress). Unpacking readiness for elementary science teaching: What preservice teachers bring and how that can be shaped through teacher education.

Hawkins, S., & Park Rogers, M. (2016). Tools for reflection: Video-based reflection within a preservice community of practice. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 27(4), 415–437.

Hernandez, C., & Shroyer, M. G. (2017). The use of culturally responsive teaching strategies among Latina/o student teaching interns during science and mathematics instruction of CLD students. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 28(4), 367–387.

Hoban, G., Loughran, J., & Nielsen, W. (2011). Slowmation: Preservice elementary teachers representing science knowledge through creating multimodal digital animations. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 48(9), 985–1009.

Hume, A. (2012). Primary connections: Simulating the classroom in initial teacher education. Research in Science Education, 42, 551–565.

Kademian, S., & Davis, E. A. (2018). Supporting beginning teacher planning of investigation-based science discussions. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 29(8), 712–740.

Katz, P., McGinnis, R., Hestness, E., Riedinger, K., Marbach-Ad, G., Dai, A., & Pease, R. (2011). Professional identity development of teacher candidates participating in an informal science education internship: A focus on drawings as evidence. International Journal of Science Education, 33(9), 1169–1197. Kaya, E. (2013). Argumentation practices in classroom: Pre-service teachers' conceptual understanding of chemical equilibrium. International Journal of Science Education, 35(7), 1139–1158.

Kazempour, M. (2018). Elementary preservice teachers' authentic inquiry experiences and reflections: A multicase study. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 29(7), 644–663.

Kim, M., Anthony, R., & Blades, D. (2014). Decision making through dialogue: A case study of analyzing preservice teachers' argumentation on socioscientific issues. Research in Science Education, 44, 903–926. Kisiel, J. (2013). Introducing future teachers to science beyond the classroom. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(1), 67–91.

Lewis, A. (2019). Practice what you teach: How experiencing elementary school science teaching practices helps prepare teacher candidates. Teaching and Teacher Education, 86, 1–10.

McLaughlin, D., & Calabrese Barton, A. (2013). Preservice teachers' uptake and understanding of funds of knowledge in elementary science. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(1), 13–36.

Mensah, F. M., Brown, J., Titu, P., Rozowa, P., Sivaraj, R., & Heydari, R. (2018). Preservice and inservice teachers' ideas of multiculturalism: Explorations across two science methods courses in two different contexts. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 29(2), 128–147.

Mensah, F. M., & Jackson, I. (2018). Whiteness as property in science teacher education. Teachers College Record, 120, 1–38.

Miller, M. , Hanley, D. , & Brobst, J. (2019). The impacts of a research-based model for mentoring elementary preservice teachers in science. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(4), 357–378.

NAE . (2018). Curriculum for the compulsory school, preschool class and school-age educare. Stockholm: The Swedish National Agency for Education.

Naidoo, K. (2017). Capturing the transformation and dynamic nature of an elementary teacher candidate's identity development as a teacher of science. Research in Science Education, 47, 1331–1355.

National Research Council . (2012). A framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

Nilsson, P., & Loughran, J. (2012). Exploring the development of pre-service science elementary teachers' pedagogical content knowledge. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 23(7), 699–721.

Nilsson, P., & van Driel, J. (2010). Teaching together and learning together – Primary science student teachers' and their mentors' joint teaching and learning in the primary classroom. Teaching and Teacher Education, 26, 1309–1318.

Olson, J., Bruxvoort, C., & Vande Haar, A. (2016). The impact of video case content on preservice elementary teachers' decision-making and conceptions of effective science teaching. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 53(10), 1500–1523.

Palmer, D. , Dixon, J. , & Archer, J. (2016). Identifying underlying causes of situational interest in a science course for preservice elementary teachers. Science Education, 100, 1039–1061.

Patrick, P., Mathews, C., & Tunnicliffe, S. (2013). Using a field trip inventory to determine if listening to elementary school students' conversations, while on a zoo field trip, enhances preservice teachers' abilities to plan zoo field trips. International Journal of Science Education, 35(15), 2645–2669.

Plonczak, I. (2010). Videoconferencing in math and science preservice elementary teachers' field placements. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 21(2), 241–254.

Plummer, J., & Ozcelik, A. (2015). Preservice teachers developing coherent inquiry investigations in elementary astronomy. Science Education, 99, 932–957.

Putnam, R., & Borko, H. (2000). What do new views of knowledge and thinking have to say about research on teacher learning? Educational Researcher, 29(1), 4–15.

Rivera Maulucci, M. (2011). Language experience narratives and the role of autobiographical reasoning in becoming an urban science teacher. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 6, 413–434.

Roth, K. (2014). Elementary science teaching. In N. Lederman & S. Abell (Eds.), Handbook of research on science teaching (Vol. II). New York: Routledge.

Ruiz-Gallardo, J.-R., & Reavey, D. (2019). Learning science concepts by teaching peers in a cooperative environment: A longitudinal study of preservice teachers. Journal of the Learning Sciences, 28(1), 73–107. Sabel, J., Forbes, C., & Zangori, L. (2015). Promoting prospective elementary teachers' learning to use formative assessment for life science instruction. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(4), 419–445. Saribas, D., & Akdemir, Z. (2019). Using an innovative tool in science education: Examining pre-service elementary teachers' evaluation levels on the topic of wetlands. International Journal of Science Education, 41(1), 123–138.

Settlage, J. (2011). Counterstories from White mainstream preservice teachers: Resisting the master narrative of deficit by default. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 6, 803–836.

Seung, E., Park, S., & Lee, M.-A. (2019). The impact of a summer camp-based science methods course on preservice teachers' self-efficacy in teaching science as inquiry. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(8), 872–889.

Siry, C. , & Lara, J. (2012). "I didn't know water could be so messy": Coteaching in elementary teacher education and the production of identity for a new teacher of science. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 7, 1–30.

Smith, D., & Jang, S. (2011). Pathways in learning to teach elementary science: Navigating contexts, roles, affordances and constraints. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 22(8), 745–768.

Subramaniam, K. (2013). Examining the content of preservice teachers' reflections of early field experiences. Research in Science Education, 43, 1851–1872.

Sullivan-Watts, B., Nowicki, B., Shim, M., & Young, B. (2013). Sustaining reform-based science teaching of preservice and inservice elementary school teachers. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(5), 879–905. Trauth-Nare, A. (2015). Influence of an intensive, field-based life science course on preservice teachers' self-efficacy for environmental science teaching. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(5), 497–519.

Tsybulsky, D., & Oz, A. (2019). From frustration to insights: Experiences, attitudes, and pedagogical practices of preservice science teachers implementing PBL in elementary school. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(3), 259–279.

Valente, B., Mauricio, P., & Faria, C. (2018). Understanding the process and conditions that improve preservice teachers' conceptions of nature of science in real contexts. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 29(7), 620–643.

Wallace, C., & Brooks, L. (2015). Learning to teach elementary science in an experiential, informal context: Culture, learning, and identity. Science Education, 99, 174–198.

Wallace, C., & Coffey, D. (2019). Investigating elementary preservice teachers' designs for integrated science/ literacy instruction highlighting similar cognitive processes. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(5), 507–527.

Wang, J., & Sneed, S. (2019). Exploring the design of scaffolding pedagogical instruction for elementary preservice teacher education. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(5), 483–506.

Weller, J. (2019). Primary science preservice teacher (PST) online publishing: Is it recognized as valuable? Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(7), 716–736.

Wenner, J., & Kittleson, J. (2018). Focused video reflections in concert with practice-based structures to support elementary teacher candidates in learning to teach science. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 29(8), 741–759.

Wilson, R., Bradbury, L., & McGlasson, M. (2015). Integrating service-learning pedagogy for preservice elementary teachers' science identity development. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(3), 319–340. Windschitl, M., & Calabrese Barton, A. (2016). Rigor and equity by design: Locating a set of core teaching practices for the science education community. In D. Gitomer & C. Bell (Eds.), Handbook of research on teaching (5th ed., pp. 1099–1158). Washington, DC: American Educational Research Association.

Yoon, J., & Martin, L. (2019). Infusing culturally responsive science curriculum into early childhood teacher preparation. Research in Science Education, 49, 697–710.

Zangori, L., & Forbes, C. (2013). Preservice elementary teachers and explanation construction: Knowledge-forpractice and knowledge-in-practice. Science Education, 97, 310–330.

Zangori, L., Friedrichsen, P., Wulff, E., & Womack, A. (2017). Using the practice of modeling to support preservice teachers' reflection on the process of teaching and learning. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 28(7), 590–608.

Research on Secondary Science Teacher Preparation

Abell, S. K. (Ed.). (2006). Science teacher education: An international perspective (Vol. 10). New York, NY: Kluwer Academic Publishers. https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/bfm%3A978-0-306-47222-0%2F1.pdf Arias, A. M., & Davis, E. A. (2017). Supporting children to construct evidence-based claims in science: Individual learning trajectories in a practice-based program. Teaching and Teacher Education, 66 204–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.04.011

Ball, D. L., & Forzani, F. M. (2007). What makes education research "educational"? Educational Researcher, 36(9), 529–540.

Ball, D. L., Sleep, L., Boerst, T. A., & Bass, H. (2009). Combining the development of practice and the practice of development in teacher education. The Elementary School Journal, 109(5), 458–474. Benedict-Chambers, A. (2016). Using tools to promote novice teacher noticing of science teaching practices in post-rehearsal discussions. Teaching and Teacher Education, 59 28–44.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2016.05.009

Campbell, T., Verma, G., Melville, W., & Park, B. (2019). JSTE as a forum for engaging in knowledge generation and discourses in science teacher education, equity and justice-focused science teacher education, and professional learning for science teacher education scholars. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(5), 429–433.

Cochran-Smith, M., & Zeichner, K. M. (Eds.). (2005). Studying teacher education: The report of the AERA panel on research and teacher education. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates

De Boer, E., Dam, M., Janssen, F. J. J. M., & Van Driel, J. H. (2019). Perspective-based generic questions as a tool to promote student biology teacher questioning. Research in Science Education. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-019-9853-9

De Boer, E. , Janssen, F. J. J. M. , & Van Driel, J. H. (2016). Using an attribution support tool to enhance the teacher efficacy of student science teachers. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 27 303–324. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-016-9461-8

Dillon, J., & Avraamidou, L. (2020). Towards a viable response to COVID-19 from the science education community. Journal for Activist Science & Technology Education, 11(2) 1–6. https://doi.org/10.33137/jaste.v11i2.34531

Erickson, F. (2011). On noticing teacher noticing. In M. G. Sherin , V. R. Jacobs , & R. A. Philipp (Eds.), Mathematics teacher noticing: Seeing through teachers' eyes (pp. 17–34). New York, NY: Routledge.

Glazer, J. L., & Peurach, D. J. (2015). Occupational control in education: The logic and leverage of epistemic communities. Harvard Educational Review, 85(2), 172–202.

Gray, R. E., McDonald, S., & Stroupe, D. (2021). What you find depends on how you see: Examining asset and deficit perspectives of preservice science teachers' knowledge and learning. Studies of Science Education. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1080/03057267.2021.1897932

Grossman, P. (2018). Teaching core practices in teacher education. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press. Grossman, P., Compton, C., Igra, D., Ronfeldt, M., Shahan, E., & Williamson, P. (2009). Teaching practice: A cross-professional perspective. Teachers College Record, 111(9), 2055–2100.

Grossman, P., Hammerness, K., & McDonald, M. (2009). Redefining teaching, re-imagining teacher education. Teachers and Teaching, Theory and Practice, 15(2), 273–289.

Janssen, F. J. J. M., & Van Driel, J. H. (2017). Developing a repertoire for teaching biology. In A. Sickel & S. Witzig (Eds.), Designing and teaching the secondary science methods course: An international perspective (pp. 91–107). Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.

Lampert, M., & Graziani, F. (2009). Instructional activities as a tool for teachers' and teacher educators' learning in and for practice. Elementary School Journal, 109(5), 491–509.

Loughran, J. J. (2002). Effective reflective practice in search of meaning in learning about teaching. Journal of Teacher Education, 53(1) 33–43. https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2020v45n3.2

Macleod, G. (1987). Microteaching: End of a research era? International Journal of Educational Research, 11(5), 531–541.

McDonald, M. , Kazemi, E. , & Kavanagh, S. S. (2013). Core practices and pedagogies of teacher education: A call for a common language and collective activity. Journal of Teacher Education, 64(5) 378–386. https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0022487113493807

Mostafa, T., Echazarra, A., & Guillou, H. (2018). The science of teaching science: An exploration of science teaching practices in PISA 2015. OECD Education Working Papers, No. 188, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/f5bd9e57-en.

Niazi, M. (2015). Do systematic literature reviews outperform informal literature reviews in the software engineering domain? An initial case study. Arabic Journal of Science and Engineering, 40(3) 845–855. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-015-1586-0

OECD (2020). Global teaching InSights. Paris: OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/20d6f36b-en Peterson, A., Dumont, H., Lafuente, M., & Law, N. (2018). Understanding innovative pedagogies: Key themes to analyse new approaches to teaching and learning. OECD Education Working Paper No. 172. https://doi.org/10.1787/9f843a6e-en
Russell, T., & Martin, A. K. (2007). Learning to teach science. In S. Abell & N. Lederman (Eds.), Handbook of research on science education (pp. 1151–1178). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Russell, T. , & Martin, A. K. (2014). Learning to teach science. In S. Abell & N. Lederman (Eds.), Handbook of research on science education (pp. 1151–1176). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Smith, J., di Sessa, A., & Rochelle, J. (1993). Misconceptions reconceived: A constructivist analysis of knowledge in transition. Journal of Learning Sciences, 3, 115–163.

Stroupe, D. , Hammerness, K. , & McDonald, S. (2020). Preparing science teachers through practice-based teacher education. Boston, MA: Harvard University Press.

Taylor, P. C., Fraser, B. J., & Fisher, D. L. (1997). Monitoring constructivist classroom learning environments. International Journal of Educational Research, 27(4), 293–302.

Thompson, J. , Windschitl, M. , & Braaten, M. (2013). Developing a theory of ambitious early-career teacher practice. American Educational Research Journal, 50(3) 574–615.

https://doi.org/10.3102%2F0002831213476334

Windschitl, M. , Thompson, J. , & Braaten, M. (2018). Ambitious science teaching. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.

Windschitl, M., Thompson, J., Braaten, M., & Stroupe, D. (2012). Proposing a core set of instructional practices and tools for teachers of science. Science Education, 96(5), 878–903.

Zeichner, K. (2012). The turn once again toward practice-based teacher education. Journal of Teacher Education, 63, 376–382.

Understanding the Role of Field Experiences in Preservice Science Teacher Preparation

Battaglini, Sr., D., Pirkl, M., & Horner, O. (1975). Developing a humanistic, competency-based curriculum for preservice elementary science teachers – two years' experience. Science Education, 59(3), 357–271. Birmingham, D., Smetana, L., & Coleman, E. (2019). "From the beginning, I felt empowered": Incorporating an ecological approach to learning in elementary science teacher education. Research in Science Education, 49, 1493–1521.

Boyer, E. (2016). Preservice elementary teachers' instructional practices and the teaching science as argument framework. Science & Education, 25, 1011–1047.

Boyle, J. D., Svihla, V., Tyson, K., Bowers, H., Buntjer, J., Garcia-Olp, M., ... Sample, S. (2013). Preparing teachers for new standards: From content in core disciplines to disciplinary practices. Teacher Education and Practice, 26(2), 199–220.

Brown, J. C. , & Crippen, K. J. (2016). The growing awareness inventory: Building capacity for culturally responsive science and mathematics with a structured observation protocol. School Science and Mathematics, 116, 127–138.

Brown, W. R. (1973). Experience-based science and mathematics preservice teacher education program. Science Education, 57(4), 453–466.

Bulunuz, M. (2012). Developing Turkish preservice preschool teachers' attitudes and understanding about teaching science through play. International Journal of Environmental & Science Education, 7(2), 141–166. Calabrese Barton, A. (2000). Crafting multicultural science education with preservice teachers through service-learning. Journal of Curriculum Studies, 32(6), 797–820.

Cannon, J. R., & Scharmann, L. C. (1996). Influence of a cooperative early field experience on preservice elementary teachers' science self-efficacy. Science Education, 80(4), 419–436.

Capie, W. (1973). A modular methods course in conjunction with portal schools. Science Education, 57(1), 71–75.

Carrier, S. J., Whitehead, A. N., Walkowiak, T. A., Luginbuhl, S. C., & Thomson, M. M. (2017). The development of elementary teacher identities as teachers of science. International Journal of Science Education, 39, 1733–1754.

Cartwright, T. J., & Hallar, B. (2018). Taking risks with a growth mindset: Long-term influence of an elementary pre-service after school science practicum. International Journal of Science Education, 40(3), 348–370.

Chen, J., & Mensah, F. M. (2018). Teaching contexts that influence elementary preservice teachers' teacher and science teacher identity development. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 29(5), 420–439.

Cobb, P. , Zhao, Q. , & Dean, C. (2009). Conducting design experiments to support teachers' learning: A reflection from the field. Journal of the Learning Sciences, 18(2), 165–199.

Coddington, L. R., & Swanson, L. H. (2019). Exploring identity of prospective math and science teachers through reflections in early field contexts. Journal of Teacher Education and Educators, 8(3), 207–228. Collea, F. P. (1974). A model for pre-service training of science teachers based on the intentions, perceptions, and verbal behaviors of first year science teachers. Science Education, 58, 363–367.

Cone, N. (2012). The effects of community-based service learning on preservice teachers' beliefs about the characteristics of effective science teachers of diverse students. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 23(8),

889–907.

Danielowich, R. M. (2012). Looking through different lenses: How preservice science teachers use practiceoriented reflections to negotiate more reform-minded identities. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 23, 323–346.

Danish, J. A., & Gresalfi, M. (2018). Cognitive and sociocultural perspective on learning: Tensions and synergy in the learning sciences. In F. Fischer, C. E. Hmelo-Silver, S. R. Goldman, & P. Reimann (Eds.), International handbook of the learning sciences (pp. 34–43). New York, NY: Routledge.

Faikhamta, C. , Jantarakantee, E. , & Roadrangka, V. (2011). The current situation of field experience in a fiveyear science teacher education program in Thailand. US-China Education Review, B(6), 829–839.

Fitzgerald, A. (2020). Out in the field: Examining the role of school-based experiences in preparing primary preservice teachers as confident and competent teachers of science. International Journal of Science Education, 42(2), 290–309.

Fletcher, S. S., & Luft, J. (2011). Early career secondary science teachers: A longitudinal study of beliefs in relation to field experiences. Science Education, 95(6), 1124–1146.

Forbes, C. T. (2013). Curriculum-dependent and curriculum-independent factors in preservice elementary teachers' adaptation of science curriculum materials for inquiry-based science, Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(1), 179–197.

Frankel, E. (1972). Teacher training in elementary science education. Science Education, 56, 57–63. Franks, B., & McGlamery, S. (2016). Effects of teaching in a science summer camp on preservice teachers' science self-efficacy. Delta Kappa Gamma Bulletin, 82(3), 63–73.

Hancock, E. S., & Gallard, A. J. (2004). Preservice science teachers' beliefs about teaching and learning: The influence of K-12 field experiences. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 15(4), 281–291.

Hanuscin, D. L., & Zangori, L. (2016). Developing practical knowledge of the Next Generation Science Standards in elementary science teacher education. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 27(8), 799–818. Harlow, D. B. (2012). The excitement and wonder of teaching science: What pre-service teachers learn from facilitating family science night centers. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 23, 199–220.

Harty, H., Andersen, H. O., & Enochs, L. G. (1984). Science teaching attitudes and class control ideologies of preservice elementary teachers with and without early field experience. Science Education, 68(1), 53–59. Heineke, A. J., Smetana, L., & Sanei, J. C. (2019). A qualitative case study of field-based teacher education: One candidate's evolving expertise of science teaching for emergent bilinguals. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(1), 80–100.

Horak, W. J. (1981). Field experiences: Their effects on beliefs of preservice elementary teachers. Science Education, 65(3), 277–284.

Juhler, M. V. (2016). The use of lesson study combined with content representation in the planning of physics lessons during field practice to develop pedagogical content knowledge. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 27, 533–553.

Kinskey, M. (2018). Using action research to improve science teaching self-efficacy. International Journal of Science Education, 40(15), 1795–1811.

Lotter, C., Singer, J., & Godley, J. (2009). The influence of repeated teaching and reflection on preservice teachers' views of inquiry and nature of science. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 20, 553–582. Lunetta, V. N. (1975). Field-based clinical experiences in science teacher education. Science Education, 59(4), 517–520.

Lux, N., Obery, A., Cornish, J., Grimberg, B. I., & Hartshorn, A. (2017). Reflecting on the challenges of informal contexts: Early field experiences with technology in teacher education. Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 17(2), 250–267.

Matkins, J. J. , McDonnough, J. , & Goff, K. (2014). Preparing science teachers for teaching in high-need schools: A comparison of two science education programs. Teacher Education and Practice, 27(2–3), 297–315. McLaughlin, D. S. , & Calabrese Barton, A. (2013). Preservice teachers' uptake and understanding of funds of knowledge in elementary science. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24, 13–36.

Min, M., Akerson, V., & Aydeniz, F. (2020). Exploring preservice teachers' beliefs about effective science teaching through their collaborative oral reflections. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 31(3), 245–263. Nelson, T. H. (2008). Making the hidden explicit: Learning about equity in K-8 preservice science education. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 19(3), 235–254.

Oberlin, L., & Sanders, B. (1973). A comparison of the science content knowledge of graduates from Florida's new elementary program and graduates who had their science education in a traditional course. Science Education, 57(3), 331–334.

Ohana, C. (2004). Extended field experiences and cohorts with elementary science methods: Some unintended consequences. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 15(3), 233–254.

Perkins, C. M. (2019). Preparing preservice elementary teachers to teach engineering: Impact on self-efficacy and outcome expectancy. School Science and Mathematics, 119, 161–170.

Plonczak, I. (2010). Videoconferencing in math and science preservice elementary teachers' field placements. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 21(2), 241–254.

Rowe, M. B. (1974). A Humanistic intent: The program of preservice elementary education at the University of Florida. Science Education, 58(3), 369-376.

Sahin, M., & White, A. L. (2015). Teachers' perceptions related to characteristics of a professional environment for teaching. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 11(3), 559–575.

Settlage, J., Southerland, S. A., Smith, L. K., & Ceglie, R. (2009). Constructing a doubt-free teaching self: Self-efficacy, teacher identity, and science instruction within diverse settings. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 46(1), 102–125.

Seung, E., Park, S., & Lee, M-A. (2019). The impact of a summer camp-based science methods course on preservice teachers' self-efficacy in teaching science as inquiry. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(8). 872-889.

Subramaniam, K. (2013). Examining the content of preservice teachers' reflections of early field experiences. Research in Science Education, 43, 1851–1872.

Sunal, D. W. (1982). Affective predictors of preservice science teaching behavior. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 19(2), 167-175.

Varma, T., & Hanuscin, D. L. (2008). Pre-service elementary teachers' field experiences in classrooms led by science specialists. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 19, 593-614.

Wallace, C. S., & Brooks, L. (2015). Learning to teach elementary science in an experiential, informal context: Culture, learning, and identity. Science Education, 99(1), 174-198.

Weaver, H. M., Hounshell, P. B., & Coble, C. B. (1979). Effects of science methods courses with and without field experience on attitudes of preservice elementary teachers. Science Education, 63(5), 655–664.

Weld, J. D., & French, D. P. (2001). An undergraduate science laboratory field experience for pre-service science teachers. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 12(2), 133-142.

Wenner, J. A., & Kittleson, J. (2018). Focused video reflections in concert with practice-based structures to support elementary teacher candidates in learning to teach science. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 29(8), 741-759.

Wilson, J. D. (1996). An evaluation of the field experiences of the innovative model for the preparation of elementary teachers for Science, mathematics, and technology. Journal of Teacher Education, 47(1), 53–59. Wilson, S. M., Floden, R. E., & Ferrini-Mundy, J. (2001). Teacher preparation research: Current knowledge, gaps, and recommendations. Seattle: Center for the Study of Teaching and Policy, University of Washington.

Recent Trends in Science Education Research on Mentoring Preservice Teachers

Allen, J. M., White, S., & Sim, C. (2017). Project evidence: Responding to the changing professional learning needs of mentors in initial teacher education. Australian Journal of Teacher Education, 42(7). https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2017v42n7.2

Anderson, L., & Stillman, J. (2013). Student teachings' contribution to preservice teacher development: A review of research focused on the preparation of teachers for urban and high-needs contexts. Review of Educational Research, 83(1) 3-69. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654312468619

Aspfors, J., & Fransson, G. (2015). Research on mentor education for mentors of newly qualified teachers: A gualitative meta-synthesis. Teaching and Teacher Education, 48, 75-86.

Barnett, E., & Friedrichsen, P. (2015). Educative mentoring: How a mentor supported a preservice biology teacher's pedagogical content knowledge development. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(7) 647–668. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-015-9442-3

Blackmore, K. (2019). Asking the right questions: An exploration into the introduction of co-coaching within initial teacher science education. International Journal of Mentoring and Coaching in Education, 8(3) 163–181. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJMCE-09-2018-0052

Braaten, M. (2018). Persistence of the two-worlds pitfall: Learning to teach within and across settings. Science Education, 103(1) 61-91. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21460

Bradbury, L. (2010). Educative mentoring: Promoting reform-based science teaching through mentoring relationships, Science Education, 94(6), 1049–1071.

Bradley-Levine, J., Lee, J., & Mosier, G. (2016). Teacher mentoring as a community effort. School Science and Mathematics. 116(2). 71-86.

Bullough, R. V., Jr. (2012). Mentoring and new teacher induction in the United States: A review and analysis of current practices. Mentoring & Tutoring: Partnership in Learning, 20(1) 57-74. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13611267.2012.645600.

Clarke, A., Triggs, V., & Nielsen, W. (2014). Cooperating teacher participation in teacher education: A review in literature. Review of Educational Research, 84(2) 163–202. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654313499618 Darling-Hammond, L. (2017). Teacher education around the world: What can we learn from international practice? European Journal of Teacher Education, 40(3) 291–309.

https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2017.1315399

Ellis, N. , Alonzo, D. , & Nguyen, H. (2020). Elements of a quality pre-service teacher mentor: A literature review. Teaching and Teacher Education, 92, 1–13.

Feiman-Nemser, S. (2001). Helping novices learn to teach: Lessons from an exemplary support teacher. Journal of Teacher Education, 52(1), 17–30.

Gallo-Fox, J., & Scantlebury, K. (2015). "It isn't necessarily sunshine and daisies every time": Coplanning opportunities and challenges when student teaching. Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education, 43(4) 324–337. dx.doi.org/10.1080/1359866X.2015.1060294

Gallo-Fox, J. , & Scantlebury, K. (2016). Coteaching as professional development for cooperating teachers. Teaching and Teacher Education, 60, 191–202.

Goodwin, A., Roegman, R., & Reagan, E. (2016). Is experience the best teacher? Extensive clinical practice and mentor teachers' perspectives on effective teaching. Urban Education, 51(10) 1198–1225. https://doi.org/10.1177/0042085915618720

Hegevold, N., Naeshiem-Bjorkvik, G., & Ostrem, S. (2015). Key focus areas and use of tools in mentoring conversations in initial teacher education. Teaching and Teacher Education, 49, 128–137.

Hume, A., & Berry, A. (2013). Enhancing the practicum experience for pre-service chemistry teachers through collaborative CoRe design with mentor teachers. Research in Science Education, 43(5) 2107–2136. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-012-9346-6

Kelchetermans, G., & Deketelaere, A. (2016). The emotional dimension in becoming a teacher. In J. Loughran & M. L. Hamilton (Eds.), International handbook on teacher education (pp. 429–469). Singapore: Springer. Koballa, T. R., & Bradbury, L. U. (2012). Mentoring in support of reform-based science teaching. In B. Frasier & K. Tobin (Eds.), Second international handbook of science education (pp. 361–372). New York, NY: Springer. McDonald, M., Kazemi, E., Kelley-Petersen, M., Mikolasy, K., Thompson, J., Valencia, S., & Windschitl, M.

(2014). Practice makes practice: Learning to teach in teacher education. Peabody Journal of Education, 89(4) 500–515. https://doi.org/10.1080/0161956X.2014.938997

Melton, J., Miller, M., & Brobst, J. (2019). Mentoring the mentors: Hybridizing professional development to support cooperating teachers' mentoring practice in science. Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 19(1), 23–44.

Miller, M. , Hanley, D. , & Brobst, J. (2019). The impacts of a research-based model for mentoring elementary preservice teachers in science. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(4) 357–378. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2019.1573127

Nam, J., Seung, E., & Go, M. (2011). The effect of a collaborative mentoring program on beginning science teachers' inquiry-based teaching practice, International Journal of Science Education.

https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2011.584329

NGSS Lead States . (2013). Next generation science standards: For states, by states. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

Ochi, T., & Isozaki, T. (2016). How do pre-service science teachers develop their teacher knowledge? A qualitative study focusing on teaching practice in schools. Theory and Research for Developing Learning Systems, 2, 23–33.

Orland-Barak, L., & Wang, J. (2020). Teacher mentoring in service of preservice teacher's learning to teach: Conceptual bases, characteristics, and challenges for teacher education reform. Journal of Teacher Education, 0 1–14. https://doi.org/10.117/0022487119894230

Surrette, T. (2020). Influence of mentoring and professional communities on the professional development of a cohort of early career secondary mathematics and science teachers. School Science and Mathematics, 120 175–188. https://doi.org/10.1111/ssm.12392

Thompson, J., Hagenah, S., Lohwasser, K., & Laxton, K. (2018). Problems without ceilings: How mentors and novices frame and work on problems-of-practice. Journal of Teacher Education, 66(4) 363–381. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487115592462

Windsor, S., Kriewaldt, J., Nash, M., Lilja, A., & Thornton, J. (2020). Developing teachers: Adopting observation tools that suspend judgment to evidence-informed dialogue during the teaching practicum to enrich teacher professional development. Professional Development in Education.

https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2020.1712452

Yuan, E. (2018). The dark side of mentoring on pre-service language teachers' identity formation. Teaching and Teacher Education, 55, 188–197.

Alternative Pathways to Science Teaching: Approaches and Impacts

Abell, S., Boone, W., Arbaugh, F., Lannin, J., Beilfuss, M., Volkmann, M., & White, S. (2006). Recruiting future science and mathematics teachers into alternative certification programs: Strategies tried and lessons learned. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 17(3) 165–183. https://doi.org10.1007/s10972-005-9001-4 Akiri, E., &, Dori, Y. J. (2019). Assessing novice STEM teachers' and their mentors' professional growth [Paper presentation]. European Science Education Research Association (ESERA) Conference, Bologna, Italy.

Alternative Routes to Licensure (8VAC20–23–90), Statutory Authority of the Code of Virginia §§ 22.1–16 and 22.1–298 (2018/2021). https://law.lis.virginia.gov/admincode/title8/agency20/chapter23/section90/ Amichai, S., & Ron, S. (2018). Making educational excellence in mathematics accessible to disadvantaged children: The case of Teach First Israel. K-12 Mathematics Education in Israel: Issues and Innovations, 13 285–292. https://doi.org/10.1142/9789813231191_0031

Avargil, S., Kohen, Z., & Dori, Y. J. (2020). Trends and perceptions of choosing chemistry as a major and a career. Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 21(2) 668–684. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9RP00158A Beleidsdomein Onderwijs en Vorming (2019). Beleidsprioriteiten onderwijs en vorming. [Policy priorities education and training]. Bijdrage van het beleidsdomein Onderwijs en Vorming aan het regeerakkoord. Boone, W. J., Abell, S. K., Volkmann, M. J., Arbaugh, F., & Lannin, J. K. (2011). Evaluating selected perceptions of science and mathematics teachers in an alternative certification program. International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 9, 551–569.

Bowe, A., Braam, M., Lawrenz, F., & Kirchhoff, A. (2011). Comparison of alternative and traditional teacher certification programs in terms of effectiveness in encouraging STEM pre-service teachers to teach in high need schools. Journal of the National Association for Alternative Certification, 6(1), 26–45.

Boyd, D. J., Goldhaber, D. D., Lankford, H., & Wyckoff, J. H. (2007). The effect of certification and preparation on teacher quality. The Future of Children, 17(1) 45–68. https://doi.org/10.1353/foc.2007.0000

Boyd, D. J. , Grossman, P. L. , Lankford, H. , Loeb, S. , & Wyckoff, J. (2009). Teacher preparation and student achievement. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 31(4) 416–440.

https://doi.org/10.3102/0162373709353129

Bryant, M. R. (2014). Provisionally licensed teachers impact on student achievement (UMI Number: 3681286) (Doctoral dissertation). Regent University. ProQuest Dissertations Publishing.

Burbank, M., Bates, A., & Schrum, L. (2009). Expanding teacher preparation pathways for paraprofessionals: A recruiting seminar series. Teacher Education Quarterly, 36(2), 199–216.

Carver-Thomas, D. (2018). Diversifying the teaching profession: How to recruit and retain teachers of color. Learning Policy Institute. https://learningpolicyinstitute.org/product/diversifying-teaching-profession-report Charette, R. (2013). IEEE Spectrum commentary: "The STEM crisis is a myth" (2013). Physics Today, 50 44–49. https://doi.org/10.1063/PT.5.8008

Chudgar, A., Chandra, M., & Razzaque, A. (2014). Alternative forms of teacher hiring in developing countries and its implications: A review of literature. Teaching and Teacher Education, 37 150–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2013.10.009

Corbell, K., Booth, S., & Reiman, A. J. (2010). The commitment and retention intentions of traditionally and alternatively licensed math and science beginning teachers. Journal of Curriculum and Instruction, 4(1) 50–69. https://doi.org/10.3776/joci.2010.v4n1p50-69

Cowan, J., Goldhaber, D., Hayes, K., & Theobald, R. (2016). Missing elements in the discussion of teacher shortages. Educational Researcher, 45(8) 460–462. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X16679145

Dadvand, B., & Dawborn-Gundlach, M. (2020). The challenge to retain second-career teachers. University of Melbourne. Department of Teacher Education and Training. https://pursuit.unimelb.edu.au/articles/the-challenge-to-retain-second-career-teachers

Dandolo Partners . (2017). Teach for Australia program evaluation report.

https://docs.education.gov.au/documents/teach-australia-program-evaluation-report

Darling-Hammond, L. , Hyler, M. E. , & Gardner, M. (2017). Effective teacher professional development. Learning Policy Institute. https://learningpolicyinstitute.org/product/effective-teacher-professional-developmentreport/

Darling-Hammond, L., & Youngs, P. (2002). "Defining 'highly qualified teachers:' What does 'scientificallybased research' actually tell us?" Educational Researcher, 31(9) 13–25. www.aera.net/publications/?id=439 Diekman, A. B., & Benson-Greenwald, T. M. (2018). Fixing STEM workforce and teacher shortages: How goal congruity can inform individuals and institutions. Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 5(1) 11–18. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/2372732217747889

Donaldson, M. L. (2012). The promise of older novices: Teach for America teachers' age of entry and subsequent retention in teaching and schools. Teachers College Record, 14(10) 1–37. www.tcrecord.org/Content.asp?ContentId=16677

Donitsa-Schmidt, S., & Weinberger, Y. (2014). Do alternative teacher education programs manage to attract different candidates and students? Teacher Development, 18(4) 530–545. https://doi.org/10.1080/13664530.2014.963660

Dori, Y. J., Tal, T., Goldman, D., Sarid, A., Lavie-Alon, N., & Shwartz, G. (2019). Alternative certification teachers' education programs: Characteristics of graduate's integration into the school system. Chief Scientist, Ministry of Education, Israel (In Hebrew).

https://edu.gov.il/sites/ChiefScientist/ongoingresearches/ongoingresearches/Pages/preservice.aspx Dupriez, V. , Delvaux, B. , & Lothaire, S. (2016). Teacher shortage and attrition: Why do they leave? British Educational Research Journal, 42(1) 21–39. https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.3193

Feistritzer, C. E. (1998). Alternative teacher certification-An overview [Online]. www.ncei.com/Alt-TeacherCert.htm

Feistritzer, C. E. (2011). Profile of teachers in the U.S. 2011. National Center for Education Information. https://nces.ed.gov/fastfacts/display.asp?id=28

Flemish Government . (2019). Politiske prioriteter uddannelse og uddannelse. Bidrag fra Politikområde for uddannelse og erhvervsuddannelse til koalitionsaftalen, 2019–2024. [Review of Policy priorities education and training. Contribution of the Education and Training policy area to the coalition agreement, 2019–2024]. Department of Education and Training. www.vlaanderen.be/publicaties/beleidsprioriteiten-onderwijs-envorming-bijdrage-van-het-beleidsdomein-onderwijs-en-vorming-aan-het-regeerakkoord-2019–2024

Friedrich, D., Walter, M., & Colmenares, E. (2015). Making all children count: Teach For All and the universalizing appeal of data. Education Policy Analysis Archives, 23(48).

http://dx.doi.org/10.14507/epaa.v23.1797

García, E., & Weiss, E. (2019). U.S. schools struggle to hire and retain teachers. Economic Policy Institute. www.epi.org/publication/u-s-schools-struggle-to-hire-and-retain-teachers-the-second-report-in-the-perfect-storm-in-the-teacher-labor-market-series/

Goldhaber, D. D., & Brewer, D. J. (2000). Does teacher certification matter? High school teacher certification status and student achievement. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 22(2) 129–145. https://doi.org/10.3102/01623737022002129

Goldring, R., Taie, S., & Riddles, M. (2014). Teacher attrition and mobility: Results from the 2012–2013 teacher follow-up survey (NCES 2014–077). US Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics.

Goss, P., Sonnemann, J., & Nolan, J. (2019). Attracting high achievers to teaching. Grattan Institute. https://grattan.edu.au/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/921-Attracting-high-achievers-to-teaching.pdf Hargreaves, L., & Flutter, J. (2019). The status of teachers. In G. W. Noblit (Ed.), Oxford research encyclopedia of education. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190264093.013.288

Ingersoll, R. M. (2001). Teacher turnover and teacher shortages: An organizational analysis. American Educational Research Journal, 38(3) 499–534. https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312038003499

Ingersoll, R. M., Merrill, L., & May, H. (2014). What are the effects of teacher education preparation on beginning teacher attrition? Consortium for Policy Research in Education.

https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831207306743

Ingersoll, R. M., & Perda, D. (2010). Is the supply of mathematics and science teachers sufficient? American Educational Research Journal, 47(3) 563–594.

http://dx.doi.org.proxy.library.vcu.edu/10.3102/0002831210370711

Jordan, R., DiCicco, M., & Sabella, L. (2017). "They sit selfishly." Beginning STEM educators' expectations of young adolescent students. RMLE Online, 40(6) 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/19404476.2017.1320065 Koballa, T. R., Upson Bradbury, L., Mechew, D. C., & Glynn, S. M. (2006). Conceptions of mentoring and mentoring practice in alternative secondary science teacher education. Paper presented at the meeting of the Science, Technology Engineering and Mathematics Education Institute, Arlington, VA.

Kyriakides, A. O., & Houssart, J. (2016). Paraprofessionals in Cyprus and England: Perceptions of their role in supporting primary school mathematics. Research in Mathematics Education, 18(3) 249–266. https://doi.org/10.1080/14794802.2016.1189352

Ludlow, B. L., Conner, D., & Schechter, J. (2005). Low incidence disabilities and personnel preparation for rural areas: Current status and future trends. Rural Special Education Quarterly, 24(3) 15–24. https://doi.org/10.1177/875687050502400303

Marder, M., David, B., & Hamrock, C. (2020). Math and science outcomes for students of teachers from standard and alternative pathways in Texas. Education Policy Analysis Archives, 28(27). https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.28.4863

Mayer, D. (2019). Knowledge, policy and practice in learning teaching in Australia. In I. Mentor & M. T. Tatto (Eds.), Knowledge, policy, and practice in teacher education: A cross-national study (pp. 21–38). England: Bloomsbury Academic.

McConney, A., Woods-McConney, A., & Price, A. (2012). Fast track teacher education: A review of the research literature on Teach For All schemes. Murdoch University. Centre for Learning, Change, and Development. http://researchrepository.murdoch.edu.au/id/eprint/10228

Mentzer, G. A., Czerniak, C. M., & Duckett, T. R. (2019). Comparison of two alternative approaches to quality STEM Teacher prep: Fast track and embedded residency programs. School of Science and Mathematics, 119 35–48. https://doi.org/10.1111/ssm.12314

Miller, J. W., McKenna, M. C., & McKenna, B. A. (1998). A comparison of alternatively and traditionally prepared teachers. Journal of Teacher Education, 49(3) 165–176.

https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487198049003002

Morettini, B. W. (2014). Going back to school: Why STEM professionals decide to teach through alternative certification programs. Journal of the National Association for Alternative Certification, 9(2) 3–23. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1053330

Morrison, J., & Lightner, L. (2017). Putting paraeducators on the path to teacher certification. Phi Delta Kappan, 98(8) 43–47. https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0031721717708294

Murnane, R. J., Singer, J. D., Willett, J. B., Kemple, J. J., & Olsen, R. J. (1991). Who will teach? Policies that matter. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Ng, J. C. , & Lizette, P. (2010). Should I stay or should I go? Examining the career choices of alternatively licensed teachers in urban schools. The Urban Review, 42(2) 123–142. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11256-009-0120-7

Nixon, R. S., Luft, J. A., & Ross, R. J. (2017). Prevalence and predictors of out-of-field teaching in the first five years. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 54(9) 1197–1218. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21402 Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development . (2012). Equity and quality in education: Supporting disadvantaged students and schools. OECD Publishing. http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264130852-en Pennington, A., & Stanford, J. (2019). Future work of Australian graduates: The changing landscape of university employment transitions in Australia. The Centre for Future Work at the Australia Institute. http://hdl.voced.edu.au/10707/523863

Proweller, A., & Mitchener, C. P. (2004). Building teacher identity with urban youth: Voices of beginning middle school science teachers in an alternative certification program. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 41(10) 1044–1062. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20036

Pugatch, T. (2017, April). Is teacher certification an effective tool for developing countries? IZA World of Labor, Institute of Labor Economics, 349–349.

Ramot, R., & Donitsa-Schmidt, S. (2021). COVID-19: Education policy, autonomy, and alternative teacher education in Israel. Perspectives in Education, 39(1) 372–389. https://doi.org/10.18820/2519593X/pie.v39.i1.23 Richmond, G., Bartell, T. G., Floden, R. E., & Jones, N. D. (2020). How research sheds light on the pivotal role of mentors in teacher preparation. Journal of Teacher Education, 71(1) 6–8. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487119887752

Riggs, I. M., & Enochs, L. G. (1990). Toward the development of an elementary teacher's science teaching efficacy belief instrument. Science Education, 74, 625–637.

Rosenholtz, S. (1989). Workplace conditions that affect teacher quality and commitment: Implications for teacher induction programs. The Elementary School Journal, 89(4) 421–439. https://doi.org/10.1086/461584 Schwartz, G., & Dori, Y. J. (2020). Transition into teaching: Second career teachers' professional identity. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 16(11), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/8502

Shen, J. (1998). Alternative certification, minority teachers, and urban education. Education and Urban Society, 31(1) 30–41. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013124598031001003

Singh, A. (2017, December 18). Teacher's crisis in India: 11 Lakh untrained teachers in workforce. NDTV Education. www.ndtv.com/education/teachers-crisis-in-india-11-lakh-untrained-teachers-in-workforce-1783246. Smith, T., & Ingersoll, R. (2004). What are the effects of induction and mentoring on beginning teacher turnover? American Educational Research Journal, 41(3) 681–714. https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312041003681

Thomas, K. R., Friedman-Nimz, R., Mahlios, M. C., & O'Brien, B. (2005). Where are they coming from? Beyond the demographics of individuals seeking an alternative route to mathematics and science teacher licensure. Action in Teacher Education, 27(1) 15–25. https://doi.org/10.1080/01626620.2005.10463370 Tigchelaar, A., Brouwerb, N., & Vermunta, J. D. (2010). Tailor-made: Towards a pedagogy for educating second-career teachers. Educational Research Review 5(2) 164–183. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2009.11.002

Tozer, S., O'Connell, C., & Burstein, P. (2006). Four perspectives on alternate routes to teacher certification. Success in High-Need Schools, 1(2). www.northcentralcollege.edu/sites/default/files/documents/2016-

11/Success

Tschannen-Moran, M., & Hoy, A. (2001). Teacher efficacy: Capturing an elusive construct. Teaching and Teacher Education, 17, 783–805.

UNESCO . (2016, October). The World needs almost 69 million new teachers to reach the 2030 education goals. UIS FACT SHEET, 29 1–16. http://uis.unesco.org/en/document/world-needs-almost-69-million-new-teachers-reach-2030-education-goals

Unruh, L., & Holt, J. (2010). First-year teaching experiences: Are they different for traditionally versus alternatively certified teachers? Action in Teacher Education, 32(3) 3–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/01626620.2010.10463555

Vancaeneghem, J. (2018, September 5). Nearly half of secondary school teachers quit within five years. HLN News. www.hln.be/onderwijs/bijna-helft-leerkrachten-secundair-onderwijs-stopt-binnen-de-vijf-jaar~a4bcdad0/ Weinberger, Y., & Donitsa-Schmidt, S. (2016). A longitudinal comparative study of alternative and traditional teacher education programs in Israel: Initial training, induction period, school placement, and retention rates. Educational Studies, 2(6) 552–572. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131946.2016.1231679

Whitford, D. K., Zhang, D., & Katsiyannis, A. (2018). Traditional vs. alternative teacher preparation programs: A meta-analysis. Journal of Child and Family Studies, 27(671–685). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-017-0932-0 Whitford, D. K., Zhang, D., & Katsiyannis, A. (2019). Academic achievement of students taught by teachers from differing preparation programs. In C. A. Lubienski & T. J. Brewer (Eds.), Learning to teach in an era of privatization: Global trends in teacher preparation (pp. 213–227). New York: Teachers College Press. Woods, J. R. (2016). Mitigating teacher shortages: Alternative teacher education [Policy Brief]. Education Commission of the States. www.ecs.org/mitigating-teacher-shortages-alternative-teacher-certification/ Wright, D. S., Balgopal, M. M., McMeeking, L. B., & Weinberg, A. E. (2019). Developing resilient K-12 STEM teachers. Advances in Developing Human Resources, 21(10) 16–34. https://doi.org/10.1177/1523422318814483

Yin, Y. M., Dooley, K., & Mu, G. M. (2019). Why do graduates from prestigious universities choose to teach in disadvantaged schools? Lessons from an alternative teacher preparation program in China. Teaching and Teacher Education, 77 378–387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2018.10.011

Preservice Science Teachers Education Around the Globe

Abell, S. K. (Ed.). (2000). Science teacher education. An international perspective. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Abell, S. K. , & Bryan, L. A. (1997). Reconceptualizing the elementary science methods course using a reflection orientation. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 8(3), 153–166.

Allan, E. (2017). National requirements for secondary science preparation. In J. Pedersen , T. Isozaki , & T. Hirano (Eds.), Model science teacher preparation programs: An international comparison of what works (pp. 185–203). Charlotte: IAP.

Alvunger, D., & Wahlström, N. (2018). Research-based teacher education? Exploring the meaning potentials of Swedish teacher education, Teachers and Teaching, 24(4), 332–349.

Anderson, R. D. (1997). The science methods course in the context of the total teacher education experience. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 8(4), 269–282.

Aydin, S. , Demirdogen, B. , Nur Akin, F. , Uzuntiryaki-Kondakci, E. , & Tarkin, A. (2015). The nature and development of interaction among components of pedagogical content knowledge in practicum. Teaching and Teacher Education, 46, 37–50.

Aykac, N., & Sahin, H. (2018). Comparative analysis of teacher education systems in Bulgaria, Poland, Russia, and Turkey. Educational Process: International Journal, 7(4), 265–277.

Bauer, J., & Prenzel, M. (2012). European teacher training reforms. Science, 336(6089), 1642–1643. Busher, H., Gündüz, M., Cakmak, M., & Lawson, T. (2015). Student teachers' views of practicums (teacher training placements) in Turkish and English contexts: A comparative study, Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education, 45(3), 445–466.

Cameron, M., & Baker, R. (2004). Research on initial teacher education in New Zealand: 1993–2004 literature review and annotated bibliography. Wellington: New Zealand Council for Educational Research.

Carlson, J., & Daehler, K. R. (2019). The refined consensus model of pedagogical content knowledge in science education. In A. Hume, R. Cooper, & A. Borowski (Eds.), Repositioning pedagogical content knowledge in teachers' knowledge for teaching science (pp. 77–92). Singapore: Springer.

Cofré, H., González-Weil, C., Vergara, C., Santibáñez, D., Ahumada, G., Furman, M., ... R. Pérez (2015). Science Teacher Education in South America: The case of Argentina, Colombia, and Chile. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26, 45–63.

Conway, P., Murphy, R., Rath, A., & Hall, K. (2009). Learning to teach and its implications for the continuum of teacher education: A nine-country cross-national study. Report Commissioned by the Teaching Council, University College, Cork, Ireland.

Darling-Hammond, L., Hammerness, K., Grossman, P., Rust, F., & Shulman, L. (2005). The design of teacher education programs. In L. Darling-Hammond & J. Bransford (Eds.), Preparing teachers for a changing world. Jossey-Bass, An Imprint of Wiley.

Druva, C. A., & Anderson, R. D. (1983). Science teacher characteristics by teacher behavior and by student outcome: A meta-analysis of research. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 20(5), 467–479.

Evagorou, M., Dillon, J., Viiri, J., & Able, V. (2015). Pre-service science teacher preparation in Europe: Comparing pre-service teacher preparation programs in England, France, Finland and Cyprus. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26, 99–115.

Faisal, F., & Martin, S. N. (2019). Science education in Indonesia: Past, present, and future. Asia- Pacific Science Education, 5(1), 1–29

Fayne, H. R. (2007). Supervision from the student teacher's perspective: An institutional case study. Studying Teacher Education, 3, 53–66.

Flores, M. A. (2016). Teacher education curriculum. In J. Loughran & M. L. Hamilton (Eds.), International handbook of teacher education. Dordrecht: Springer Press.

Flores, M. A. (2017). Practice, theory, and research in initial teacher education: International perspectives. European Journal of Teacher Education, 40(3), 287–290.

Flores, M. A., Vieira, F., Silva, J. L., & Almeida, J. (2016). Integrating research into the practicum: Inquiring into inquiry based professional development in post-Bologna initial teacher education in Portugal. In M. A. Flores & T. Al-Barwani (Eds.), Redefining teacher education for the post-2015 era: Global challenges and best practice. New York: Nova Science Publisher.

öFrtsch, C., Werner, S., von Kotzebue, L., & Neuhaus, B. J. (2016). Effects of biology teachers' professional knowledge and cognitive activation on students' achievement. International Journal of Science Education, 38(17), 2642–2666.

Im, S., Yoon, H. G., & Cha, J. (2016). Pre-service science teacher education system in South Korea: Prospects and challenges. EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 12(7), 1863–1880.

Jyrhämä, R. (2007). The function of practical Studies in teacher education. In J. Sihvonen & H. Niemi (Eds.), Research – based teacher education in Finland: Reflections by Finnish teacher educators. Turku: Finish Educational Research Association.

Lavonen, J., Krzywacki-Vainio, H., Akela, M., Krokfors, L., Oikkonen, J., & Saarikko, H. (2007). Pre-service teacher education in chemistry, mathematics, and physics. In E. Pehkonen, M. Ahtee, & J. Lavonen (Eds.), How Finns learn mathematics and science (pp. 49–67). Finland: Sense Publishers.

Lawson, T., Çakmak, M., Gündüz, M., & Busher, H. (2015). Research on teaching practicum – a systematic review. European Journal of Teacher Education, 38(3), 392–407.

Lederman, N. G., & Lederman, J. S. (2015). The status of preservice science teacher education: A global perspective. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26, 1–6.

Liu, C. , & Liu, E. (2017). An overview of professional preparation for preservice and in-service Science teachers. In L. L. Liang et al. (Eds.), Chinese science education in the 21st century: Policy, practice, and research, contemporary trends, and issues in science education, 45. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9864-8_17.

Loughran, J., Mulhall, P., & Berry, A. (2008). Exploring pedagogical content knowledge in science teacher education. International Journal of Science Education, 30(10), 1301–1320.

Mahler, D., Großschedl, J., & Harms, U. (2017). Using doubly latent multilevel analysis to elucidate relationships between science teachers' professional knowledge and students' performance. International Journal of Science Education, 39(2), 213–237.

Marcondes, M., Finholdt, V., Leite, A., & Ramos, R. (2017). Theory, practice, and research in initial teacher education in Brazil: Challenges and alternatives. European Journal of Teacher Education, 40(3) 326–341. https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2017.1320389.

McComas, W. F., Reiss, M. J., Dempster, E., Lee, Y. C., Olander, C., Pierre Clément, Jan Boerwinkel, D., & Waarlo, A. J. (2018). Considering grand challenges in biology education: Rationales and proposals for future investigations to guide instruction and enhance student understanding in the Life Sciences. The American Biology Teacher, 80(7), 483–492.

Menter, I., Valeeva, R., & Kalimullin, A. (2017). A tale of two countries – forty years on: Politics and teacher education in Russia and England. European Journal of Teacher Education, 40(5), 616–629.

OECD (2019). Mapping initial teacher preparation system on the OECD Teacher Education Pathway. In A flying start: Improving initial teacher preparation systems. Paris: OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/00c3dac0-en.

Ogunniyi, M. B. , & Rollnick, M. (2015). Pre-service science teacher education in Africa: Prospects and challenges. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26, 65–79

Olson, J. K., Tippett, C. D., Milford, T. M., Ohana, C., & Clough, M. P. (2015). Science teacher preparation in a North American context. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(1), 7–28.

Pedersen, J. , Isozaki, T. , & Hirano, T. (Eds.). (2017). Model science teacher preparation programs an international comparison of what works. Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing, INC.

Ramírez, E., & Mekochi, Y. (2015). Initial teacher education in Japan and Spain. A comparative study. Revista Española de Educación Comparada, 101–127.

Sickel, A. J., & Witzig, S. B. (2017). Science methods courses across contexts. In A. J. Sickel & S. B. Witzig (Eds.), Designing and teaching the secondary science methods course (pp. 223–250). Rotterdam: Brill Sense. Simpson, T., Hastings, W., & Hill, B. (2007). I knew that she was watching me': The professional benefits of mentoring. Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice, 13, 481–498.

Smith, D. C. (1999). Changing our teaching: The role of pedagogical content knowledge in elementary science. In Examining pedagogical content knowledge (pp. 163–197). Dordrecht: Springer.

Štemberger, T. (2020). Educational research within the curricula of initial teacher education: The case of Slovenia. Center for Educational Policy Studies Journal, 10(3), 31–51.

Taylor, N., Deacon, R., & Robinson, N. (2019). Secondary level teacher education in Sub-Saharan Africa. Teacher Preparation and Support, overview report. Paper prepared for the Mastercard Foundation Report: Secondary Education in Africa: Preparing Youth for the Future of Work.

Tippett, C. D., & Milford, T. M. (2017). Canada – An overview of secondary science teacher education programs. In J. Pedersen , T. Isozaki , & T. Hirano (Eds.), Model science teacher preparation programs: An international comparison of what works (pp. 163–184). Charlotte: IAP.

Treagust, D., Won, M., Petersen, J., & Wynne, G. (2015). Science teacher education in Australia: Initiatives and challenges to improve the Quality of Teaching. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(1), 8–98. Tuan, H.-L., & Lu, Y.-L. (2019). Science teacher education in Taiwan: Past, present, and future. Asia-Pacific Science Education, 5(1), 1–22.

Valeeva, R. A., & Gafurov, I. R. (2017). Initial teacher education in Russia: Connecting theory, practice and research. European Journal of Teacher Education, 40(3), 342–360.

Valenčič Zuljian, M., & Vogrinc, J. (2011). European dimensions of teacher education – similarities and differences. Univerza v Ljubljani, Pedagoška fakulteta, The National School of Leadership and Education. van Driel, J. H., Berry, A., & Meirink, J. (2014). Research on science teacher knowledge. In Handbook of research on science education (pp. 848–870). New York: Routledge.

Vasutova, J., & Spilkova, V. (2011). Teacher education in Czech Republic. Valenčič & Vogrinc European Dimensions of Teacher Education – Similarities and Differences. Univerza v Ljubljani, Pedagoška fakulteta, The National School of Leadership and Education.

Windschitl, M., & Stroupe, D. (2017). The three-story challenge: Implications of the next generation science standards for teacher preparation. Journal of Teacher Education, 68(3), 251–261.

Zdybel, D., Bogucki, J., & Glodzick, B. (2011). Teacher education system in Poland. A state of permanent reform. Valenčič, & Vogrinc European Dimensions of Teacher Education – Similarities and Differences. Univerza v Ljubljani, Pedagoška fakulteta, The National School of Leadership and Education.

Zeidler, D. L. (2002). Dancing with maggots and saints: Visions for subject matter knowledge, pedagogical knowledge, and pedagogical content knowledge in science teacher education reform. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 13, 27–42.

Zhang, H., & Wan, D. (2017). Status of Chinese science education reforms: Policies and development framework. In Chinese science education in the 21st century: Policy, practice, and research (pp. 5–30). Dordrecht: Springer.

Zuzovsky, R., & Donitsa-Schmidt, S. (2017). Comparing the effectiveness of two models of initial teacher education programmes in Israel: Concurrent vs. consecutive. European Journal of Teacher Education, 40(3), 413–431.

Partnerships in K–12 Preservice Science Teacher Education

Alemán, E., Freire, J. A., & McKinney, A. (2017). School – university – community pathways to higher education: Teacher perceptions, school culture and partnership building. The Urban Review, 49, 852–873. Alexander, P. (2020). Methodological guidance paper: The art and science of quality systematic reviews. Review of Educational Research, 90(1), 6–23.

American Association for Colleges of Teacher Education . (2018). A pivot toward clinical practice: Its lexicon, and the renewal of educator preparation. https://aacte.org/resources/research-reports-and-briefs/clinical-practice-commission-report/

Australian Institute for Teaching and School Leadership (2011). Accreditation of initial teacher education programs in Australia: Standards and procedures. Melbourne, VIC: Education Services Australia.

Avraamidou, L., & Zembal-Saul, C. (2010). In search of well-started beginning science teachers: Insights from two first-year elementary teachers. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 47(6), 661–686.

Banilower, E. , Smith, P. , Malzahn, K. , Plumley, C. , Gordon, E. , & Hayes, M. (2018). Report of the 2018 NSSME+. Chapel Hill, NC: Horizon Research, Inc.

Barreto-Espino, R. , Zembal-Saul, C. , & Avraamidou, L. (2014). Prospective elementary teachers' knowledge of teaching science as argument: A case study. School Science and Mathematics. 114(2), 53–64.

Bekiroglu, F., Kahveci, A., Irez, S., Seker, H., & Cakir, M. (2010). Evaluation of the faculty-school cooperation model: Secondary education views of pre-service science teachers. Journal of Turkish Science Education, 7(4), 148–171.

Borda, E., Warren, S., Coskie, T., Larson, B., Hanley, D., & Cohen, J. (2018). Cross-disciplinary, whole school education reform in secondary schools: Three critical components. School University Partnerships, 11(1), 46–56

Brown, S., & Melear, C. (2007). Preservice teachers research experiences in scientists laboratories. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 18 573–597. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-007-9044-9

Campbell, T., McKenna, T., Fazio, x., Hetherington-Coy, A., & Pierce, P. (2019). Negotiating coherent science teacher professional learning experiences across a university and partner school settings. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(2), 179–199, https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2018.1547033

Carpenter, S. (2015). Undergraduates' perceived gains and ideas about teaching and learning science from participating in science education outreach programs. Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement, 19(3), 113–146.

Chittleborough, G., & Jones, M. (2018). Linking theory and practice through partnerships. In L. Hobbs , C. Campbell , & M. Jones (Eds.), School-based partnerships in teacher education: A research informed model for universities, schools and beyond (pp. 61–82). Dordrecht: Springer.

Cooper, B., Cowie, B., & Campbell, C. (2018). A New Zealand collaborative university-school partnership: Applying the STEPS framework. In L. Hobbs, C. Campbell, & M. Jones (Eds.), School-based partnerships in teacher education: A research informed model for universities, schools and beyond (pp. 212–221). Dordrecht: Springer.

Council for the Accreditation of Education Programs . (2015). CAEP accreditation standards. Washington, DC: Author.

Davis, E., Palincsar, A., & Kademian, S. (2020). Designing a practice-based elementary teacher education program and supporting professional learning in science teaching. In E. Davis, C. Zembal-Saul, & S. Kademian (Eds.), Sensemaking in elementary science: Supporting teacher learning (pp. 204–217). Routledge. del Prado Hill, P., McMillen, S., & Friedland, E. (2017). The power of questions to bring balance to the curriculum in the age of new standards. School-University Partnerships, 10(2), 46–50.

de Mora, J. C. , & Wood, K. (2014). Practical knowledge in teacher education: Approaches to teacher internship programmes. London: Routledge.

Departments of Basic Education and Higher Education and Training Secretariat . (2011). Integrated strategic planning framework for teacher education and development in South Africa, 2011–2025 (Technical Report). Pretoria: The Departments of Basic Education and Higher Education and Training.

Dever, R., & Clement, S. (2011). Middle school pre-service teachers' sense of self-efficacy in relation to authentic learning experiences. Electronic Journal of Science Education, 20(5). http://ejse.southwestern.edu Faikhamta, C., Ketsing, J., Akarat, T., & Suthida, C. (2018). Science teacher education in Thailand: A challenging journey. Asia-Pacific Science Education, 4(1).1–18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41029-018-0021-8 Flores, I. M. (2015). Developing pre-service teachers' self-efficacy through field-based science teaching practice with elementary students. Research in Higher Education Journal, 27, 1–15.

Gilbert, A., Hobbs, L., Kenny, J., Jones, M., Campbell, C., Chittleborough, G., ... Redman, C. (2018). Principal perceptions regarding the impact of school-university partnerships in Australian primary science contexts. School-University Partnerships, 11(2), 73–83.

Gilbert, A. , Hobbs, L. , Kenny, J. , Jones, M. , Campbell, C. , Chittleborough, G. , ... Redman, C. (2020). "I realized that science isn't scary": In-service teacher insights regarding science-focused partnerships. School-University Partnerships, 13(1), 22–31.

Hechter, R. (2011). Changes in preservice elementary teachers' personal science teaching efficacy and science teaching outcome expectancies: The influence of context. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 22(2) 187–202. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-010-9199

Herbert, S., & Hobbs, L. (2018). Pre-service teachers' views of school-based approaches to pre-service primary science teacher education. Research in Science Education, 48, 777–809.

Hobbs, L., & Campbell, C. (2018). Growing through partnerships. In L. Hobbs, C. Campbell, & M. Jones (Eds.), School-based partnerships in teacher education: A research informed model for universities, schools and beyond (pp. 139–168). Dordrecht: Springer.

Hobbs, L., & Kenny, J. (2018). Visionary practice. In L. Hobbs, C. Campbell, & M. Jones (Eds.), School-based partnerships in teacher education: A research informed model for universities, schools and beyond (pp. 271–285). Dordrecht: Springer.

Jones, M. (2008). Collaborative partnerships: A model for science teacher education and professional development. Australian Journal of Teacher Education, 33(3). http://dx.doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2008v33n3.5 Jones, M., & Chittleborough, G. (2018). Growing university-school partnerships. In L. Hobbs, C. Campbell, & M. Jones (Eds.), School-based partnerships in teacher education: A research informed model for universities, schools and beyond (pp. 99–122). Dordrecht: Springer.

Jones, M., Hobbs, L., Kenny, J., Campbell, C., Chittleborough, G., Gilbert, A., Herbert, S. Redman, C. (2016). Successful university school partnerships: An interpretive framework. Teaching and Teacher Education, 60, 108–120.

Kennedy, J., Lyons, T., & Quinn, F. (2014). The continuing decline of science and mathematics enrolments in Australian high schools. Teaching Science, 60(2), 34–46.

Kenny, J. (2009). A partnership-based approach to professional learning: Pre-service and in-service teachers working together to teach primary science. Australian Journal of Teacher Education, 34(6). http://dx.doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2009v34n6.1

Kenny, J. (2010). Preparing primary teachers to teach primary science: A partnership-based approach, International Journal of Science Education, 32(10), 1267–1288.

Kenny, J. (2012). University-school partnerships: Pre-service and in-service teachers working together to teach primary science, Australian Journal of Teacher Education, 37(3), 57–82.

Kidman, G., & Marangio, K. (2018). "Meet the scientist": How pre-service teachers constructed knowledge and identities. In D. Corrigan et al. (Eds.), Navigating the changing landscape of formal and informal science learning opportunities (pp. 183–191). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-89761-5 11

Kruger, T., Davies, A., Eckersley, B., Newell, F., & Cherednichenko, B. (2009). Effective and sustainable university-school partnerships: Beyond determined efforts by inspired individuals.

http://www.aitsl.edu.au/docs/default-source/default-document-library/effective_and_sustainable_university-school_partnerships.pdf

Lemon, N., Wilson, A., Oxworth, C., Zavros-Orr, A., & Wood, B. (2018). Lines of school-university partnership: Perception, sensation and meshwork reshaping of pre-service teachers' experiences. Australian Journal of Teacher Education, 43(10) 81–97. http://dx.doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2018v43.n10.5

Lim, K., Long, J. White, P., & Bentley, I. (2019). Designing hands-on inquiry-based activities: Incorporating contemporary science. Teaching Science, 65(2), 48–54.

Margot, K. C., & Kettler, T. (2019). Teachers' perception of STEM integration and education: A systematic literature review. International Journal of STEM Education, 6(2). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-018-0151-2. Murray, O., & Zembal-Saul, C. (2008). Educate at Penn State: Preparing beginning teachers with powerful digital tools. Journal of Computing in Higher Education, 20(2) 48–58. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12528-008-9000-5

National Co-ordinating Centre for Public Engagement (NCCPE) (2014). School-university partnerships: Fulfilling the potential. Research Councils United Kingdom.

www.publicengagement.ac.uk/sites/default/files/publication/supi_project_report_final.pdf

National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) . (2010). Transforming teacher education through clinical practice: A national strategy to prepare effective teachers. Washington, DC: Author.

Ndlovu, M. (2011). University-school partnerships for social justice in mathematics and science education: The case of the SMILES project at IMSTUS. South African Journal of Education, 31, 419–433.

Parker, A. K. , Zenkov, K. , & Dennis, D. (2019). Exploring the lexicon or lack thereof in clinical teacher preparation. Action in Teacher Education, 4(3), 249–264.

Peterson, J. E., & Treagust, D. F. (2014). School and university partnerships: The role of teacher education institutions and primary schools in the development of preservice teachers' science teaching efficacy. Australian Journal of Teacher Education, 39(9). http://dx.doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2014v39n9.2

Pfeiffer, L., & Tabone, K. (2020). A case study of a university industry STEM partnership in regional Queensland. In A. Fitzgerald, C. Haeusler, & L. Pfeiffer (Eds.), STEM education in primary classrooms (pp. 61–78). London: Routledge.

Puslednik, L., & Brennan, P. (2020). An Australian-based authentic science research programme transforms the 21st century learning of rural high school students. Australian Journal of Education, 64(2) 98–112. https://doi.org/10.1177/0004944120919890

Rosenshine, B. (2015). How time is spent in elementary classrooms. Journal of Classroom Interaction, 50(1), 41–53.

Rossner, P., & Commins, D. (2012). Defining 'enduring partnerships:' Can a well-worn path be an effective, sustainable and mutually beneficial relationship? Queensland College of Teachers. www.gct.edu.au/PDF/DefiningEnduringPartnerships.pdf.

Sikma, L., & Minshew, V. (2018). School-university partnership as professional development: The evolution of a leader in elementary science education. School-University Partnerships, 11(4), 37–47.

Suriel, R., Spires, R., Radcliffe, B., Martin, E., & Paine, D. (2018). Middle school to professional development: Interdisciplinary STEM for multiple stakeholders. School-University Partnerships, 11(1), 57–59. Swars, S., & Dooley, C. (2010). Changes in teaching efficacy during a professional development school-based science methods course. School Science & Mathematics, 110(4) 193–202. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.2010.00022.x

Toe, D., Ure, C., & Blake, D. (2020). Final year teachers' views of professional experience in partnership schools. Australian Journal of Teacher Education, 45(2), 104–127.

Varelas, M. , Morales-Doyle, D. , Raza, S. , Segura, D. , Canales, K. , & Mitchener, C. (2018). Community organizations' programming and the development of community science teachers. Science Education, 102(1), 60–84. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21321

White, P., Tytler, R., & Palmer, S. (2018). Exploring models of interaction between scientists and pre-service teachers. In S. Dinham, R. Tytler, D. Corrigan, & D. Hoxley (Eds.), Reconceptualising maths and science teacher education (pp. 92–110). Camberwell, VIC: ACER Press.

Zack, R. , Vacha, E. , & Staub, L. (2017). Science in action! Outreach program promotes confidence in teaching science. The American Biology Teacher, 79(9), 711–719.

Zembal-Saul, C. (2009). Learning to teach elementary school science as argument. Science Education, 93(4), 687–719.

Zembal-Saul, C., Badiali, B., McDyre, A., & Mueller, B. (2020). Learning to teach science in an elementary professional development school partnership. In E. Davis, C. Zembal-Saul, & S. Kademian (Eds.), Sensemaking in elementary science: Supporting teacher learning (pp. 204–217). New York, NY: Routledge.

The Magic of Informal Settings

Adams, A. E., Miller, B. G., Saul, M., & Pegg, J. (2014). Supporting elementary pre-service teachers to teach STEM through place-based teaching and learning experiences. The Electronic Journal for Research in Science & Mathematics Education, 18(5).

Adams, J., & Gupta, P. (2017). Informal science institutions and learning to teach: An examination of identity, agency and affordances. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 54(1), 121–138.

Adams, J., Miele, E., & Powell, W. (2016). City-as-lab approach for urban STEM teacher learning and teaching. In L. Avraamidou & W. M. Roth (Eds.), Intersections of Formal and Informal Science. New York: Routledge.

Anderson, D. (2016). Museums as sites for learning the art of education. In L. Avraamidou & W. M. Roth (Eds.), Intersections of formal and informal science (pp. 166–177). New York: Routledge.

Aquino, A. E., Kelly, A. M., & Bayne, G. U. (2010). Sharing our teachers: The required graduate class at the American Museum of Natural History for Lehman College (CUNY). New Educator, 6(3–4) 225–246. https://doi.org/10.1080/1547688X.2010.10399603

Arristia, M. C., Rawls, E. S., Hammond Brinkerhoff, E., & Roehrig, A. D. (2014). The nature of elementary preservice teachers' reflection during an early field experience. Reflective Practice, 15(4) 427–444. https://doi.org/10.1080/14623943.2014.900018

Ateşkan, A. , & Lane, J. F. (2016). Promoting field trip confidence: Teachers providing insights for pre-service education. European Journal of Teacher Education, 39(2) 190–201.

https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2015.1113252

Avraamidou, L. (2014). Developing a reform-minded science teaching identity: The role of informal science environments. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 25(7) 823–843. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-014-9395-y

Avraamidou, L. (2015). Reconceptualizing elementary teacher preparation: A case for informal science education. International Journal of Science Education, 37(2) 108–135.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2014.969358

Avraamidou, L. (2016). Intersections of life histories and science identities: The stories of three preservice elementary teachers. International Journal of Science Education, 38(5) 861–884. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2016.1169564

Avraamidou, L. (2019). Stories we live, identities we build: How are elementary teachers' science identities shaped by their lived experiences? Cultural Studies of Science Education, 14, 33–59.

Banilower, E. R. (2019). Understanding the big picture for science teacher education: The 2018 NSSME+. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(3) 201–208. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2019.1591920 Barak, M. (2017). Science teacher education in the twenty-first century: A pedagogical framework for technology-integrated social constructivism. Research in Science Education, 47 283–303. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-015-9501-y.

Bell, P., Tzou, C., Bricker, L., & Baines, A. D. (2012). Learning in diversities of structures of social practice: Accounting for how, why and where people learn science. Human Development, 55, 269–284. Bevan, B., Bell, P., Stevens, R., & Razfar, A. (Eds.). (2013). LOST Opportunities: Learning in out-of-school time. Dordrecht: Springer.

Bevan, B., & Dillon, J. (2010). Broadening views of learning: Developing educators for the 21st century through an international research partnership at the Exploratorium and King's College London. The New Educator, 6, 167–180.

Bevan, B. with Dillon, J., Hein, G. E., Macdonald, M., Michalchik, V., Miller, D., Root, D., ... Yoon, S. (2010). Making science matter: Collaborations between informal science education organizations and schools. A CAISE inquiry group report. Washington, DC: Center for Advancement of Informal Science Education (CAISE). Bozdoğan, A. E. (2012). The practice of prospective science teachers regarding the planning of education based trips: Evaluation of six different field trips. Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice, 12(2) 1062–1069. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ981830.pdf

Bozdoğan, A. E. (2016). The effect of planetarium trip on pre-service science teachers' metaphorical perceptions about planetariums. Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Sciences, 4(4), 70–84.

Brody, M. , Bangert, A. , & Dillon, J. (2007). Assessing learning in informal science contexts. Commissioned paper by the National Research Council for Science Learning in Informal Environments Committee.

Chin, C.-C. (2004). Museum experience – A resource for science teacher education. International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 51(1), 31–39.

Dawson, E. (2014a). 'Not designed for us': How science museums and science centers socially exclude lowincome, minority ethnic groups. Science Education, 98(6), 981–1008.

Dawson, E. (2014b). Equity in informal science education: Developing an access and equity framework for science museums and science centres. Studies in Science Education, 50(1), 209–247.

Dewitt, J., & Archer, L. (2017). Participation in informal science learning experiences: The rich get richer? International Journal of Science Education, 7(4), 356–373.

Dorph, R., Shields, P., Tiffany-Morales, J., Hartry, A., & McCaffrey, T. (2011). High hopes – few opportunities: The status of elementary science education in California. Sacramento, CA: The Center for the Future of Teaching and Learning at WestEd.

Durmaz, H., Dinçer, E. O., & Osmanoğlu, A. (2017). Conducting science fair activities: Reflections of the prospective science teachers on their expectations, opinions, and suggestions regarding science fairs. Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching, 18(1), 1–25.

Elo, J., & Kurtén, B. (2020). Exploring points of contact between enterprise education and open-ended investigations in science education. Education Inquiry, 11(2) 18–35. https://doi.org/10.1080/20004508.2019.1633903. European Commission . (2015). Science education for responsible citizenship. Brussels: Directorate-General for Research and Innovation, Science with and for Society.

http://ec.europa.eu/research/swafs/pdf/pub_science_education/KI-NA-26-893-EN-N.pdf

Feinstein, N. W., & Meshoulan, D. (2014). Science for what public? Addressing equity in American science museums and science centers. Journal for Research in Science Teaching, 51(3), 368–394.

Gilbert, J. , & Bull, A. (2013). Building a future-oriented science education system in New Zealand: How are we doing? Report prepared for New Zealand Council for Educational Research.

www.nzcer.org.nz/system/files/Future-oriented%20science.pdf

Godec, S. , King, H. , & Archer, L. (2017). The science capital teaching approach: Engaging students with science, and promoting social justice. London: University College London.

Gross, M., & Hochberg, N. (2016). Characteristics of place identity as part of professional identity development among pre-service teachers. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 11, 1243–1268.

Gupta, P., Adams, J., Kisiel, J., & Dewitt, J. (2010). Examining the complexities of school-museum partnerships. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 5(3), 685–699.

Gupta, P., MacDonald, M., & Trowbridge, C. (2016). Breaking dichotomies: Learning to be a teacher of science in formal and informal settings. In L. Avraamidou & W. M. Roth (Eds.), Intersections of formal and informal science (pp. 178–188). New York: Routledge.

Haines, S., & McClure, C. (2020). Preparing preservice teachers using a civic engagement model: The effect of field experience on preservice teacher knowledge, skills, and attitude. Science Education and Civic Engagement, 12(2). http://new.seceij.net/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Haines.pdf

Hamilton, E. R., & Margot, K. C. (2019, October). Preservice teachers' community-based field experiences. Frontiers in Education, 4. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2019.00115

Hammerness, K., & Adams, J. (2020). Informal science institutions and equity: Future-oriented historiography of research and practice. Presentation at annual meeting of American Educational Research Association.

Hammerness, K., McDonald, S., Matsko, K. K., & Stroupe, D. (2020). How do teachers learn to teach science in ambitious and equitable ways? In D. Stroupe, K. Hammerness, & S. McDonald (Eds.), Preparing science teachers through practice-based teacher education. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.

Hamurcu, H., Karcı, G., Göbeklioğlu, G., Aymak, Ö., Atalay, S., & Topaloğlu, S. (2019). Self-efficacy beliefs of preservice primary school teachers about organization of educational school trips. Journal of Research in Informal Environments, 4(2), 102–116.

Hood, M. G. (2004). Staying away: Why people choose not to visit museums. In G. Anderson (Eds.), Reinventing the museum: Historical and contemporary perspectives on the paradigm shift (pp. 150–157). Oxford, UK: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.

Hsu, P.-L. (2016). Science teaching experiences in informal settings: One way to enrich the preparation program for preservice science teachers. Universal Journal of Educational Research, 4(5) 1214–1222. https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2016.040535

Kennedy, M. M. (1999). The role of preservice teacher education. In L. Darling-Hammond & G. Sykes (Eds.), Teaching as the learning profession: Handbook of teaching and policy (pp. 54–86). San Francisco: Jossey Bass.

Kier, M. W., & Lee, T. D. (2017). Exploring the role of identity in elementary preservice teachers who plan to specialize in science teaching. Teaching and Teacher Education, 61 199–210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2016.10.016

Kisiel, J. F. (2013). Introducing future teachers to science beyond the classroom. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(1) 67–91. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-012-9288-x

Kisiel, J. F. (2014). Clarifying the complexities of school-museum interactions: Perspectives from two communities. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 51(3) 342–367. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21129 Lemon, N., & Weller, J. (2015). Partnerships with cultural organisations: A case for partnerships developed by teacher educators for teacher education. Australian Journal of Teacher Education, 40(12) 40–58. https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2015v40n12.4

Luehmann, A. (2016). Practice-linked identity development in a science teacher education: GET REAL! science as a figured world. In L. Avraamidou (Ed.), Studying science teacher identity: Theoretical, methodological and empirical explorations. Rotterdam, The Netherlands: Sense Publishers.

Lux, N. A. J. B. I. A. (2017). Reflecting on the challenges of informal contexts: Early field experiences with technology in teacher education. Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education (CITE Journal), 17(2), 250–267.

Macdonald, M., Silvernail, D., Cooke-Nieves, N., Locke, S., Fabris, A., Biene, N. Van, & Passow, M. J. (2018). How museums, teacher educators, and schools, innovate and collaborate to learn and teach geosciences to everyone. Terrae Didatica, 14(3) 271–276. https://doi.org/10.20396/td.v14i3.8653525 MacPherson, A., Howes, E., Abowd, N., Gupta, P., Hammerness, K., & Kinzler, R. (2020). Preparing teachers to teach science in a non-university setting. In D. Stroupe, K. Hammerness, & S. McDonald (Eds.), Preparing science teachers through practice-based teacher education. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education press.

Maulucci, M. S. R., & Brotman, J. S. (2010). Teaching science in the city: Exploring linkages between teacher learning and student learning across formal and informal contexts. New Educator, 6(3–4) 196–211.

https://doi.org/10.1080/1547688X.2010.10399601

Matsko, K. K., & Hammerness, K. (2014). Unpacking the "urban" in urban teacher education: Making a case for context-specific preparation. Journal of Teacher Education, 65(2), 128–144.

McLaughlin, D. S., & Calabrese Barton, A. (2013). Preservice teachers' uptake and understanding of funds of knowledge in elementary science. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24, 13–36.

Morentin, M., & Guisasola, J. (2015). The role of science museum field trips in the primary teacher preparation. International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 13(5), 965–990.

National Research Council . (2009). Learning science in informal environments: People, places, and pursuits. Committee on Learning Science in Informal Environments. Philip Bell , Bruce Lewenstein , Andrew W. Shouse , and Michael a. Feder , Eds. Board on Science Education, Center for Education. Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press

National Research Council . (2012). A framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas. Committee on a Conceptual Framework for New K-12 Science Education Standards. Board on Science Education, Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

NGSS Lead States . (2013). Next generation science standards: For states, by states. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/18290.

Ontong, K., & Le Grange, L. (2015). The need for place-based education in South African schools: The case of Greenfields Primary. Perspectives in Education, 33(3), 42–57.

Patterson, T. (2021). Historians, archivists, and museum educators as teacher educators: Mentoring preservice history teachers at cultural institutes. Journal of Teacher Education, 72(1), 113–125.

Persson, P. E. (2000). Community impact of science centers: Is there any? Curator: The Museum Journal, 43(1), 9–17.

Preston, L. (2015). The place of place-based education in the Australian primary geography curriculum. Geographical Education, 28, 41–49.

Rahm, J. (2014). Reframing research on informal teaching and learning in science: Comments and commentary at the heart of a new vision for the field. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 51(3), 395–406. Rennie, L. (2014). Learning science outside of school. In N. Lederman & S. Abell (Eds.), Handbook of research on science education (Vol. II, pp. 120–144). New York: Routledge.

Saribaş, D., Küçük, Z. D., & Ertepinar, H. (2016). Evaluating effects of an exhibition visit on pre-service elementary teachers' understandings of climate change. Journal of Turkish Science Education, 13(1) 19–30. https://doi.org/10.12973/tused.10154a

Shein, P. P., Dwinkels, D., & Chen, C-C. (2019). Equitable access to informal science education institutions. The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher, 28(2), 159–170.

Smetana, L., Birmingham, D., Rouleau, H., Carlson, J., & Phillips, S. (2017). Cultural institutions as partners in initial elementary science teacher preparation. Innovations in Science Teacher Education, 2(2), 1–10. Sobel, D. (2004). Place-based education: Connecting classroom and community. Great Barrington: The Orion Society.

Stokes, D., Evans, P., & Craig, C. (2017). Developing STEM teachers through both informal and formal learning experiences. 18th Conference of ISATT, Salamanca, Spain.

Subramaniam, K. (2020). A place-based education analysis of prospective teachers' prior knowledge of science instruction in informal settings. International Journal of Educational Research, 99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2019.101497

Tasdemir, A., Kartal, T., & Ozdemir, A. M. (2014). Using science centers and museums for teacher training in Turkey. Asia-Pacific Education Researcher, 23(1) 61–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-013-0085-x

Tran, L. U., Gupta, P., & Bader, D. (2019). Redefining professional learning for museum education. Journal of Museum Education, 44(2) 135–146. https://doi.org/10.1080/10598650.2019.1586192

Tran, L. U., & King, H. (2007). The professionalization of museum educators: The case in science museums. Museum Management and Curatorship, 22(2), 131–149.

Vahtivuori-Hänninen, S., Halinen, I., Niemi, H., Lavonen, J., & Lipponen, L. (2014). A new Finnish national core curriculum for basic education (2014) and technology as an integrated tool for learning. In Finnish innovations and technologies in schools (pp. 21–32). Brill Sense. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6209-749-0_2.
Van Eijck, M. W. (2010). Place-based (science) education: Something is happening here. In D. J. Tippins, M. P. Mueller, M. van Eijck, & J. D. Adams (Eds.), Cultural studies and environmentalism: The confluence of ecojustice, place-based (science education), and indigenous knowledge systems. Dordrecht: Springer.
Wallace, C. S., & Brooks, L. (2015). Learning to teach elementary science in an experiential, informal context: Culture, learning, and identity. Science Education, 99(1) 174–198. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21138
Wallace, J., Hammerness, K., Doykos, B., Fallona, C., Howes, E., Kinzler, R., ... Weinstein, M. (2020, April). Exploring outcomes of a museum-based teacher residency program through an equity in science education lens. American Educational Research Association (AERA) Annual Meeting. San Francisco, CA.
Williams, A. T., & Svensson, M. (2020). Student teachers' collaborative learning of science in small-group discussions. Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research, 1–14.

Zirakparvar, N. A. (2015). A balancing act in the third space: Graduate-level earth science in an urban teacherresidency program. Journal of Geoscience Education, 63(3) 167–175. https://doi.org/10.5408/14-058.1

Discursive Practices in Initial Science Teacher Education

Adúriz-Bravo, A., Bonan, L., Galli, L. G., Revel Chion, A. R., & Meinardi, E. (2005). Scientific argumentation in pre-service biology teacher education. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 1(1), 76–83.

Baggott La Velle, L. B., McFarlane, A., & Brawn, R. (2003). Knowledge transformation through ICT in science education: A case study in teacher-driven curriculum development-case study 1. British Journal of Educational Technology, 34(2), 183–200.

Barnhart, T., & van Es, E. (2015). Studying teacher noticing: Examining the relationship among pre-service science teachers' ability to attend, analyze and respond to student thinking. Teaching and Teacher Education, 45, 83–93.

Bartels, H., & Kulgemeyer, C. (2019). Explaining physics: An online test for self-assessment and instructor training. European Journal of Physics, 40(1), 015701.

Benedict-Chambers, A., & Aram, R. (2017). Tools for teacher noticing: Helping preservice teachers notice and analyze student thinking and scientific practice use. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 28(3), 294–318. Boyer, E. (2016). Preservice elementary teachers' instructional practices and the teaching science as argument framework. Science & Education, 25(9–10), 1011–1047.

Braunger, J., Donahue, D., Evans, K., & Galguera, T. (2004). Rethinking preparation for content area teaching. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Brown, B. A., Reveles, J. M., & Kelly, G. J. (2005). Scientific literacy and discursive identity: A theoretical framework for understanding science learning. Science Education, 89(5), 779–802.

Cabello, V. M., Real, C., & Impedovo, M. (2019). Explanations in STEM areas: An analysis of representations through language in teacher education. Research in Science Education, 49(4), 1087–1106.

Cabello, V. M., & Topping, K. J. (2017). Role-playing for learning to explain scientific concepts in teacher education. Journal of Science Education, 18(2), 67–70.

Cabello, V. M., & Topping, K. J. (2018). Making scientific concepts explicit through explanations: Simulations of a high-leverage practice in teacher education. International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education, 6(3), 35–47.

Cassiano, K. F. D., Mesquita, N. A., & Ribeiro, P. G. (2016). Conhecimento pedagógico e conhecimento químico na formação de professores: A construção da identidade docente. Química Nova, 39(2), 250–259. Chang, Y., Chang, C., & Tseng, Y. (2010). Trends of science education research: An automatic content analysis. Journal of Science and Educational Technology, 19, 315–331.

Couso, D. (2020). Aprender ciencia escolar implica construir modelos cada vez más sofisticados de los fenómenos del mundo [Learning school science involves building increasingly sophisticated models of world phenomena]. In D. Couso , M. R. Jiménez-Liso , C. Refojo , & J. A. Sacristán (Eds.), Enseñando Ciencia con Ciencia (pp. 63–74). Madrid: Penguin Random House.

De Longhi, A. L., Ferreyra, A., Peme, C., Bermudez, G. M., Quse, L., Martinez, S., ... Campaner, G. (2012). La interacción comunicativa en clases de ciencias naturales [Communicative interaction in natural sciences lessons]. Revista Eureka sobre Enseñanza y Divulgación de las Ciencias, 9(2), 178–195.

Donahue, D. (2009). Reading across the great divide: English and math teachers apprentice one another as readers and disciplinary insiders. Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy, 47(1), 24–37.

Drumond, R., da Rocha, J. R., Evagorou, M., & Florentino, V. (2015). Argumentation in Science Teacher Education: The simulated jury as a resource for teaching and learning. International Journal of Science Education, 37(7), 1113–1139.

Erduran, S., & Jiménez-Alexandre, M. P. (2007). Argumentation in science education perspectives from classroom-based research. Dordrecht: Springer.

Espinet, M., Izquierdo, M., Bonil, J., & Ramos, L. (2012). The role of language in modeling the natural world: Perspectives in science education. In Fraser et al. (Eds.) Second international handbook of science education (pp. 1385–1403). Springer International.

Evagorou, M., Guven, D., & Mugaloglu, E. (2014). Preparing elementary and secondary pre-service teachers for everyday science. Science Education International, 25(1), 68–78.

Feez, S., & Quinn, F. (2017). Teaching the distinctive language of science: An integrated and scaffolded approach for pre-service teachers. Teaching and Teacher Education, 65, 192–204.

Findeisen, S., Deutscher, V. K., & Seifried, J. (2020). Fostering prospective teachers' explaining skills during university education – Evaluation of a training module. Higher Education, 1–17.

Fullan, M. (2007). The new meaning of educational change. New York: Teachers College Press Garrido, A., & Couso, D. (2015). Socio-scientific issues (SSI) in initial training of primary school teachers: Preservice teachers' conceptualization of SSI and appreciation of the value of teaching SSI. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 196, 80–88.

Gee, J. P. (2008a). "Basic information structure" and "academic language": An approach to discourse analysis. In K. Cooper & R. White (Eds.), Critical literacies in action: Social perspectives and teaching practices (pp. 143–158). Leiden: Brill Sense.

Gee, J. P. (2008b). Learning in semiotic domains. Literacies, Global and Local, 2, 137–149.

lordanou, K., & Constantinou, C. P. (2014). Developing pre-service teachers' evidence-based argumentation skills on socio-scientific issues. Learning and Instruction, 34, 42–57.

Jamaludin, A., & Hung, D. (2017). Problem-solving for STEM learning: Navigating games as narrativized problem spaces for 21st century competencies. Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning, 12(1), 1–14.

Justi, R., & Mendonça, P. C. C. (2016). Discussion of the controversy concerning a historical event among preservice teachers. Science & Education, 25(7–8), 795–822.

Kaartinen, S. (2009). Meaningfulness via participation: Sociocultural practices for teacher learning and development. Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice, 15(5), 601–616.

Kademian, S. M., & Davis, E. A. (2018). Supporting beginning teacher planning of investigation-based science discussions. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 29(8), 712–740.

Kavanagh, S. S., & Rainey, E. C. (2017). Learning to support adolescent literacy: Teacher educator pedagogy and novice teacher take up in secondary English language arts teacher preparation. American Educational Research Journal, 54(5), 904–937.

Kilinc, A., Demiral, U., & Kartal, T. (2017). Resistance to dialogic discourse in SSI teaching: The effects of an argumentation-based workshop, teaching practicum, and induction on a preservice science teacher. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 54(6), 764–789.

Kovalainen, M., & Kumpulainen, K. (2005). The discursive practice of participation in an elementary classroom community. Instructional Science, 33, 213–250

Kulgemeyer, C., & Riese, J. (2018). From professional knowledge to professional performance: The impact of CK and PCK on teaching quality in explaining situations. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 55(10), 1393–1418.

Kumpulainen, K., & Rajal, A. (2017). Dialogic teaching and students' discursive identity negotiation in the learning of science. Learning and Instruction, 48, 23–31.

Lee, O. , & Luykx, A. (2007). Science education and student diversity: Race/ethnicity, language, culture, and socioeconomic status. Handbook of Research on Science Education, 1, 171–197.

Lee, T. D. , & Glass, B. (2017). talking science: It's not elementary! improving elementary pre-service teacher discourse skills through a scaffolded "science talks" assignment. Journal of Interdisciplinary Teacher Leadership, 1(2), 13–18.

Lewthwaite, B., & Wiebe, R. (2014). Responding to students' learning preferences in chemistry. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 25(3), 263–287.

Liang, L. L., Ebenezer, J., & Yost, D. S. (2010). Characteristics of pre-service teachers' online discourse: The study of local streams. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 19(1), 69–79.

López-Neira, L., Labbé, C., & Villalta, M. (2020). Digital game for the development of classroom verbal interaction strategies: Enhanced pre-service teacher training model with technology. Culture and Education, 32(3), 441–469.

Márquez, C. , Izquierdo, M. , & Espinet, M. (2006). Multimodal science teachers' discourse in modeling the water cycle. Science Education, 90(2), 202–226.

Marzábal, A., Merino, C., Moreira, P., & Delgado, V. (2019). Assessing science teaching explanations in initial teacher education: How is this teaching practice transferred across different chemistry topics? Research in Science Education, 49(4), 1107–1123.

McNeill, K. L., Katsh-Singer, R., González-Howard, M., & Loper, S. (2016). Factors impacting teachers' argumentation instruction in their science classrooms. International Journal of Science Education, 38(12), 2026–2046.

Michaels, S., & O'Connor, C. (2015). Conceptualizing talk moves as tools: Professional development approaches for academically productive discussion. Socializing Intelligence Through Talk and Dialogue, 347–362.

Moje, E. B., & Bain, R. (2009). Teacher education for disciplinary literacy learning. Michigan: University of Michigan.

Moon, A., Stanford, C., Cole, R., & Towns, M. (2017). Analysis of inquiry materials to explain complexity of chemical reasoning in physical chemistry students' argumentation. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 54(10), 1322–1346.

Mortimer, E. F., & Scott, P. H. (2003). Meaning making in secondary science classrooms. Maidenhead: Open University Press.

Mortimer, E. F., & Scott, P. H. (2020). Turning points in communicative approaches to science classroom discourse. In N. C. El-Hani , M. Pietrocola , F. E. Mortimer , & M. Rita Otero (Eds.), Science education research in Latin America (pp. 254–276). Leiden: Brill Sense.

Mottart, A., Vanhooren, S., Rutten, K., & Soetaert, R. (2009). Fictional narratives as didactical tools: Using Frank McCourt's Teacher Man in pre-service teacher education. Educational Studies, 35(5), 493–502.

Nichols, S., & Tobin, K. (2000). Discursive practice among teachers co-learning during field-based elementary science teacher preparation. Action in Teacher Education, 22(2), 45–54.

Olson, M. R., & Truxaw, M. P. (2009). Preservice science and mathematics teachers and discursive metaknowledge of text. Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy, 52(5), 422–431.

Ortiz, F., & Fernández, P. (2016). Diseño instruccional para argumentación científica en línea [Instructional design for online scientific argumentation]. Interdisciplinaria, 33(2), 231–249.

Pytash, K. E. (2013). Secondary preservice teachers' development of teaching scientific writing. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(5), 793–810.

Rainey, E. C., Maher, B. L., & Moje, E. (2020). Learning disciplinary literacy teaching: An examination of preservice teachers' literacy teaching in secondary subject area classrooms. Teaching and Teacher Education, 94, 1–12.

Robertshaw, B., & Campbell, T. (2013). Constructing arguments: Investigating pre-service science teachers' argumentation skills in a socio-scientific context. Science Education International, 24(2), 195–211.

Sadler, T. D., & Donnelly, L. A. (2006). Socioscientific argumentation: The effects of content knowledge and morality. International Journal of Science Education, 28(12), 1463–1488.

Sadler, T. D., & Zeidler, D. L. (2004). The morality of socioscientific issues: Construal and resolution of genetic engineering dilemmas. Science Education, 88, 4–27.

Sagiannis, S., & Dimopoulos, K. (2018). Greek primary school teachers' awareness of the special features of scientific language: Implications for science curricula and teachers' professional development. The Curriculum Journal, 29(3), 387–405.

Scharfenberg, F. J., & Bogner, F. X. (2019). A role-play-based tutor training in preservice teacher education for developing procedural pedagogical content knowledge by optimizing tutor – student interactions in the context of an outreach lab. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(5), 461–482.

Schwarz, C. V., Reiser, B. J., Davis, E. A., Kenyon, L., Achér, A., Fortus, D., ... Krajcik, J. (2009). Developing a learning progression for scientific modeling: Making scientific modeling accessible and meaningful for learners. Journal Research in Science Teacher, 46, 632–654.

Scott, C. E., McTigue, E. M., Miller, D. M., & Washburn, E. K. (2018). The what, when, and how of preservice teachers and literacy across the disciplines: A systematic literature review of nearly 50 years of research. Teaching and Teacher Education, 73, 1–13.

Sezen-Barrie, A., Moore, J., & Roig, C. E. (2015). Discovering plate boundaries in data-integrated environments: Preservice teachers conceptualization and implementation of scientific practices. International Journal of Science Education, 37(12), 2013–2037.

Shanahan, C., Shanahan, T., & Misischia, C. (2011). Analysis of expert readers in three disciplines: History, mathematics, and chemistry. Journal of Literacy Research, 43(4), 393–429.

Taylor, D. L., & Lelliott, A. D. (2015). Dialogic talk in diverse Physical Science classrooms. African Journal of Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 19(3), 255–266.

Tobin, K., McRobbie, C., & Anderson, D. (1997). Dialectical constraints to the discursive practices of a high school physics community. Journal of Research in Science Teaching: The Official Journal of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching, 34(5), 491–507.

Treagust, D. F. (2007). General instructional methods and strategies. In S. Abell & N. Lederman (Eds.), Handbook of research on science education (pp. 373–391). New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc. Vesterinen, V. M., Tolppanen, S., & Aksela, M. (2016). Toward citizenship science education: What students do to make the world a better place? International Journal of Science Education, 38(1), 30–50.

Windschitl, M., Thompson, J., Braaten, M., & Stroupe, D. (2012). Proposing a core set of instructional practices and tools for teachers of science. Science Education, 96(5), 878–903.

Windschitl, M., Thompson, J., Braaten, M., & Stroupe, D. (2019). Sharing a Vision, Sharing Practices: How Communities of Educators Improve Teaching. Remedial and Special Education, 40(6), 380–390.

Yeo, J., & Gilbert, J. K. (2014). Constructing a scientific explanation – A narrative account. International Journal of Science Education, 36(11), 1902–1935.

Yore, L. D. (2000). Enhancing science literacy for all students with embedded reading instructive and writing-tolearn activities. Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education, 5, 105–122.

Yore, L. D., & Treagust, D. F. (2006). Current realities and future possibilities: Language and science literacy – empowering research and informing instruction. International Journal of Science Education, 28(2–3), 291–314. Zembal-Saul, C., Munford, D., Crawford, B., Friedrichsen, P., & Land, S. (2002). Scaffolding preservice science teachers' evidence-based arguments during an investigation of natural selection. Research in Science Education, 32, 437–463.

The Role of Emerging Technologies in Science Teacher Preparation

*Indicates that the article was used in the review but not cited in the chapter.

African Union . (2021). Education, science & technology. https://au.int/en/education-science-technology * Agyei, E. , Jita, T. , & Jita, L. (2019). Examining the effectiveness of simulation-based lessons in improving the teaching of high school physics: Ghanaian preservice teachers' experiences. Journal of Baltic Science Education, 18(6), 816–832.

Alghamdi, A. , & Hamad Alanazi, F. (2019). Creating scientific dialogue through social media: Exploration of Saudi preservice science teachers. Research in Science & Technological Education, 37(4), 471–491.

* Andersen, L. , & Matkins, J. (2014). Web 2.0 tools and the reflections of preservice secondary science teachers. Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education, 28(1), 27–38.

Anderson, J., & Barnett, M. (2011). Using video games to support preservice elementary teachers learning of basic physics principles. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 20, 347–362.

Appleton, K., & Kindt, I. (1999). Why teach primary science? Influences on beginning teachers' practices. International Journal of Science Education, 21(2), 155–168.

Association for Science Teacher Education . (2021). ASTE position statement on technology in science teacher education. https://theaste.org/aste-position-statement-on-technology-in-science-teacher-education/ Association of Southeast Asian Nations . (2020, October 15). Conference on the digital transformation of education systems throughout ASEAN.

https://asean.org/storage/2020/10/20190716_24x5BackdropAMFDesignProject.pdf Baran, E. (2014). A review of research on mobile learning in teacher education. Educational Technology & Society, 17(4), 17–32.

* Baran, E. , Uygun, E. , & Altan, T. (2016). Examining preservice teachers' criteria for evaluating educational mobile apps. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 54(8), 1117–1141.

Batane, T., & Ngwako, A. (2017). Technology use by preservice teachers during teaching practice: Are new teachers embracing technology right away in their first teaching experience? Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 33(1), 48–61.

Bautstia, N., & Boone, W. (2015). Exploring the impact of TeachMETM lab virtual classroom teaching simulation on early childhood education majors' self-efficacy beliefs. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26, 237–262.

* Bhukuvhani, C. , Kusure, K. , Munodawafa, V. , Sana, A. , & Gwizangwe, I. (2010). Preservice teachers' use of improvised and virtual laboratory experimentation in science teaching. International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology, 6(4), 27–38.

Borgerding, L., & Dagistan, M. (2018). Preservice science teachers' concerns and approaches for teaching socioscientific and controversial issues. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 29(4), 283–306.

* Burron, G. , & Pegg, J. (2021). Elementary preservice teachers' search, evaluation, and selection of online science education resources. Journal of Science Education and Technology, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-020-09891-z

Celik, C. , Guven, G. , & Cakir, N. (2020). Integration of mobile augmented reality (MAR) applications into biology laboratory: Anatomic structure of the heart. Research in Learning Technology, 28, 1–11.

Danielsson, A., & Warwick, P. (2014). 'All we did was things like forces and motion...': Multiple discourses in the development of primary science teachers. International Journal of Science Education, 36(1), 103–128. Davis, E., Petish, D., & Smithey, J. (2006). Challenges new science teachers face. Review of Educational Research, 76(4), 607–651.

Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use and User Acceptance of Information Technology. MIS Quarterly 13(3), 319–339.

de Jager, T. (2019). Impact of ePortfolios on science student-teachers' reflective metacognitive learning and the development of higher-order thinking skills. Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice, 16(3), 1–19. * Efendioğlu, A., & Yelken, T. (2016). How do the cognitive load, self-efficacy and attitude of preservice teachers shift in the multimedia science learning process? Educational Research and Reviews, 11(8), 743–764. European Commission . (2021). Digital education action plan (2021–2017).

https://ec.europa.eu/education/education-in-the-eu/digital-education-action-plan_en

* Falode, O. (2018). Preservice teachers' perceived ease of use, perceived usefulness, attitude and intentions towards virtual laboratory package utilization in teaching and learning of physics. Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology, 6(3), 63–72.

* French, D., & Burrow, A. (2018). Evidence of science and engineering practices in preservice secondary science teachers' instructional planning. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 27, 536–549. Frisch, J., Cone, N., & Callahan, B. (2017). Using personal science story podcasts to reflect on language and connections to science. Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 17(2), 205–228. Gershon, I. (2017). Language and the newness of media. Annual Review of Anthropology, 46, 15–31. Gibson, J. J. (1979). The ecological approach to visual perception. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin Gough, D., & Thomas, J. (2016). Context and implications document for: Systematic reviews of research in education: Aims, myths and multiple methods. Review of Education, 4(1), 103–105. Harron, J. R., Petrosino, A. J., & Jenevein, S. (2019). Using virtual reality to augment museum-based field trips in a preservice elementary science methods course. Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 9(4), 687–707.

* Hechter, R. P. , Guy, M. D. (2010). Promoting creative thinking and expression of science concepts among elementary teacher candidates through science content movie creation and showcasing. Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 10(4), 411–431.

Hite, R., Jones, M. G., Childers, G., Chesnutt, K., Corin, E., & Pereyra, M. (2019). Preservice and in-service science teachers' technological acceptance of 3D, haptic-enabled virtual reality instructional technology. Electronic Journal of Science Education, 23(1), 1–34.

Hoban, G., Loughran, J., & Nielsen, W. (2011). Slowmation: Preservice elementary teachers representing science knowledge through creating multimodal digital animations. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 48(9), 985–1009.

* Hovardas, T. (2016). A learning progression should address regression: Insights from developing non-linear reasoning in Ecology. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 53(10), 1447–1470.

International Society for Technology in Education (2021, March 10). Be bold with us. www.iste.org/about/aboutiste

* Jaipal-Jamani, K., & Angeli, C. (2017). Effect of robotics on elementary preservice teachers' self-efficacy, science learning, and computational thinking. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 26, 175–192. * Karakoyun, F., & Yapier, İ. (2016). Use of digital storytelling in biology teaching. Universal Journal of Educational Research, 4(4), 895–903.

Kay, R. H. (2006). Evaluating strategies used to incorporate technology into preservice education: A review of the literature. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 38(4), 383–408.

Kearney, M., & Maher, M. (2019). Mobile learning in preservice teacher education: Examining the use of professional learning networks. Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 35(1), 135–148.

Kennedy-Clark, S. (2011). Preservice teachers' perspectives on using scenario-based virtual worlds in science education. Computers & Education, 57(4), 2224–2235.

Kim, C., Kim, D., Yuan, J., Hill, R., Doshi, P., & Thai, C. (2015). Robotics to promote elementary education preservice teachers' STEM engagement, learning, and teaching. Computers & Education, 19, 14–31. Kotluk, N., & Kocakaya, S. (2016). Researching and evaluating digital storytelling as a distance education tool in physics instruction: An application with preservice physics teachers. Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education, 17(1), 87–99.

Lamb, R., & Etopio, E. (2020). Virtual reality: A tool for preservice science teachers to put theory into practice. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 29, 573–585.

* Lazar, I., Panisoara, G., & Panisoara, I. (2020). Adoption of digital storytelling tool in natural sciences and technology education by preservice teachers using the technology acceptance model. Journal of Baltic Science Education, 19(3), 429–453.

* Lehtinen, A., & Viiri, J. (2017). Guidance provided by teacher and simulation for inquiry-based learning: A case study. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 26, 193–206.

Maeng, J., Mulvey, B., Smetana, L., & Bell, R. (2013). Preservice teachers' TPACK: Using technology to support inquiry instruction. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 22(6), 838–857.

Marangunić, N. , & Granić, A. (2015). Technology acceptance model: A literature review from 1986 to 2013. Universal access in the information society, 14(1), 81–95.

* McGinnis, J. R., Hestness, E., Mills, K., Ketelhut, D. J., Cabrera, L., & Jeong, H. (2020). Preservice science teachers' beliefs about computational thinking following a curricular module within an elementary science methods course. Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 20(1), 85–107. McGrenere, J., & Ho, W. (2000, May). Affordances: Clarifying and evolving a concept. In Proceedings of graphic interface, Montreal.

* Menon, D. , Chandrasekhar, M. , Kosztin, D. , & Steinhoff, D. (2017). Examining preservice elementary teachers' technology self-efficacy: Impact of mobile technology-based physics curriculum. Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 17(3), 336–359.

Mutlu, A., & Acar Şeşen, B. (2020). Comparison of inquiry-based instruction in real and virtual laboratory environments: Prospective science teachers' attitudes. International Journal of Curriculum and Instruction, 12(2), 600–617.

National Education Association . (2019). NEA positions on technology and education. www.nea.org/home/58795.htm

National Science & Technology Council . (2018). Charting a course for success: America's strategy for STEM education. www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/STEM-Education-Strategic-Plan-2018.pdf National Science Teaching Association . (2017). NSTA Position Statement: Science Teacher Preparation. www.nsta.org/nstas-official-positions/science-teacher-preparation

Ng, W. , & Nicholas, H. (2015). iResilience of science preservice teachers through digital storytelling. Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 31(6), 736–751.

Nielsen, W., & Hoban, G. (2015). Designing a digital teaching resource to explain phases of the moon: A case study of preservice elementary teachers making a Slowmation. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 52(9), 1207–1233.

* Nissim, Y., & Weissblueth, E. (2017). Virtual reality (VR) as a source for self-efficacy in teacher training. International Education Studies, 10(8), 52–59.

* Novak, E., & Wisdom, S. (2018). Effects of 3D printing project-based learning on preservice elementary teachers' science attitudes, science content knowledge, and anxiety about teaching science. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 27, 412–432.

Office of Educational Technology . (2021, March 10). Educational technology in teacher preparation challenge. https://tech.ed.gov/edtechtprep/

Oliveira, A., Behnagh, R., Ni, L., Mohsinah, A., Burgess, K., & Guo, L. (2019). Emerging technologies as pedagogical tools for teaching and learning science: A literature review. Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies, 1(2), 149–160.

* Polly, D. , & Binns, I. (2018). Elementary education candidates' integration of technology in science units. Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 18(4), 631–647.

Quinlan, C. L. (2019). Use of schema theory and multimedia technology to explore preservice students' cognitive resources during an earth science activity. Contemporary Issues in Technology & Teacher Education, 19(3), 413–438.

Rehmat, A., & Bailey, J. (2014). Technology integration in a science classroom: Preservice teachers' perceptions. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 23, 744–755.

Reidenberg, J., & Schaub, F. (2018). Achieving big data privacy in education. Theory and Research in Education, 16(3), 263–279.

Reisoğlu, İ., & Çebi, A. (2020). How can the digital competencies of preservice teachers be developed? Examining a case study through the lens of DigComp and DigCompEdu. Computers & Education, 156, 1–16. Ritz, J., & Fan, S. (2015). STEM and technology education: International state-of-the-art. International Journal of Technology and Design Education, 25(4), 429–451.

* Salar, R., Arici, F., Caliklar, S., & Yilmaz, R. (2020). A model for augmented reality immersion experiences of university students studying in science education. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 29, 257–271.

Say, S., & Serdar Yildirim, F. (2020). Investigation of preservice teachers' web 2.0 rapid content development self-efficacy belief levels and their views on web 2.0 tools. International Journal of Educational Methodology, 6(2), 345–354.

* Schmidt, M., & Fulton, L. (2016). Transforming a traditional inquiry-based science unit into a STEM unit for elementary preservice teachers: A view from the trenches. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 25, 302–315.

Seckin Kapucu, M., & Yurtseven Avci, Z. (2020). The digital story of science: Experiences of preservice science teachers. Journal of Education in Science, Environment and Health, 6(2), 148–168.

* Shively, C., & Yerrick, R. (2014). A case for examining preservice teacher preparation for inquiry teaching science with technology. Research in Learning Technology, 22, 1–13.

Suri, H. (2011). Purposeful sampling in qualitative research synthesis. Qualitative Research Journal, 11(2), 63–75.

Suri, H., & Clarke, D. (2009). Advancements in research synthesis methods: From a methodologically inclusive perspective. Review of Educational Research, 79(1), 395–430.

Swanson, L., & Harlow, D. (2013). Video of children as anchors in an online forum for elementary school teachers: A tool for positioning oneself as knowledgeable about physics. Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 13(3), 219–241.

Uluay, G., & Dogan, A. (2016). Preservice teachers' practices towards digital game design for technology integration into science classrooms. Universal Journal of Educational Research, 4(10), 2483–2498.

* Uluay, G. , & Dogan, A. (2020). Preservice science teachers' learning and teaching experiences with digital games: KODU game lab. Journal of Education in Science, Environment and Health, 6(2), 105–119.

Ulukök, Ş., & Sari, U. (2016). The effect of simulation-assisted laboratory applications on preservice teachers' attitudes towards science teaching. Universal Journal of Educational Research, 4(3), 465–474.

Wall, S. D., & Anderson, J. (2015). Peer communication through blogging. Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 15(4), 514–540.

Wilkerson, M., Andrews, C., Shaban, Y., Laina, V., & Gravel, B. (2016). What's the technology for? Teacher attention and pedagogical goals in a modeling-focused professional development workshop. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 27, 11–33.

* Wilson, R., Goodman, J., Bradbury, L., & Gross, L. (2013). Exploring the Use of iPads to Investigate Forces and Motion in an Elementary Science Methods Course. Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 13(2), 105–126.

* Wishart, J. (2017). Exploring how creating stop-motion animations supports student teachers in learning to teach science. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 49(1–2), 88–101.

* Wu, T., & Albion, P. (2019). Investigating Remote Access Laboratories for Increasing Preservice Teachers' STEM Capabilities. Educational Technology & Society, 22(1), 82–93.

* Yilmaz, M., & Siğirtmaç, A. (2020). A material for education process and the teacher: The use of digital storytelling in preschool science education. Research in Science & Technological Education. https://doi.org/10.1080/02635143.2020.1841148

Yuan, J., Kim, C., Hill, R., & Kim, D. (2019). Robotics integration for learning with technology. Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education 19(4), 708–735.

Policy in K–12 Science Teacher Preparation

Backhus, D. A., & Thompson, K. W. (2006). Addressing the nature of science in preservice science teacher preparation programs: Science educator perceptions. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 17 65–81. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-006-9012-9

Bascia, N., Cumming, A., Datnow, A., Lethwood, K., & Livingstone, D. (2005). Introduction. In N. Bascia, A. Cumming, A. Datnow, K. Lethwood, & D. Livingstone (Eds.), International handbook of educational policy (pp. xiii–xxxvi). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-3201-3

Blömeke, S., Olsen, R. V., & Suhl, U. (2016). Relation of student achievement to the quality of their teachers and instructional quality. In T. Nilsen & J. E. Gustafsson (Eds.) Teacher quality, instructional quality and student outcomes (pp. 21–50). IEA Research for Education (A Series of In-depth Analyses Based on Data of the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA)), Vol 2. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-41252-8 2

Boyd, D. J., Grossman, P. L., Lankford, H., Loeb, S., & Wyckoff, J. (2009). Teacher preparation and student achievement. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 31(4) 416–440.

https://doi.org/10.3102/0162373709353129

Buddin, R. , & Zamarro, G. (2009). Teacher qualifications and student achievement in urban elementary schools. Journal of Urban Economics, 66 103–115. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jue.2009.05.001

Burroughs, N., Gardner, J., Lee, Y., Guo, S., Touitou, I., Jansen, K., & Schmidt, W. (2019). Teaching for excellence and equity: Analyzing teacher characteristics, behaviors and student outcomes with TIMSS. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-16151-4.

Cofré, H., González-Weil, C., Vergara, C., Santibáñez, D., Ahumada, G., Furman, M., ... Pérez, R. (2015). Science teacher education in South America: The case of Argentina, Colombia and Chile. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(1) 45–63. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-015-9420-9

Danhui, Z., & Campbell, T. (2014). An examination of the impact of teacher quality and "opportunity gap" on student science achievement in China. International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 13 489–513. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-013-9491-z

Darling-Hammond, L. (2000). Teacher quality and student achievement: A review of state policy evidence. Education Policy Analysis Archives, 8(1), https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.v8n1.2000

Darling-Hammond, L. (2016). Research on teaching and teacher education and its influences on policy and practice. Educational Researcher, 45(2) 83–91. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X16639597

Darling-Hammond, L. (2017). Teacher education around the world: What can we learn from international practice? European Journal of Teacher Education, 40(3) 291–309.

https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2017.1315399

Darling-Hammond, L., Berry, B., & Thoreson, A. (2001). Does teacher certification matter? Evaluating the evidence. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 23(1) 57–77.

https://doi.org/10.3102/01623737023001057

Darling-Hammond, L., Holtzman, D. J., Gatlin, S. J., & Heilig, J. V. (2005). Does teacher preparation matter? Evidence about teacher certification, teach for America, and teacher effectiveness. Education Policy Analysis Archives, 13(42). https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.v13n42.2005

Darling-Hammond, L., Wei, R. C., & Johnson, C. M. (2009). Teacher preparation and teacher learning: A changing policy landscape. In G. Sykes, B. Schneider, & D. N. Plank (Eds.), Handbook of education policy research (pp. 613–636). New York: Routledge for the American Educational Research Association. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203880968

Evagorou, M., Dillon, J., Viiri, J., & Albe, V. (2015). Pre-service science teacher preparation in Europe: Comparing pre-service teacher preparation programs in England, France, Finland and Cyprus. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(1) 99–115. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-015-9421-8

Goldhaber, D. D., & Brewer, D. J. (2000). Does teacher certification matter? High school teacher certification status and student achievement. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 22(2) 129–145. https://doi.org/10.3102/01623737022002129

Goldhaber, D. D., & Brewer, D. J. (2001). Evaluating the evidence on teacher certification: A rejoinder. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 23(1) 79–86. https://doi.org/10.3102/01623737023001079 Hanover Research . (2014). Review of teacher incentive programs. Washington, DC: Author.

www.hanoverresearch.com/media/Review-of-Teacher-Incentive-Programs-2.pdf

Hattie, J. (2009). Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. London: Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203887332

Heffron, J. M. (2018). Foreword. In R. Papa & S. W. J. Armfield (Eds.), The Wiley handbook of educational policy (pp. xxi–xxvii). https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119218456

Johnson, C. C., Kahle, J. B., & Fargo, J. (2007). Effective teaching results in increased science achievement for all students. Science Education, 91(3) 371–383. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20195

Kennedy, M. M. (2008). Contributions of qualitative research to research on teacher qualifications. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 30(4) 344–367. https://doi.org/10.3102/0162373708326031

Lederman, N. G., & Lederman, J. S. (2015). The status of preservice science teacher education: A global perspective. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(1) 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-015-9422-7 Liou, P.-Y., & Lawrenz, F. (2011). Optimizing teacher preparation loan forgiveness program: Variables related to perceived influence. Science Education, 95(1) 121–144. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20409

Liu, C. , & Liu, E. (2017). An overview of professional preparation for pre-service and in-service science teachers. In L. L. Liang , X. Liu , & G. W. Fulmer (Eds.), Science education in China: Policy, practice, and research (pp. 379–400). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9864-8

Liu, E., Liu., C., & Wang, J. (2015). Pre-service science teacher preparation in China: Challenges and promises. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(1) 29–44. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-014-9404-1 Morrell, P. D., Park Rogers, M. A., Pyle, E. J., Roehrig, G., & Veal, W. R. (2020). Preparing teachers of science for 2020 and beyond: Highlighting changes to the NSTA/ASTE standards for science teacher preparation. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 31(1) 1–7.

https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2019.1705536

National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) . (2008). Professional standards for the accreditation of teacher preparation institutions. Retrieved January 30, 2021, from

www.ncate.org/~/media/Files/caep/accreditation-resources/ncate-standards-2008.pdf?la=en National Research Council (NRC) . (1996). National science education standards. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/4962

National Research Council (NRC) . (2001). Educating practices for the new millennium. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/9832

National Research Council (NRC) . (2010). Preparing teachers: Building evidence for sound policy. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/12882

National Research Council (NRC) . (2012). A framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/13165 National Science Teaching Association (NSTA) . (2020). 2020 NSTA/ASTE standards for science teacher preparation. Retrieved January 30, 2021, from https://static.nsta.org/pdfs/2020NSTAStandards.pdf Ogunniyi, M. B. , & Rollnick, M. (2015). Pre-service science teacher education in Africa: Prospects and challenges. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(1) 65–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-014-9415-y Olson, J. K. , Tippett, C. D. , Milford, T. M. , Ohana, C. , & Clough, M. P. (2015). Science teacher preparation in a North American context. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(1) 7–28. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-014-9417-9

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) . (2005). Teachers matter: Attracting, developing and retaining effective teachers. Paris: OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264018044-en

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) . (2011). Building a high-quality teaching profession: Lessons from around the world. Paris: OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264113046-en

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) . (2018). Effective teacher policies: Insights from PISA. Paris: OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264301603-en

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) . (2019). PISA 2018 results (Volume II): Where all students can succeed. Paris: OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/b5fd1b8f-en

Schleicher, A. (2016). Teaching excellence through professional learning and policy reform: Lessons from around the world. International Summit on the Teaching Profession. Paris: OECD Publishing. http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264252059-en

Treagust, D. F., Won, M., Petersen, J., & Wynne, G. (2015). Science teacher education in Australia: Initiatives and challenges to improve the quality of teaching. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(1) 81–98. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-014-9410-3

Ulferts, H. (2019). The relevance of general pedagogical knowledge for successful teaching: Systematic review and meta-analysis of the international evidence from primary to tertiary education. OECD Education Working Papers, No. 212. Paris: OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/ede8feb6-en

Wang, D., & Gao, M. (2013). Educational equality or social mobility: The value conflict between preservice teachers and the free teacher education program in China. Teaching and Teacher Education, 32 66–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2013.01.008

Williams, S. M. , & Atwater, M. M. (2014). Policy issues in science education: The importance of science teacher education, equity, and social justice. In M. Atwater , M. Russell , & M. Butler (Eds.), Multicultural science education (pp. 273–283). Dordrecht: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-7651-7_16 Windschitl, M. (2003). Inquiry projects in science teacher education: What can investigative experiences reveal about teacher thinking and eventual classroom practice? Science Education, 87 112–143. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.10044

Ye, J., Zhu, X., & Lo, L. N. K. (2019). Reform of teacher education in China: A survey of policies for systemic change. Teachers and Teaching, 25(7) 757–781. https://doi.org/10.1080/13540602.2019.1639498 Zhou, H. (2010). Analysis and policy adjustment on the contradiction of free of charge in normal education in practice. Educational Research, (8), 58–61, (in Chinese).

The Learning Opportunities of Newly Hired Teachers of Science

Alexander, P. A. (2020). Methodological guidance paper: The art and science of quality systematic reviews. Review of Educational Research, 90(1), 6–23.

American Educational Research Association . (2006). Standards for reporting on empirical social science research in AERA publications. Educational Researcher, 35(6), 33–40.

Avraamidou, L. (2014). Tracing a beginning elementary teacher's development of identity for science teaching. Journal of Teacher Education, 65(3), 223–240.

Avraamidou, L. (2020). Science identity as a landscape of becoming: Rethinking recognition and emotions through an intersectionality lens. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 15(2), 323–345.

Bang, E., & Luft, J. A. (2013). Secondary science teachers' use of technology in the classroom during their first 5 years. Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education, 29(4), 118–126.

Bang, E., & Luft, J. A. (2014). Exploring the written dialogues of two first-year secondary science teachers in an online mentoring program. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 25(1), 25–51.

Bell, R. L., Maeng, J. L., & Binns, I. C. (2013). Learning in context: Technology integration in a teacher preparation program informed by situated learning theory. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 50(3), 348–379.

Bianchini, J. A. (2012). Teaching while still learning to teach: Beginning science teachers' views, experiences, and classroom practices. In B. J. Fraser (Ed.), Second international handbook of science education (pp. 389–399). New York: Springer.

Clarke, A., Triggs, V., & Nielsen, W. (2013). Cooperating teacher participation in teacher education. Review of Educational Research, 84(2), 163–202.

Cobb, P., & Bowers, J. (1999). Cognitive and situated learning perspectives in theory and practice. Educational Researcher, 28(2), 4–15.

Davis, E. A., Janssen, F. J., & Van Driel, J. H. (2016). Teachers and science curriculum materials: Where we are and where we need to go. Studies in Science Education, 52(2), 127–160.

Davis, E. A., Petish, D., & Smithey, J. (2006). Challenges new science teachers face. Review of Educational Research, 76(4), 607–651.

Dawson, V., & Carson, K. (2020). Introducing argumentation about climate change socioscientific issues in a disadvantaged school. Research in Science Education, 50(3), 863–883.

Doney, P. A. (2013). Fostering resilience: A necessary skill for teacher retention. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(4), 645–664.

Dubois, S. L., & Luft, J. A. (2014). Science teachers without classrooms of their own: A study of the phenomenon of floating. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 25(1), 5–23.

Fletcher, S. S. , & Luft, J. A. (2011). Early career secondary science teachers: A longitudinal study of beliefs in relation to field experiences. Science Education, 95(6), 1124–1146.

Haigh, M. A., & Anthony, G. J. (2012). Induction and efficacy: A case study of New Zealand newly qualified secondary science teachers. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 23(6), 651–671.

Heredia, S. C., & Yu, J. H. (2017). A Matter of Choice: Opportunities for Informal Science Institutions to Support Science Teacher Induction. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 28(6), 549–565.

Herman, B. C., Clough, M. P., & Olson, J. K. (2013). Teachers' nature of science implementation practices 2–5 years after having completed an intensive science education program. Science Education, 97(2), 271–309. Johnson, T. N., & Dabney, K. P. (2018). Voices from the field: Constraints encountered by early career elementary science teachers. School Science and Mathematics, 118(6), 244–256.

Kang, H., & Windschitl, M. (2018). How does practice-based teacher preparation influence novices' first-year instruction? Teachers College Record, 120(8).

Kang, H., & Zinger, D. (2019). What do core practices offer in preparing novice science teachers for equitable instruction?. Science Education, 103(4), 823–853.

Katz, P., McGinnis, J. R., Riedinger, K., Marbach-Ad, G., & Dai, A. (2013). The influence of informal science education experiences on the development of two beginning teachers' science classroom teaching identity. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(8), 1357–1379.

Killough, J. K., & Stuessy, C. L. (2019). Changing beliefs about reformed teaching in science: Experience matters. School Science and Mathematics, 119(5), 255–261.

Korthagen, F. A. (2010). Situated learning theory and the pedagogy of teacher education: Towards an integrative view of teacher behavior and teacher learning. Teaching and Teacher Education, 26(1), 98–106.

Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation. Cambridge: Cambridge University.

Lotter, C. R., & Miller, C. (2017). Improving inquiry teaching through reflection on practice. Research in Science Education, 47(4), 913–942.

Luft, J. A., Dubois, S., Nixon, R., & Campbell, B. (2015). Supporting newly hired teachers of science: Attaining professional teaching standards. Studies in Science Education, 51(1), 1–48.

Luft, J. A., Firestone, J. B., Wong, S. S., Ortega, I., Adams, K., & Bang, E. (2011). Beginning secondary science teacher induction: A two-year mixed methods study. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 48(10), 1199–1224.

Marco-Bujosa, L. M., McNeill, K. L., & Friedman, A. A. (2020). Becoming an urban science teacher: How beginning teachers negotiate contradictory school contexts. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 57(1), 3–32.

McDonnell, L. M. (1995). Opportunity to learn as a research concept and policy instrument. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 17(3), 305–322.

McFadden, J., Ellis, J., Anwar, T., & Roehrig, G. (2014). Beginning science teachers' use of a digital video annotation tool to promote reflective practices. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 23, 458–470. McNally, J. C. (2016). Learning from one's own teaching: New science teachers analyzing their practice through classroom observation cycles. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 53(3), 473–501.

Mitchener, C. P., & Jackson, W. M. (2012). Learning from action research about science teacher preparation. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 23(1), 45–64.

Napier, J., Luft, J. A., & Singh, H. (2020). The science instruction of newly hired out-of-field secondary science teachers during their first three years. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 31(7), 802–820.

Navy, S. L., Luft, J. A., Toerien, R., & Hewson, P. W. (2018). Practices influenced by policy? An exploration of newly hired science teachers at sites in South Africa and the United States. International Journal of Science Education, 40(8), 919–939.

Navy, S. L., Maeng, J. L., & Bell, R. L. (2019). Learning from a state professional development conference for science teachers: Beginning secondary science teachers' experiences. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(4), 409–428.

Navy, S. L., Nixon, R. S., Luft, J. A., & Jurkiewicz, M. A. (2020). Accessed or latent resources? Exploring new secondary science teachers' networks of resources. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 57(2), 184–208. Nehmeh, G., & Kelly, A. M. (2018). Urban science teachers in isolation: Challenges, resilience, and adaptive action. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 29(6), 527–549.

Nichols, S. L., Schutz, P. A., Rodgers, K., & Bilica, K. (2017). Early career teachers' emotion and emerging teacher identities. Teachers and Teaching, 23(4), 406–421.

Nixon, R. S., Toerien, R., & Luft, J. A. (2019). Knowing more than their students: Characterizing secondary science teachers' subject matter knowledge. School Science and Mathematics, 119(3), 150–160.

Ortega, I., Luft, J. A., & Wong, S. S. (2013). Learning to teach inquiry: A beginning science teacher of English language learners. School Science and Mathematics, 113(1), 29–40.

Ozel, M., & Luft, J. A. (2013). Beginning Secondary Science Teachers' Conceptualization and Enactment of Inquiry-Based Instruction. School Science and Mathematics, 113(6), 308–316.

Paige, K., Zeegers, Y., Lloyd, D., & Roetman, P. (2016). Researching the effectiveness of a science professional learning program using a proposed curriculum framework for schools: A case study. International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 14(1), 149–175.

Pitjeng-Mosabala, P., & Rollnick, M. (2018). Exploring the development of novice unqualified graduate teachers' topic-specific PCK in teaching the particulate nature of matter in South Africa's classrooms. International Journal of Science Education, 40(7), 742–770.

Ritchie, S. M., Tobin, K., Hudson, P., Roth, W. M., & Mergard, V. (2011). Reproducing successful rituals in bad times: Exploring emotional interactions of a new science teacher. Science Education, 95(4), 745–765. Rodriguez, A. J. (2015). Managing institutional and sociocultural challenges through sociotransformative constructivism: A longitudinal case study of a high school science teacher. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 52(4), 448–460.

Rosebery, A. S., Warren, B., & Tucker-Raymond, E. (2016). Developing interpretive power in science teaching. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 53(10), 1571–1600.

Saka, Y., Southerland, S. A., Kittleson, J., & Hutner, T. (2013). Understanding the induction of a science teacher: The interaction of identity and context. Research in Science Education, 43(3), 1221–1244. Sickel, A. J., & Friedrichsen, P. (2015). Beliefs, Practical Knowledge, and Context: A Longitudinal Study of a

Beginning Biology Teacher's 5 E Unit. School Science and Mathematics, 115(2), 75–87.

Sickel, A. J., & Friedrichsen, P. (2018). Using multiple lenses to examine the development of beginning biology teachers' pedagogical content knowledge for teaching natural selection simulations. Research in Science Education, 48(1), 29–70.

Strom, K. J. (2015). Teaching as assemblage: Negotiating learning and practice in the first year of teaching. Journal of Teacher Education, 66(4), 321–333.

Stroupe, D. (2016). Beginning teachers' use of resources to enact and learn from ambitious instruction. Cognition and Instruction, 34(1), 51–77.

Thompson, J. , Windschitl, M. , & Braaten, M. (2013). Developing a theory of ambitious early-career teacher practice. American Educational Research Journal, 50(3), 574–615.

Thompson, S. L., & Emmer, E. (2019). Closing the Experience Gap: The Influence of an Immersed Methods Course in Science. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(3), 300–319.

Watters, J. J., & Diezmann, C. M. (2015). Challenges confronting career-changing beginning teachers: A qualitative study of professional scientists becoming science teachers. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(2), 163–192.

Wei, B., Avraamidou, L., & Chen, N. (2019). How a beginning science teacher deals with practical work: An explorative study through the lens of identity. Research in Science Education. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-019-9826-z.

Williams, J., Eames, C., Hume, A., & Lockley, J. (2012). Promoting pedagogical content knowledge development for early career secondary teachers in science and technology using content representations. Research in Science & Technological Education, 30(3), 327–343.

Windschitl, M., Thompson, J., & Braaten, M. (2011). Ambitious pedagogy by novice teachers: Who benefits from tool-supported collaborative inquiry into practice and why?. Teachers College Record, 113(7), 1311–1360. Wong, S. S., Firestone, J. B., Luft, J. A., & Weeks, C. B. (2013). Laboratory practices of beginning secondary science teachers: A five-year study. Science Educator, 22(1), 1–9.

Wong, sS. S., & Luft, J. A. (2015). Secondary science teachers' beliefs and persistence: A longitudinal mixedmethods study. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(7), 619–645.

Science Teacher Leadership

Bintz, J., Mohan, L., Miller, B., Mohan, A., Galosy, J., & Stuhlastz, M. (2017). Developing math/science teacher leadership: Symposium proceedings (Research Report No. 2017-04). Colorado Springs, CO: BSCS. Bourdieu, P. (1990). The logic of practice. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

Burt, R. S. (2004). Structural holes and good ideas. American Journal of Sociology, 110(2), 349–399. Cheung, R., Reinhardt, T., Stone, E., & Little, J. W. (2018). Defining teacher leadership: A framework. Phi Delta Kappan, 100(3) 38–44. https://doi.org/10.1177/0031721718808263

Collinson, V. (2012). Leading by learning, learning by leading. Professional Development in Education, 38 247–266. https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2012.657866

Criswell, B. A., Rushton, G. T., McDonald, S. P., & Gul, T. (2018a). A clearer vision: Creating and evolving a model to support the development of science teacher leaders. Research in Science Education, 48 811–837. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-016-9588-9

Criswell, B. A., Rushton, G. T., Nachtigall, D., Staggs, S., Alemdar, M., Cappelli, C. J. (2018b). Strengthening the vision: Examining the understanding of a framework for teacher leadership development by experienced science teachers. Science Education, 102 1265–1287. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21472

Eick, C. J., Ewald, M. L., Richardson, V. B., & Anderson, K. (2007). Building a leadership network supporting science education reform in rural east Alabama. Science Educator, 16(1), 8–12.

Farchi, T., & Tubin, D. (2019). Middle leaders in successful and less successful schools. School Leadership & Management, 39, 372–390

Groothuijsen, S. E. A., Prins, G. T., & Bulte, A. M. W. (2019). Towards an empirically substantiated professional development programme to train lead teachers to support curriculum innovation. Professional Development in Education, 45 739–761. https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2018.1510427

Gul, T., Demir, K., & Criswell, B. (2019). Constructing teacher leadership through mentoring: Functionality of mentoring practices in evolving teacher leadership. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30 209–228. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560x.2018.1558655

Hanuscin, D. L., Rebello, C. M., & Sinha, S. (2012). Supporting the development of science teacher leaders-Where do we begin? Science Educator, 21(1), 12–18.

Hanuscin, D. L., Sinha, S., & Hall, M. (2016). Supporting teachers in (re)constructing identities as leaders: The role of professional development. In Studying science teacher identity (pp. 197–218). Boston, MA: Brill Sense. Hofstede, G. H., Hofstede, G. J., & Minkov, M. (2005). Cultures and organizations: Software of the mind. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Howe, A. C., & Stubbs, H. S. (2003). From science teacher to teacher leader: Leadership development as meaning making in a community of practice. Science Education, 87 281–297. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.10022 Hunzicker, J. (2012). Professional development and job-embedded collaboration: How teachers learn to exercise leadership. Professional Development in Education, 38 267–289.

https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2012.657870

Hunzicker, J. (2017). From teacher to teacher leader: A conceptual model. International Journal of Teacher Leadership, 8(2), 1–27.

Jacob, R., Goddard, R., Kim, M., Miller, R., & Goddard, Y. (2015). Exploring the causal impact of the McREL Balanced Leadership Program on leadership, principal efficacy, instructional climate, educator turnover, and student achievement. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis. https://doi.org/0162373714549620.

Jacobs, J., Beck, B., & Crowell, L. (2014). Teacher leaders as equity-centered change agents: Exploring the conditions that influence navigating change to promote educational equity. Professional Development in Education, 40 576–596. https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2014.896272

Khourey-Bowers, C., Dinko, R. L., & Hart, R. G. (2005). Influence of a shared leadership model in creating a school culture of inquiry and collegiality. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 42 3–24. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20038

Klentschy, M. (2008). Developing teacher leaders in science: Attaining and sustaining science reform. Science Educator, 17(2), 57–64.

Leithwood, K. , Louis, K. S. , Anderson, S. , & Wahlstrom, K. (2004). Review of research: How leadership influences student learning. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota.

Lewthwaite, B. (2006). Constraints and contributors to becoming a science teacher-leader. Science Education, 90 331–347. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20093

Louis, K. S., Leithwood, K., Wahlstrom, K. L., & Anderson, S. E. (2010). Investigating the links to improved student learning: Final report of research findings. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota.

Luft, J. A., Dubois, S. L., Kaufmann, J., & Plank, L. (2016). Science teacher leadership: Learning from a three-year leadership program. Science Educator, 25(1), 1–9.

Luft, J. A., Whitworth, B. A., Berry, A., Kind, V., & Navy, S. L. (2018). Science education trajectories: Charting the course for teachers, educators, researchers, and policy makers. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30 63–79. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2018.1535226

Lumpkin, A., Claxton, H., & Wilson, A. (2016). Key characteristics of teacher leaders in schools. Administrative Issues Journal, 4, 59–67.

National Research Council . (2011). Successful K-12 STEM education: Identifying effective approaches in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

Peacock, J. (2014). Science instructional leadership: The role of the department chair. Science Educator, 23(1), 36–48.

Polizzi, S. J. ; Ofem, B. ; Coyle, W. ; Lundquist, K. ; Rushton, G. T. (2019). The use of visual network scales in teacher leader development. Teaching and Teacher Education, 83 42–53.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2019.03.018

Printy, S. M., & Marks, H. M. (2006). Shared leadership for teacher and student learning. Theory into Practice, 45, 125–132.

Rhoton, J., & McLean, J. E. (2008). Developing teacher leaders in science: Catalysts for improved science teaching and student learning. Science Educator, 17(2), 45–56.

Rigano, D. L., & Ritchie, S. M. (2003). Implementing change within a school science department: Progressive and dissonant voices. Research in Science Education, 33 299–317. https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1025483130881 Ritchie, S. M., Mackay, G., & Rigano, D. L. (2006). Individual and collective leadership in school science departments. Research in Science Education, 36 141–161. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-005-9001-6 Sato, M., Bartiromo, M., & Elko, S. (2016). Investigating your school's science teaching and learning culture. Phi Delta Kappan, 97(6) 42–47. https://doi.org/10.1177/0031721716636872

Sinha, S., & Hanuscin, D. L. (2017). Development of teacher leadership identity: A multiple case study. Teaching and Teacher Education, 63 356–371. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.01.004

Spillane, J. P., Diamond, J. B., Walker, L. J., Halverson, R., & Jita, L. (2001). Urban school leadership for elementary science instruction: Identifying and activating resources in an undervalued school subject. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 37, 918–940.

Taylor, J. A., Stuhlsatz, M. A. M., & Bintz, J. (2019). The effect of a leadership development program for high school science reform on student achievement in science: A retrospective quasi-experiment. Science Educator, 27(1), 1–14.

Taylor, M., Klein, E. J., Munakata, M., Trabona, K., Rahman, Z., & McManus, J. (2019). Professional development for teacher leaders: Using activity theory to understand the complexities of sustainable change. International Journal of Leadership in Education, 22 685–705. https://doi.org/10.1080/13603124.2018.1492023 Swartz, D. (2012). Culture and power: The sociology of Pierre Bourdieu. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Tubin, D. (2017). Leadership identity construction practices: The case of successful Israeli school principals. Educational Management, Administration and Leadership, 45, 790–805.

Wenner, J. A. (2017). Urban Elementary science teacher leaders: Responsibilities, supports, and needs. Science Educator, 25, 117–125.

Wenner, J. A., & Campbell, T. (2017). The theoretical and empirical basis of teacher leadership: A review of the literature. Review of Educational Research, 87, 134–171.

Whitworth, B. A., Maeng, J. L., Wheeler, L. B., & Chiu, J. L. (2017). Investigating the role of a district science coordinator. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 54 914–936. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21391

York-Barr, J., & Duke, K. (2004). What do we know about teacher leadership? Findings from two decades of scholarship. Review of Educational Research, 74, 255–316.

Yow, J. A. , & Lotter, C. (2016). Teacher learning in a mathematics and science inquiry professional development program: First steps in emergent teacher leadership. Professional Development in Education, 42 325–351. https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2014.960593

Professional Development of Science Teachers for Inquiry Instruction

Abrahams, I., & Millar, R. (2008). Does practical work really work? A study of the effectiveness of practical work as a teaching and learning method in school science. International Journal of Science Education, 30(14), 1945–1969.

Akerson, V. L., Abd-El-Khalick, F., & Lederman, N. G. (2000). Influence of a reflective explicit activity-based approach on elementary teachers' conceptions of nature of science. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 37, 295–317.

Akerson, V. L., Townsend, J. S., Donnelly, L. A., Hanson, D. L., Tira, P., & White, O. (2009). Scientific modeling for inquiring teachers network (SMIT'N): The influence on elementary teachers' views of nature of science, inquiry, and modeling. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 20(1), 21–40.

Australian Curriculum Assessment and Reporting Authority . (2012). Shape of the Australian science curriculum. Retrieved March 15, 2021, from https://docs.acara.edu.au/resources/Australian_Curriculum_-___Science.pdf.

Bell, R. L., Lederman, N. G., & Abd-El-Khalick, F. (1998). Implicit versus Explicit Nature of Science Instruction: An Explicit Response to Palmquist and Finley. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 35(9), 1057–1061. Bismack, A. S., Arias, A. M., Davis, E. A., & Palincsar, A. S. (2014). Connecting curriculum materials and teachers: Elementary science teachers' enactment of a reform-based curricular unit. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 25(4), 489–512.

Blanchard, M. R., Southerland, S. A., & Granger, E. M. (2009). No silver bullet for inquiry: Making sense of teacher change following an inquiry-based research experience for teachers. Science Education, 93, 322–360. Blank, R. K., de las Alas, N., & Smith, C. (2008). Does teacher professional development have effects on teaching and learning? Analysis of evaluation findings from programs for mathematics and science teachers in 14 states. Washington, DC: Council of Chief State School Officers.

Blumenfeld, P., & Krajcik, J. (2006). Project-based learning. The Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences, 333–354.

Brand, B. R., & Moore, S. J. (2011). Enhancing teachers' application of inquiry-based strategies using a constructivist sociocultural professional development model. International Journal of Science Education, 33(7), 889–913.

Capps, D. K., & Crawford, B. A. (2013). Inquiry-based professional development: What does it take to support teachers in learning about inquiry and nature of science? International Journal of Science Education, 35(12), 1947–1978.

Capps, D. K., Crawford, B. A., & Constas, M. A. (2012). A review of empirical literature on inquiry professional development: Alignment with best practices and a critique of the findings. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 23(3), 291–318.

Capps, D. K., Shemwell, J. T., & Young, A. M. (2016). Over reported and misunderstood? A study of teachers' reported enactment and knowledge of inquiry-based science teaching. International Journal of Science Education, 38(6), 934–959.

Carter, K., & Anders, D. (1996). Program pedagogy. In Murray, F. B. (Ed.), The teacher educator's handbook: Building a knowledge base for the preparation of teachers (pp. 557–592). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass. Cian, H., Marshall, J., & Qian, M. (2018). Inquiry classroom patterns of student cognitive engagement: An analysis using growth curve modeling. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 29(4), 326–346.

Crawford, B. A. (2000). Embracing the essence of inquiry: New roles for science teachers. Journal of Research in Science Teaching: The Official Journal of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching, 37(9), 916–937.

Crawford, B. A. (2007). Learning to teach science as inquiry in the rough and tumble of practice. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 44(4), 613–642.

Crawford, B. A. (2014). From inquiry to scientific practices in the science classroom. In Handbook of research on science education, volume II (pp. 529–556). New York, NY: Routledge.

Darling-Hammond, L., & McLaughlin, M. W. (1995). Policies that support professional development in an era of reform. Phi Delta Kappan, 76(8), 597–604.

Department for Education and Skills/Qualification and Curriculum Authority . (2004). Science – The national curriculum for England. London: HMSO.

Dewey, J. (1910). Science as subject-matter and as method. Science, 31(787), 121–127.

Diamond, B. S., Maerten-Rivera, J., Rohrer, R. E., & Lee, O. (2014). Effectiveness of a curricular and professional development intervention at improving elementary teachers' science content knowledge and student achievement outcomes: Year 1 results. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 51(5), 635–658. Dillon, J. (2008). A review of the research on practical work in school science. King's College, London, 1–9. Ebert, E. K., & Crippen, K. J. (2010). Applying a cognitive-affective model of conceptual change to professional development. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 21(3), 371–388.

Enderle, P., Dentzau, M., Roseler, K., Southerland, S., Granger, E., Hughes, R., ... Saka, Y. (2014). Examining the influence of RETs on science teacher beliefs and practice. Science Education, 98(6), 1077–1108.

Fogleman, J., McNeill, K. L., & Krajcik, J. S. (2011). Examining the effect of teachers' adaptations of a middle school science inquiry-oriented curriculum unit on student learning. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 48(2), 149–169.

Garet, M. S., Porter, A. C., Desimone, L. M., Birman, B. F., & Yoon, K. S. (2001). What makes professional development effective? Results from a national sample of teachers. American Educational Research Journal, 38 915–945. https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312038004915

Garrison, D. R., & Kanuka, H. (2004). Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative potential in higher education, Internet and Higher Education, 7, 95–105.

Gerard, L. F., Spitulnik, M., & Linn, M. C. (2010). Teacher use of evidence to customize inquiry science instruction. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 47(9), 1037–1063.

Granger, E. M., Bevis, T. H., Southerland, S. A., Saka, Y., & Ke, F. (2019). Examining features of how professional development and enactment of educative curricula influences elementary science teacher learning. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 56(3), 348–370.

Haug, B. S. (2014). Inquiry-based science: Turning teachable moments into learnable moments. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 25(1), 79–96.

Isik-Ercan, Z. (2020). 'You have 25 kids playing around!': Learning to implement inquiry-based science learning in an urban second-grade classroom. International Journal of Science Education, 42(3), 329–349.

Israeli Ministry of Education . (2011). Syllabus of biological studies (10th – 12th Grade). Jerusalem, Israel: State of Israel Ministry of Education Curriculum Center.

Kanter, D. E., & Konstantopoulos, S. (2010). The impact of a project-based science curriculum on minority student achievement, attitudes, and careers: The effects of teacher content and pedagogical content knowledge and inquiry-based practices. Science Education, 94(5), 855–887.

Keengwe, J., & Kang, J. J. (2013). A review of empirical research on blended learning in teacher education programs. Education and Information Technologies, 18, 479–493.

Khishfe, R., & Abd-El-Khalick, F. (2002). Influence of explicit and reflective versus implicit inquiry-oriented instruction on sixth graders' views of nature of science. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 39(7), 551–578.

Lederman, J. S., Lederman, N. G., Bartos, S. A., Bartels, S. L., Meyer, A. A., & Schwartz, R. S. (2014). Meaningful assessment of learners' understandings about scientific inquiry – The views about scientific inquiry (VASI) questionnaire. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 51(1), 65–83.

Lederman, N. G., & Lederman, J. S. (2019). Teaching and Learning of Nature of Scientific knowledge and scientific inquiry: Building capacity through systematic research-based professional development. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(7), 737–762.

Lewis, E. B., van der Hoeven Kraft, K. J., Bueno Watts, N., Baker, D. R., Wilson, M. J., & Lang, M. (2011). Elementary teachers' comprehension of flooding through inquiry-based professional development and use of self-regulation strategies. International Journal of Science Education, 33(11), 1473–1512.

Lin, H. S., Hong, Z. R., Yang, K. K., & Lee, S. T. (2013). The impact of collaborative reflections on teachers' inquiry teaching. International Journal of Science Education, 35(18), 3095–3116.

Lotter, C., Carnes, N., Marshall, J. C., Hoppmann, R., Kiernan, D. A., Barth, S. G., & Smith, C. (2020). Teachers' content knowledge, beliefs, and practice after a project-based professional development program with ultrasound scanning. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 31(3), 311–334.

Lotter, C. , Rushton, G. T. , & Singer, J. (2013). Teacher enactment patterns: How can we help move all teachers to reform-based inquiry practice through professional development?. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(8), 1263–1291.

Lotter, C., Smiley, W., Thompson, S., & Dickenson, T. (2016). The impact of a professional development model on middle school science teachers' efficacy and implementation of inquiry. International Journal of Science Education, 38(18), 2712–2741.

Loucks-Horsley, S., Stiles, K. E., Mundry, S., Love, N., & Hewson, P. W. (2009). Designing professional development for teachers of science and mathematics. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin press.

Luft, J. A., Diamond, J. M., Zhang, C., & White, D. Y. (2020). Research on K-12 STEM professional development programs. In C. C. Johnson , M. J. Mohr-Schroeder , T. J. Moore , & L. D. English (Eds.), Handbook of research on STEM education (p. XX). New York: Routledge.

Lumpe, A., Czerniak, C., Haney, J., & Beltyukova, S. (2012). Beliefs about teaching science: The relationship between elementary teachers' participation in professional development and student achievement. International Journal of Science Education, 34(2), 153–166.

Marshall, J. C., & Alston, D. M. (2014). Effective, sustained inquiry-based instruction promotes higher science proficiency among all groups: A 5-year analysis. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 25(7), 807–821. Marx, R. W., Blumenfeld, P. C., Krajcik, J. S., & Soloway, E. (1997). Enacting project-based science. The Elementary School Journal, 97(4), 341–358.

Ministry of Education of Singapore . (2007). Primary science syllabus. Singapore: Author.

Moeed, A. (2013). Science investigation that best supports student learning: Teachers' understanding of science investigation. International Journal of Environmental and Science Education, 8(4), 537–559.

Mupira, P., & Ramnarain, U. (2018). The effect of inquiry-based learning on the achievement goal-orientation of grade 10 physical sciences learners at township schools in South Africa. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 55(6), 810–825.

National Research Council . (1996). National science education standards. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

National Research Council . (2012). A framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

Ødegaard, M., Haug, B., Mork, S. M., & Sørvik, G. O. (2014). Challenges and support when teaching science through an integrated inquiry and literacy approach. International Journal of Science Education, 36(18), 2997–3020.

Oliveira, A. W. (2010a). Developing elementary teachers' understandings of hedges and personal pronouns in inquiry-based science classroom discourse. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 21(1), 103–126 Oliveira, A. W. (2010b). Improving teacher questioning in science inquiry discussions through professional

development. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 47(4), 422–453.

Owston, R., Wideman, H., Murphy, J., & Lupshenyuk, D. (2008). Blended teacher professional development: A synthesis of three program evaluations. The Internet and Higher Education, 11(3–4), 201–210.

Penuel, W. R., Fishman, B. J., Yamaguchi, R., & Gallagher, L. P. (2007). What makes professional development effective? Strategies that foster curriculum implementation. American Educational Research Journal, 44(4) 921–958. https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831207308221

Peters-Burton, E. E., Merz, S. A., Ramirez, E. M., & Saroughi, M. (2015). The effect of cognitive apprenticeship-based professional development on teacher self-efficacy of science teaching, motivation, knowledge calibration, and perceptions of inquiry-based teaching. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(6), 525–548.

Reiser, B. J. (2004). Scaffolding complex learning: The mechanisms of structuring and problematizing student work. The Journal of the Learning sciences, 13(3), 273–304.

Rushton, G. T., Lotter, C., & Singer, J. (2011). Chemistry teachers' emerging expertise in inquiry teaching: The effect of a professional development model on beliefs and practice. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 22(1), 23–52.

Santau, A. O., Secada, W., Maerten-Rivera, J., Cone, N., & Lee, O. (2010). US urban elementary teachers' knowledge and practices in teaching science to English language learners: Results from the first year of a professional development intervention. International Journal of Science Education, 32(15), 2007–2032.

Scher, L., & O'Reilly, F. (2009). Professional development for K-12 math and science teachers: What do we really know? Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness, 2(3), 209–249.

Schwab, J. (1962). The teaching of science as enquiry. In J. J. Schwab , & P. F. Brandwein , (Eds.), The teaching of science (pp. 1–103). New York: Simon and Schuster.

Seraphin, K. D., Harrison, G. M., Philippoff, J., Brandon, P. R., Nguyen, T. T. T., Lawton, B. E., & Vallin, L. M. (2017). Teaching aquatic science as inquiry through professional development: Teacher characteristics and student outcomes. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 54(9), 1219–1245.

Shea, N. A., Mouza, C., & Drewes, A. (2016). Climate change professional development: Design, implementation, and initial outcomes on teacher learning, practice, and student beliefs. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 27, 235–258.

Singer, J., Lotter, C., Feller, R., & Gates, H. (2011). Exploring a model of situated professional development: Impact on classroom practice. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 22(3), 203–227

van der Valk, T., & de Jong, O. (2009). Scaffolding science teachers in open-inquiry teaching. International Journal of Science Education, 31(6), 829–850

Yang, Y., Liu, X., & Gardella Jr, J. A. (2020). Effects of a professional development program on science teacher knowledge and practice, and student understanding of interdisciplinary science concepts. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 57(7), 1028–1057.

A Literature Review of Global Perspectives on the Professional Development of Culturally Responsive Science Teachers

Acquah, E. O. , & Szelei, N. (2020). The potential of modelling culturally responsive teaching: Pre-service teachers' learning experiences. Teaching in Higher Education, 25(2), 157–173.

Alvaré, B. T. (2015). 'Do they think we live in huts?' – Cultural essentialism and the challenges of facilitating professional development in cross-cultural settings. Ethnography and Education, 12(1), 33–48.

Asher, N. (2007). Made in the (multicultural) USA: Unpacking tensions of race, culture, gender, and sexuality in education. Educational Researcher, 36(2), 65–73.

Banilower, E. R., Smith, P. S., Malzahn, K. A., Plumley, C. L., Gordon, E. M., & Hayes, M. L. (2018). Report of the 2018 NSSME+. Chapel Hill, NC: Horizon Research, Inc.

Banks, J. A. (1991). Teaching multicultural literacy to teachers. Teaching Education, 4(1), 133–142. Bencze, L., & Carter, L. (2011). Globalizing students acting for the common good. Journal of Research in

Science Teaching, 48(6), 648-669.

Bottiani, J. H., Larson, K. E., Debnam, K. J., Bischoff, C. M., & Bradshaw, C. P. (2018). Promoting educators' use of culturally responsive practices: A systematic review of inservice interventions. Journal of Teacher Education, 69(4), 367–385.

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1994). Ecological models of human development. In International encyclopedia of education (Vol. 3, 2nd ed.). Oxford: Elsevier. Reprinted in: Gauvain, M., & Cole, M. (Eds.). (1993). Readings on the development of children (2nd ed., pp. 37–43). New York: Freeman.

Brown, B. A., Boda, P., Lemmi, C., & Monroe, X. (2019). Moving culturally relevant pedagogy from theory to practice: Exploring teachers' application of culturally relevant education in science and mathematics. Urban Education, 54(6), 775–803.

Brown, J. C. (2017). A metasynthesis of the complementarity of culturally responsive and inquiry-based science education in K-12 settings: Implications for advancing equitable science teaching and learning. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 54(9), 1143–1173.

Brown, J. C., & Crippen, K. J. (2016a). Designing for culturally responsive science education through professional development. International Journal of Science Education, 38(3), 470–492

Brown, J. C. , & Crippen, K. J. (2016b). The growing awareness inventory: Building capacity for culturally responsive science and mathematics with a structured observation protocol. School Science and Mathematics, 116(3), 127–138.

Brown, J. C., & Livstrom, I. C. (2020). Secondary science teachers' pedagogical design capacities for multicultural curriculum design. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 31(8) 821–840. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2020.1756588

Brown, J. C., Ring-Whalen, E. A., Roehrig, G. H., & Ellis, J. (2018). Advancing culturally responsive science education in secondary classrooms through an induction course. International Journal of Designs for Learning, 9(1), 14–33.

Charity Hudley, A. H., & Mallinson, C. (2017). "It's worth our time": A model of culturally and linguistically supportive professional development for K-12 STEM educators. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 12(3), 637–660.

Chinn, P. W. (2015). Place and culture-based professional development: Cross-hybrid learning and the construction of ecological mindfulness. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 10(1), 121–134.

Chinn, P. W., Businger, S., Lance, K., Ellinwood, J. K., Stone, J. K. I., Spencer, L., ... Rowland, S. K. (2014). Kahua A 'o – A learning foundation: Using Hawaiian language newspaper articles for Earth Science professional development. Journal of Geoscience Education, 62(2), 217–226.

Civitillo, S. , Juang, L. P. , Badra, M. , & Schachner, M. K. (2019). The interplay between culturally responsive teaching, cultural diversity beliefs, and self-reflection: A multiple case study. Teaching and Teacher Education, 77, 341–351.

European Union Commission (2017). Preparing teachers for diversity: The role of initial teacher education. Final report to Directorate General for Education, Youth, Sport, and Culture of the European Commission. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union. https://op.europa.eu/s/orks

Fakoyede, S. J., & Otulaja, F. S. (2020). Beads and beadwork as cultural artifacts used in mediating leaners' agentic constructs in science classrooms: A case for place-based learning. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 15, 193–210.

Gay, G. (2010). Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice. New York, NY; Teachers College Press.

George, J. M. (2013). 'you have to pack?' – Preparing for culturally relevant science teaching in the Caribbean. International Journal of Science Education, 35(12), 2114–2131.

Gonzalez, N., Moll, L. C., & Amanti, C. (Eds.) (2005). Funds of knowledge: Theorizing practices in households, communities and classrooms. New York, NY: Routledge.

Grimberg, B. I., & Gummer, E. (2013). Teaching science from cultural points of intersection. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 50(1), 12–32.

Hall, S. (1995). New cultures for old. In D. Massey & P. Jess (Eds.), A place in the world? Places, cultures and globalization (pp. 175–213). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Hayes, C., & Juárez, B. (2012). There is no culturally responsive teaching spoken here: A critical race perspective. Democracy and Education, 20(1), 1.

Kern, A. L., Honwad, S., & McLain, E. (2017). A culturally relevant teacher professional development for teaching climate change to Native American students. Journal of Education and Training Studies, 5(10), 1–17. Kotluk, N., & Kocakaya, S. (2018). Culturally Relevant/Responsive Education: What do teachers think in Turkey? Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies, 5(2), 98–117.

Ladson-Billings, G. (1995). Toward a theory of culturally relevant pedagogy. American Educational Research Journal, 32(3), 465–491.

Ladson-Billings, G. (2009). The dreamkeepers: Successful teachers of African American children (2nd ed.). San Francisco, CA: John Wiley & Sons.

Ladson-Billings, G. (2014). Culturally relevant pedagogy 2.0: Aka the remix. Harvard Educational Review, 84(1), 74–84.

Lewis, E. B., Baker, D. R., & Helding, B. A. (2015). Science teaching reform through professional development: Teachers' use of a scientific classroom discourse community model. Science Education, 99(5), 896–931.

Luft, J. A., & Hewson, P. W. (2014). Research on teacher professional development programs in science. In N. G. Lederman & S. K. Abell (Eds.), Handbook of research on science education (2nd ed., pp. 889–909). New York, NY: Routledge.

Mensah, F. M. (2013). Theoretically and practically speaking, what is needed in diversity and equity in science teaching and learning? Theory Into Practice, 52(1), 66–72.

Mezirow, J. (1990). How critical reflection triggers transformative learning. Fostering critical Reflection in Adulthood, 1(20), 1–6.

Moseley, C., Bilica, K., Wandless, A., & Gdovin, R. (2014). Exploring the relationship between teaching efficacy and cultural efficacy of novice science teachers in high-needs schools. School Science and Mathematics, 114(7), 315–325.

Mullis, I. V. S., Martin, M. O., Foy, P., Kelly, D. L., & Fishbein, B. (2020). TIMSS 2019 international results in mathematics and science. Retrieved from Boston College, TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center website: https://timssandpirls.bc.edu/timss2019/international-results/

Nam, Y., Roehrig, G., Kern, A., & Reynolds, B. (2013). Perceptions and practices of culturally relevant science teaching in American Indian classrooms. International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 11(1), 143–167.

National Research Council . (2012). A framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

Ngo, B. (2013). Culture consciousness among Hmong immigrant leaders: Beyond the dichotomy of cultural essentialism and cultural hybridity. American Educational Research Journal, 50(5), 958–990.

Nieto, S., & Bode, P. (2007). School reform and student learning: A multicultural perspective. Multicultural Education: Issues and Perspectives, 425–443.

OECD (2018). The lives of teachers in diverse classrooms. OECD Education Working Paper No. 198. OECD Publishing, Paris.

www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=EDU/WKP(2019)6&docLanguage=En Qureshi, A. M., & Demir, K. (2019). A comparative review of the literature on Pakistani science teachers' professional development. Science Education International, 30(3).

Rivera Maulucci, M. S., Brotman, J. S., & Fain, S. S. (2015). Fostering structurally transformative teacher agency through science professional development. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 52(4), 545–559. Sigman, M., Dublin, R., Anderson, A., Deans, N., Warburton, J., Matsumoto, G. I., ... Harcharek, J. (2014). Using large marine ecosystems and cultural responsiveness as the context for professional development of teachers and scientists in ocean sciences. Journal of Geoscience Education, 62(1), 25–40.

Sleeter, C., & Bernal, D. (2004). Handbook on research on Multicultural Education. Critical Pedagogy, Critical Race Theory, and Antiracist Education: Implications for Multicultural Education, 240–255.

Suriel, R. L., & Atwater, M. M. (2012). From the contribution to the action approach: White teachers' experiences influencing the development of multicultural science curricula. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 49(10), 1271–1295.

Sylva, T. , Chinn, P. , & Kinoshita, C. (2010). A culturally relevant agricultural and environmental course for K – 12 teachers in Hawaii. Journal of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Education, 39(1), 10–14.

Tolbert, S. (2015). "Because they want to teach you about their culture": Analyzing effective mentoring conversations between culturally responsible mentors and secondary science teachers of indigenous students in mainstream schools. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 52(10), 1325–1361.

Upadhyay, B., Atwood, E., & Tharu, B. (2020). Actions for sociopolitical consciousness in a high school science class: A case study of ninth grade class with predominantly indigenous students. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 57(7), 1119–1147.

Vaughn, M. S., & De Beer, J. (2020). Contextualising science and mathematics teacher professional development in rural areas. Perspectives in Education, 38(2), 213–226.

Villegas, A. M., & Lucas, T. (2002). Preparing culturally responsive teachers: Rethinking the curriculum. Journal of Teacher Education, 53(1), 20–32.

Wilson, S. M. (2013). Professional development for science teachers. Science, 340(6130), 310–313. Yoon, J., & Martin, L. A. (2019). Infusing culturally responsive science curriculum into early childhood teacher

preparation. Research in Science Education, 49(3), 697–710.

Yosso, T. J. (2005). Whose culture has capital? A critical race theory discussion of community cultural wealth. Race Ethnicity and Education, 8(1), 69–91.

Professional Learning Communities Across Science Teachers' Careers

Baltes, P. B. (1987). Theoretical propositions of life-span developmental psychology: On the dynamics between growth and decline. Developmental Psychology, 23(5) 611–626. https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.23.5.611 Ben Zion Raviv, G. (2019). The characteristics of physics teachers attending a professional learning community in terms of their collegial interactions, perceptions about physics teaching, and their teaching practice Bar-Ilan University]. Israel.

file:///D:/my%20doc/papers/Book_PLC_Vescio/25.11.20/RavivGuyBenZion_physics%20teachers%20in%20Israel.pdf

Blonder, R., & Waldman, R. (2021). The role of a WhatsApp group of a professional learning community of chemistry teachers in the development of their knowledge. In A. Information Resources Management (Ed.), Research anthology on facilitating new educational practices through communities of learning (pp. 820–843). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-7294-8.ch041

Bolam, R., McMahon, A., Stoll, L., Thomas, S., & Wallace, M. (2005). Creating and sustaining effective professional learning communities (No. RR637) http://effect.tka.hu/documents/OtherLibraryElements/Creating-and-Sustaining-Effective-Professional-Learning-Communities-Extracto.pdf

Clarke, A., & Hollingsworth, H. (2002). Elaborating a model of teacher professional growth. Teaching and Teacher Education, 18 947–967. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X(02)00053-7

Cochran-Smith, M., & Lytle, S. (2009). Inquiry as stance: Practitioner research for the next generation. New York, NY: Teacher's College Press.

Creemers, B. , Kyriakides, L. , & Antoniou, P. (2013). Teacher professional development for improving quality of teaching. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.

Day, C. (2012). New lives of teachers. Teacher Education Quarterly, 39(1) 7–26. www.istor.org/stable/23479560

Day, C., & Gu, Q. (2007). Variations in the conditions for teachers' professional learning and development: Sustaining commitment and effectiveness over a career. Oxford Review of Education, 33(4) 423–443. https://doi.org/10.1080/03054980701450746

DuFour, R. (2004). What is a 'professional learning community'? Educational Leadership, 61(8), 6–11. DuFour, R., & Eaker, R. (1998). Professional learning communities at work: Best practices for enhancing student achievement. Bloomington, IN: National Educational Service.

Eshchar-Netz, L., & Vedder-Weiss, D. (2021). Teacher learning in communities of practice: The affordances of co-planning for novice and veteran teachers' learning. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 58(3) 366–391. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21663

Eylon, B.-S., Scherz, Z., & Bagno, E. (2020). Professional learning communities of science teachers: Theoretical and practical perspectives. In Y. Ben-David Kolikant, D. Martinovic, & M. Milner-Bolotin (Eds.), STEM teachers and teaching in the digital era: Professional expectations and advancement in the 21st century schools (pp. 65–89). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-29396-3_5 Feiman-Namser, S., Carver, C., Schwille, S., & Yusko, B. (1999). Beyond support: Taking new teachers seriously as learners. A better beginning: Supporting and mentoring new teachers. In M. Scherer (Ed.), A better beginning: Supporting and mentoring new teachers. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum.

Fessler, R. (1995). Dynamics of teacher career stages. In T. Guskey & M. Huberman (Eds.), Professional development in education: New paradigms and practices (pp. 171–192). New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

Fresko, B., & Nasser-Abu Alhija, F. (2015). Induction seminars as professional learning communities for beginning teachers. Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education, 43(1) 36–48. https://doi.org/10.1080/1359866X.2014.928267

Hadar, L. L., & Brody, D. L. (2013). The interaction between group processes and personal professional trajectories in a professional development community for teacher educators. Journal of Teacher Education, 64(2) 145–161. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487112466898

Hoy, W. K., & Tschannen-Moran, M. (1999). Five faces of trust: An empirical confirmation in urban elementary schools. Journal of School leadership, 9(3) 184–208. https://doi.org/10.1177/105268469900900301 Huberman, M. (1989). The professional life cycle of teachers. Teachers College Record, 91(1), 31–57. Huberman, M. (1993). The lives of teachers. London: Cassell. Jones, M. G., Gardner, G. E., Robertson, L., & Robert, S. (2013). Science Professional Learning Communities: Beyond a singular view of teacher professional development. International Journal of Science Education, 35(10) 1756–1774. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2013.791957

Lambson, D. (2010). Novice teachers learning through participation in a teacher study group. Teaching and Teacher Education, 26(8) 1660–1668. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2010.06.017

Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation. Cambridge University Press. www.amazon.com/gp/product/0521423740?ie=UTF8&tag=jrtvre-

20&linkCode=as2&camp=1789&creative=9325&creativeASIN=0521423740

Leite, L. (2006). Prospective physical sciences teachers' willingness to engage in learning communities. European Journal of Teacher Education, 29(1) 3–22. https://doi.org/10.1080/02619760500478589

Levy, S., Bagno, E., Berger, H., & Eylon, B.-S. (2020). Motivators, contributors, and inhibitors to physics teacher-leaders' professional development in a program of professional learning communities. In Y. Ben-David Kolikant, D. Martinovic, & M. Milner-Bolotin (Eds.), STEM teachers and teaching in the digital era: Professional expectations and advancement in the 21st century schools (pp. 159–184). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-29396-3 9

Liu, Y. (2013). The social organisation of talk-in-interaction at work in a language teacher professional community. Learning, Culture and Social Interaction, 2(3) 195–207.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lcsi.2013.06.001

Richter, D., Kunter, M., Klusmann, U., Lüdtke, O., & Baumert, J. (2011). Professional development across the teaching career: Teachers' uptake of formal and informal learning opportunities. Teaching and Teacher Education, 27(1) 116–126. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2010.07.008

Rinke, C. R. (2009). Exploring the generation gap in urban schools: Generational perspectives in professional learning communities. Education and Urban Society, 42(1) 3–24. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013124509342699 Rolls, S. , & Plauborg, H. (2009). Teachers' career trajectories: An examination of research. In B. M., B. U., P. H., & R. S. (Eds.), Teachers' career trajectories and work lives. Professional learning and development in schools and higher education (Vol. 3). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2358-2_2

Sikes, P. J. (1985). The life cycle of the teacher. In S. Ball & I. Goodson (Eds.), Teachers' lives and careers (pp. 27–60). Lewes: Falmer.

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. Journal of Business Research, 104 333–339. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039

Tammets, K. , Pata, K. , & Eisenschmidt, E. (2019). Novice teachers' learning and knowledge building during the induction programme. European Journal of Teacher Education, 42(1) 36–51.

https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2018.1523389

Vescio, V., & Adams, A. (2015). Learning in a Professional Learning Community: The challenge evolves. In E. Hargreaves & D. Scott (Eds.), The SAGE handbook of learning (pp. 274–284). London: SAGE Publications. Vescio, V., Ross, D., & Adams, A. (2008). A review of research on the impact of professional learning communities on teaching practice and student learning. Teaching and Teacher Education, 24(1) 80–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2007.01.004

Waldman, R. (2020). Development aspects of the professional learning community of chemistry teachers (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Weizmann Institute of Science.

Waldman, R., & Blonder, R. (2020). A sense of community in a professional learning community of chemistry teachers: A study of an online platform for group communication. In Y. Ben-David Kolikant, D. Martinovic, & M. Milner-Bolotin (Eds.), STEM teachers and teaching in the digital era: Professional expectations and advancement in the 21st Century schools (pp. 111–139). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-29396-3

Watson, C. (2014). Effective professional learning communities? The possibilities for teachers as agents of change in schools. British Educational Research Journal, 40(1) 18–29.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.3025

Zonoubi, R., Eslami Rasekh, A., & Tavakoli, M. (2017). EFL teacher self-efficacy development in professional learning communities. System, 66 1–12. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2017.03.003

Digital Technologies and Professional Learning of Science Teachers

Aguilar, D., & Pifarre Turmo, M. (2019). Promoting social creativity in science education with digital technology to overcome inequalities: A scoping review. Frontiers in Psychology, 10.

www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01474/full. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01474 Angeli, C., & Valanides, N. (2009). Epistemological and methodological issues for the conceptualization, development, and assessment of ICT-TPCK: Advances in technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPCK). Computers & Education, 52(1) 154–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2008.07.006 Baker, J. P., Goodboy, A. K., Bowman, N. D., & Wright, A. A. (2018). Does teaching with PowerPoint increase students' learning? A meta-analysis, Computers & Education, 126 376–387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.08.003.

Boschman, F., McKenney, S., & Voogt, J. (2015). Exploring teachers' use of TPACK in design talk: The collaborative design of technology-rich early literacy activities. Computers & Education, 82 250–262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.11.010

Chang, C., & Tsai, C. (2005). The interplay between different forms of CAI and students' preferences of learning environment in the secondary science class. Science Education, 89(5) 707–724. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20072.

Cheah, Y. H., Chai, C. S., & Toh, Y. (2019). Traversing the context of professional learning communities: Development and implementation of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge of a primary science teacher. Research in Science & Technological Education, 37(2) 147–167.

https://doi.org/10.1080/02635143.2018.1504765

Chen, Y-H., Jang, S-J., & Chen P-J. (2015). Using wikis and collaborative learning for science teachers' professional development. Journal of Computer-Assisted Learning, 31 330–344. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12095.

Clarke, D., & Hollingsworth, H. (2002). Elaborating a model of teacher professional growth. Teaching and Teacher Education, 18(8) 947–967. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X(02)00053-7

Depaepe, F., Verschaffel, L., & Kelchtermans, G. (2013). Pedagogical content knowledge: A systematic review of the way in which the concept has pervaded mathematics educational research. Teaching and Teacher Education, 34 12–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2013.03.001

De Rossi, M. , & Angeli, C. (2018). Editorial. Teacher education for effective technology integration. Italian Journal of Educational Technology, 26(1) 3–6. https://doi.org/10.17471/2499-4324/1055

Evens, M., Elen, J., Larmuseau, C., & Depaepe, F. (2018). Promoting the development of teacher professional knowledge: Integrating content and pedagogy in teacher education. Teaching and Teacher Education, 75 244–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2018.07.001

Fernandes, G. W. R., Rodrigues, A. M., & Ferreira, C. A. (2020). Professional development and use of digital technologies by science teachers: A review of theoretical frameworks. Research in Science Education, 50(2) 673–708. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-018-9707-x

Gabby, S., Avargil, S., Herscovitz, O., & Dori, Y. J. (2017). The case of middle and high school chemistry teachers implementing technology: Using the concerns-based adoption model to assess change processes. Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 18(1) 214–232. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6RP00193A

Harris, J. (2016). In-service teachers' TPACK development: Trends, models, and trajectories. In M. C. Herring, M. J. Koehler, & P. Mishra (Eds.), Handbook of technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK) for educators (2nd ed., pp. 191–205). London, UK: Routledge.

Harris, J. B., & Hofer, M. J. (2009). Instructional planning activity types as vehicles for curriculum-based TPACK development. In C. D. Maddux (Ed.). Research highlights in technology and teacher education 2009 (pp. 99–108). Chesapeake, VA: Society for Information Technology in Teacher Education (SITE). Harris, J. B., & Hofer, M. J. (2017). "TPACK Stories": Schools and school districts repurposing a theoretical construct for technology-related professional development. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 49(1–2) 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2017.1295408

Harris, J. B., Hofer, M., Schmidt, D. A., Blanchard, M. R., Young, C. Y., Grandgenett, N. F., & Van Olphen, M. (2010). "Grounded" technology integration: Instructional planning using curriculum-based activity type taxonomies. Journal of Technology and Teacher Education, 18(4) 573–605. www.learntechlib.org/primary/p/30418/

Hew, K. F., & Brush, T. (2007). Integrating technology into K-12 teaching and learning: Current knowledge gaps and recommendations for future research. Education Tech Research Dev 55, 223–252. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-006-9022-5

Higgins, T. E., & Spitulnik, M. W. (2008). Supporting teachers' use of technology in science instruction through professional development: A literature review. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 17, 511–521. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-008-9118-2

Jaipal-Jamani, K., & Figg, C. (2015). A case study of a TPACK-based approach to teacher professional development: Teaching science with blogs. Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 15(2) 161–200. https://citejournal.org/volume-15/issue-2-15/science/a-case-study-of-a-tpack-based-approach-to-teacher-professional-developmentteaching-science-with-blogs/

Jang, S. J. (2010). Integrating the interactive whiteboard and peer coaching to develop the TPACK of secondary science teachers. Computers & Education, 55(4) 1744–1751.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2010.07.020

Jang, S.-J., & Tsai, M.-F. (2012). Exploring the TPACK of Taiwanese elementary mathematics and science teachers with respect to use of interactive whiteboards. Computers & Education, 59(2) 327–338. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2012.02.003

Jang, S.-J., & Tsai, M.-F. (2013). Exploring the TPACK of Taiwanese secondary school science teachers using a new contextualized TPACK model. Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 29(4) 566–580. https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.282

Jimoyiannis, A. (2010). Designing and implementing an integrated technological pedagogical science knowledge framework for science teachers professional development. Computers & Education, 55 1259–1269.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2010.05.02

Kafyulilo, A. C., Fisser, P., & Voogt, J. (2015). Supporting teachers learning through the collaborative design of technology-enhanced science lessons. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(8) 673–694. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-015-9444-1

Keller, J. B., Bonk, C. J., & Hew, K. (2005). The TICKIT to teacher learning: Designing professional development according to situative principles. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 32(4) 329–340. https://doi.org/10.2190/68XG-THRV-HT4D-ECA4

Koehler, M. J., & Mishra, P. (2008). Introducing TPCK. In The AACTE Committee on Innovation and Technology (Ed.), Handbook of technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPCK) for educators (pp. 3–29). New York, NY: Routledge.

Koehler, M. J., Mishra, P., Kereluik, K., Shin, T. S., & Graham, C. R. (2014). The technological pedagogical content knowledge framework. In J. M. Spector , M. D. Merill , J. Elen , & M. J. Bishop (Eds.), Handbook of research on educational communications and technology (4th Ed., pp. 101–111). New York, NY: Springer. Koehler, M. J., Mishra, P. , & Yahya, K. (2007). Tracing the development of teacher knowledge in a design seminar: Integrating content, pedagogy, & technology. Computers and Education, 49(3) 740–762. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2005.11.012

Lin, T.-C., Tsai, C.-C., Chai, C. S., & Lee, M.-H. (2013). Identifying science teachers' perceptions of technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge (TPACK). Journal of Science and Technology, 22(3) 325–336. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-012-9396-6

Linn, M. C. , Davis, E. A. , & Bell, P. (2004). Inquiry and technology. In M. C. Linn , E. Davis , & P. Bell (Eds.), Internet environments for science education (pp. 3–28). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

McFarlane, A., & Sakellariou, S. (2002). The role of ICT in science education. Cambridge Journal of Education, 32(2) 219–232. https://doi.org/10.1080/03057640220147568

Messina, L., & Tabone, S. (2012). Integrating technologies into instructional practices focusing on teacher knowledge. Procedia- Social and Behavioral Sciences, 46 1015–1027.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.05.241

Mishra, P. , & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. Teachers College Record, 108(6) 1017–1054. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9620.2006.00684.x Nielsen, W. , Miller, K. A. , & Hoban, G. (2015). Science teachers' response to the digital education revolution. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 24(4) 417–431. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-014-9527-3 Niess, M. L. , van Zee, E. H. , & Gillow-Wiles, H. (2010). Knowledge growth in teaching mathematics/science with spreadsheets. Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education, 27(2) 42–52. https://doi.org/10.1080/21532974.2010.10784657

Ng, W., & Fergusson, J. (2019). Technology-enhanced science partnership initiative: Impact on secondary science teachers. Research in Science Education, 49 219–242. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-017-9619-1 Pareto, L., & Willermark, S. (2019). TPACK in situ: A design-based approach supporting professional development in practice. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 57(5) 1186–1226. https://doi.org/10.1177/0735633118783180

Rodrigues, S. (2006). Pedagogic practice integrating primary science and elearning: The need for relevance, recognition, resource, reflection, readiness and risk. Technology, Pedagogy and Education, 15(2) 175–189. https://doi.org/10.1080/14759390600769193

Shulman, L. S. (1986). Those who understand: Knowledge growth in teaching. Educational Researcher, 15(2) 4–14. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X015002004

Singer, J., Marx, R. W., Krajcik, J. S., Clay-Chambers, J. (2000). Constructing extended inquiry projects: Curriculum materials for science education reform. Educational Psychologist, 35(3) 165–178. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15326985EP3503_3

So, H.-J. , & Kim, B. (2009). Learning about problem-based learning: Student teachers integrating technology, pedagogy and content knowledge. Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 25(1) 101–116. https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.1183

Tan, S. C., & Seah, L. H. (2011). Exploring relationship between students' questioning behaviours and inquiry task in an online forum through analysis of ideational function of questions. Computers & Education, 57(2) 1675–1685. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.03.007

Trautmann, N. M., & MaKinster, J. G. (2010). Flexibly adaptive professional development in support of teaching science with geospatial technology. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 21 351–370. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-009-9181-4

Valanides, N., & Angeli, C. (2008). Professional development for computer-enhanced learning: A case study with science teachers. Research in Science and Technological Education, 26(1) 3–12. https://doi.org/10.1080/02635140701847397

Voogt, J., Fisser, P., Pareja Roblin, N., Tondeur, J., & van Braak, J. (2013). Technological pedagogical content knowledge – A review of the literature. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 29(2) 109–121. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2012.00487.x

Windschitl, M., Thompson, J., Braaten, M., & Stroupe, D. (2012). Proposing a core set of instructional practices and tools for teachers of science. Science Education, 96(5) 878–903. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21027
Zervas, P., Sergis, S., Sampson, D. G., & Fyskilis, S. (2015). Towards competence-based learning design driven remote and virtual labs recommendations for science teachers. Technology, Knowledge and Learning, 20(2) 185–199. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-015-9256-6

Science Teacher Professional Knowledge and Its Relationship to High-Quality Science Instruction

AAAS (1993). Benchmarks for science literacy: A project 2061 report. Oxford University Press. American Association for the Advancement of Science [AAAS] . (1990). Science for all Americans. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Amin, T. G., Smith, C. L., & Wiser, M. (2014). Student conceptions and conceptual change. In N. G. Lederman & S. K. Abell (Eds.), Handbook of research on science education volume II (pp. 57–81). New York and Abingdon, UK: Routledge.

Brophy, J. E. , & Good, T. L. (1986). Teacher behaviour and student achievement. In M. C. Wittrock (Ed.), Handbook of research on teaching (pp. 328–375). New York and London: Macmillan.

Carlson, J., Daehler, K. R., Alonzo, A. C., Barendsen, E., Berry, A., Borowski, A., ... Wilson, C. D. (2019). The refined consensus model of pedagogical content knowledge in science education. In A. Hume, R. Cooper, & A. Borowski (Eds.), Repositioning pedagogical content knowledge in teachers' knowledge for teaching science (pp. 77–94). New York: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-5898-2_2

Carroll, J. (1963). A model of school learning. Teachers College Record, 64, 723-733.

Chan, K. K. H., & Hume, A. (2019). Towards a consensus model: Literature review of how science teachers' pedagogical content knowledge is investigated in empirical studies. In A. Hume, R. Cooper, & A. Borowski (Eds.), Repositioning pedagogical content knowledge in teachers' knowledge for teaching science (pp. 3–76). New York: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-5898-2_1

Chan, K. K. H. , & Yung, B. H. W. (2015). On-site pedagogical content knowledge development. International Journal of Science Education, 37(8) 1246–1278. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2015.1033777

Cochran, K. F. , DeRuiter, J. A. , & King, R. A. (1993). Pedagogical content knowing: An integrative model for teacher preparation. Journal of Teacher Education, 44(4) 263–272.

https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487193044004004

Coe, R., Aloisi, C., Higgin, S., & Major, L. E. (2014). What makes great teaching? A review of the underpinning research. Sutton Trust. www.suttontrust.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/What-Makes-Great-Teaching-REPORT.pdf

Crippen, K. J. (2012). Argument as professional development: Impacting teacher knowledge and beliefs about science. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 23(8) 847–866. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-012-9282-3 Cutucache, C. E., Leas, H. D., Grandgenett, N. F., Nelson, K. L., Rodie, S., Shuster, R., ... Tapprich, W. E. (2017). Genuine faculty-mentored research experiences for in-service science teachers: Increases in science knowledge, perception, and confidence levels. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 28(8) 724–744. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2017.1415615

Department of Education and Science (1979). Aspects of secondary education in England. Department of Education and Science.

Driver, R. (1983). The pupil as scientist. Milton Keynes: Open University Press.

Fischer, H. E., Borowski, A., & Tepner, O. (2012). Professional knowledge of science teachers. In B. J. Fraser, K. Tobin, & C. J. McRobbie (Eds.), Second international handbook of science education (pp. 435–448). New York: Springer.

Förtsch, C., Werner, S., von Kotzebue, L., & Neuhaus, B. J. (2016). Effects of biology teachers' professional knowledge and cognitive activation on students' achievement. International Journal of Science Education, 38(17) 2642–2666. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2016.1257170

Gess-Newsome, J. (2015). A model of teacher professional knowledge and skill including PCK: Results of the thinking from the PCK summit. In A. Berry , P. Friedrichsen , & J. Loughran (Eds.), Re-examining pedagogical content knowledge in science education (pp. 28–42). New York and Abingdon, UK: Routledge.

Gess-Newsome, J., Taylor, J. A., Carlson, J., Gardner, A. L., Wilson, C. D., & Stuhlsatz, M. A. M. (2019). Teacher pedagogical content knowledge, practice, and student achievement. International Journal of Science Education, 41(7) 944–963. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2016.1265158

Guerriero, S. (2017). Pedagogical knowledge and the changing nature of the teaching profession. Paris: OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264270695-en

Gunckel, K. L., Covitt, B. A., & Salinas, I. (2018). Learning progressions as tools for supporting teacher content knowledge and pedagogical content knowledge about water in environmental systems. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 55(9) 1339–1362. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21454

Heller, J. I., Daehler, K. R., Wong, N., Shinohara, M., & Miratrix, L. W. (2012). Differential effects of three professional development models on teacher knowledge and student achievement in elementary science. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 49(3) 333–362. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21004

Kanter, D. E., & Konstantopoulos, S. (2010). The impact of a project-based science curriculum on minority student achievement, attitudes, and careers: The effects of teacher content and pedagogical content knowledge and inquiry-based practices. Science Education, 94(5) 855–887. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20391 Keller, M. M., Neumann, K., & Fischer, H. E. (2017). The impact of physics teachers' pedagogical content knowledge and motivation on students' achievement and interest. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 54(5) 586–614. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21378

Kind, V., & Chan, K. K. H. (2019). Resolving the amalgam: Connecting pedagogical content knowledge, content knowledge and pedagogical knowledge. International Journal of Science Education, 41(7) 964–978. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2019.1584931

Klahr, D., & Dunbar, K. (1988). Dual space search during scientific reasoning. Cognitive Science, 12(1) 1–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/0364-0213(88)90007-9

Kloser, M. (2014). Identifying a core set of science teaching practices: A Delphi expert panel approach. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 51(9) 1185–1217. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21171

Liepertz, S. , & Borowski, A. (2019). Testing the consensus model: Relationships among physics teachers' professional knowledge, interconnectedness of content structure and student achievement. International Journal of Science Education, 41(7) 890–910. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2018.1478165

Magnusson, S., Krajcik, J., & Borko, H. (1999). Nature, sources, and development of pedagogical content knowledge for science teaching. In J. Gess-Newsome & N. G. Lederman (Eds.), Examining pedagogical content knowledge: The construct and its implications for science education (pp. 95–132). Kluwer Academic. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-47217-1_4

Mahler, D., Großschedl, J., & Harms, U. (2017). Using doubly latent multilevel analysis to elucidate relationships between science teachers' professional knowledge and students' performance. International Journal of Science Education, 39(2) 213–237. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2016.1276641

Mavhunga, E., & Rollnick, M. (2013). Improving PCK of chemical equilibrium in pre-service teachers. African Journal of Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 17(1–2) 113–125. https://doi.org/10.1080/10288457.2013.828406

Miranda, R. J., & Damico, J. B. (2013). Science teachers' beliefs about the influence of their summer research experiences on their pedagogical practices. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(8) 1241–1261. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-012-9331-y

Ofsted, Office for Standards in Education (2013). Maintaining curiosity. Ofsted.

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/379164/Main taining_20curiosity_20a_20survey_20into_20science_20education_20in_20schools.pdf

Osborne, J. (2014). Scientific practices and inquiry in the science classroom. In N. G. Lederman & S. K. Abell (Eds.), Handbook of research on science education volume II (pp. 579–599). London: Routledge.

Osborne, R., & Freyberg, P. (1985). Learning in science: The implications of children's science. New Zealand: Pearson Education.

Park, S., & Oliver, J. S. (2008). Revisiting the conceptualisation of pedagogical content knowledge (PCK): PCK as a conceptual tool to understand teachers as professionals. Research in Science Education, 38(3) 261–284. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-007-9049-6

Price, A. C., & Chiu, A. (2018). An experimental study of a museum-based, science PD programme's impact on teachers and their students. International Journal of Science Education, 40(9) 941–960. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2018.1457816

Rollnick, M., Bennett, J., Rhemtula, M., Dharsey, N., & Ndlovu, T. (2008). The place of subject matter knowledge in pedagogical content knowledge: A case study of South African teachers teaching the amount of substance and chemical equilibrium. International Journal of Science Education, 30(10) 1365–1387. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690802187025

Rosenshine, B. (1979). Content, time and direct instruction. In P. Peterson & H. Walberg (Eds.), Research on teaching concepts, findings and implications (pp. 28–56). San Pablo: McCutchan Publishing Corporation. Roth, K. J., Garnier, H. E., Chen, C., Lemmens, M., Schwille, K., & Wickler, N. I. Z. (2011). Videobased lesson analysis: Effective science PD for teacher and student learning. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 48(2) 117–148. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20408

Sadler, P. M., Sonnert, G., Coyle, H. P., Cook-Smith, N., & Miller, J. L. (2013). The influence of teachers' knowledge on student learning in middle school physical science classrooms. American Educational Research Journal, 50(5) 1020–1049. https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831213477680

Sawada, D., Piburn, M. D., Judson, E., Turley, J., Falconer, K., Benford, R., & Bloom, I. (2002). Measuring reform practices in science and mathematics classrooms: The Reformed Teaching Observation Protocol. School Science and Mathematics, 102(6) 245–253. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.2002.tb17883.x

Schwab, J. J. (1962). The teaching of science as enquiry. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. Seah, L. H., & Chan, K. K. H. (2021). A case study of a science teacher's knowledge of students in relation to addressing the language demands of science. International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 19(2) 267–287. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-019-10049-6

Shulman, L. S. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: Foundations of the new reform. Harvard Educational Review, 57(1) 1–22. https://doi.org/10.17763/haer.57.1.j463w79r56455411

Tay, S. L., & Yeo, J. (2018). Analysis of a physics teacher's pedagogical 'micro-actions' that support 17-yearolds' learning of free body diagrams via a modelling approach. International Journal of Science Education, 40(2) 109–138. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2017.1401752

United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (2015). Sustainable development goal 4. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs Sustainable Development. https://sdgs.un.org/goals/goal4 Wang, M. C., Haertel, G. D., & Walberg, H. J. (1990). What influences learning? A content analysis of review literature. Journal of Educational Research, 84(1) 30–43. https://doi.org/10.1080/00220671.1990.10885988

Indigenous Knowledge in Science Education

Abd-El-Khalick, F. , Bell, R. L. , & Lederman, N. G. (1998). The nature of science and instructional practice. Science Education, 82(4), 417–437.

Adams, J. D., Avraamidou, L., Bayram-Jacobs, D., Boujaoude, S. B., Bryan, L., Christodoulou, A., ... Zembal-Saul, C. (2018). The role of science education in a changing world. NIAS Lorentz Center. www.lorentzcenter.nl/lc/web/2018/960/extra.php3?wsid=960&venue=Snellius

Aikenhead, G. S., & Elliot, D. (2010). An emerging decolonizing science education in Canada. Canadian Journal of Science, Mathematics and Technology Education, 10(4), 321–338.

Aktar, W., Sengupta, D., & Chowdhury, A. (2009). Impact of pesticides use in agriculture: Their benefits and hazards. Interdisciplinary Toxicology, 2(1), 1–12.

Anderhag, P., Wickman, P. O., Bergqvist, K., Jakobson, B., Hamza, K., & Saljö, R. (2016). Why do secondary school students lose their interest in science? Or does it never emerge? A possible and overlooked explanation. Science Education, 100(5) 791–813. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21231

Battiste, M. (2002). IK and pedagogy in First Nations education: A literature review with recommendations. Eskasoni: Apamuwek Institute.

Bochu, W., Yoshikoshi, A., & Sakanishi, A. (1998). Carrot cell growth response in a stimulated ultrasonic environment. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 12(2) 89–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-7765(98)00061-7

Burke, P. J., & Whitty, G. (2018). Equity issues in teaching and teacher education. Peabody Journal of Education, 93(3) 272–284. https://doi.org/10.1080/0161956X.2018.1449800

Chahine, I. C. (2013). The impact of using multiple modalities on students' acquisition of fractional knowledge: An international study in embodied mathematics across semiotic cultures. The Journal of Mathematical Behaviour, 32(3) 434–449. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2013.04.004

Chowdhury, A. R., & Gupta, A. (2015). Effect of music on plants – an overview. International Journal of Integrative Sciences, Innovation and Technology, 4(6), 30–34.

Chowdhury, E. K., Lim, H., & Bae, H. (2014). Update on the effects of sound waves on plants. Research in Plant Disease, 20, 1–7.

Cronje, A., De Beer, J., & Ankiewicz, P. (2015). The development and use of an instrument to investigate science teachers' views on IK. African Journal of Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 19(3), 319–332.

Davis, S. H., & Ebbe, K. (Eds.). (1995). Traditional knowledge and sustainable development. Proceedings of the World Bank Conference on Traditional Knowledge and Sustainable Development, 27–28 September 1993, Washington, DC, United States.

De Beer, J. (2012). Investigating the influence of karrikins on seed germination. The American Biology Teacher, 74(5) 324–329. https://doi.org/10.1525/abt.2012.74.5.7

De Beer, J. (2019a). Glocalisation: The role of IK in the global village. In J. de Beer (Ed.), The decolonization of the curriculum project: The affordances of IK for self-directed learning (pp. 1–23). Cape Town: AOSIS. De Beer, J. (2019b, October 21–25). IK systems: Its affordances and restraints in school science. Paper presentation. Proceedings of the UNISA ISTE Conference on Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, Mpumalanga, South Africa.

De Beer, J. (2019c). The sound of music and its effect on biological systems: Project-based learning tapping into adolescent's interests. The American Biology Teacher, 81(7) 507–512. https://doi.org/10.1525/abt.2019.81.7.507

De Beer, J. (2020). An ethnobotanical and anthropological study of the medicinal and magic plants of Southern Bushmanland (Unpublished doctoral thesis). University of Johannesburg.

De Beer, J., & Dudu, W. (2021). Border-crossings of IK in science teacher education. In S. Gravett & E. Henning (Eds.), Glimpses into primary school teacher education in South Africa (pp. 175–188). London: Routledge.

De Beer, J., & Mentz, E. (2019). The affordances of IK in decolonizing the curriculum, within a self-directed learning framework. In J. de Beer (Ed.), The decolonization of the curriculum project: The affordances of IK for self-directed learning (pp. 87–116). Cape Town: AOSIS.

De Beer, J. , & Petersen, N. (2017). A laboratory investigation on the role of ethylene in seed germination from an IK perspective. The American Biology Teacher, 79(1), 55–61.

De Beer, J. , Petersen, N. , & Brits, S. (2018). The use of puppetry and drama in the biology classroom. The American Biology Teacher, 80(3), 175–181.

De Beer, J., & Van Wyk, B. E. (2011). An ethnobotanical survey of the Agter-Hantam, Northern Cape Province, South Africa. South African Journal of Botany, 77(2011), 741–754.

De Beer, J., & Van Wyk, B-E. (2019). Arguing for the inclusion of IK in the STEM curriculum: Possibilities and challenges. In J. de Beer (Ed.), The decolonization of the curriculum project: The affordances of IK for self-directed learning (pp. 117–142). Cape Town: AOSIS.

De Beer, J. , & Whitlock, E. (2009). IK in the Life Sciences classroom: Put on your De Bono hats. The American Biology Teacher, 71(4), 209–216.

Dimaporo, I. B., & Fernandez, P. G. (2007). Indigenous seed, knowledge and rice production practices of the Maranaos in Mapantao, Lumba-Bayabao, Lanao Del Sur. Philippine Journal of Crop Science, 32(2), 77–92. Eilks, I., & Hofstein, A. (2015). Relevant chemistry education. Rotterdam, The Netherlands: Sense.

Flematti, G. R., Goddard-Borger, E. D., Merritt, D. J., Ghisalberti, E. L., Dixon, K. W., & Trengrove, R. D. (2007). Preparation of 2H-furo [2,3-c] pyran-2-one derivatives and evaluation of their germination-promoting activity. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 55(6) 2189–2194. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0633241 Garroutte, E. M. (1999). American Indian science education: The second test. American Indian Culture and Research Journal, 23, 91–114.

George, J. M. (1999). Conceptualised science teaching in developing countries: Possibilities and dilemmas. Paper presentation. Proceedings of the 7th SAARDSE conference, Harare, Zimbabwe.

Gilbert, J. K. (2006). On the nature of 'context' in chemical education. International Journal of Science Education, 28(9), 957–976.

Handayani, R. D., Wilujeng, I., & Prasetyo, Z. (2018). Elaborating IK in the science curriculum for the cultural sustainability. Journal of Teacher Education for Sustainability, 20(2), 74–88.

Hardy-Vallee, B., & Payette, N. (2008). Beyond the brain: Embodied, situated and distributed cognition. Newcastle upon Tyne, UK: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.

Jegede, O. J. , & Aikenhead, G. S. (1999). Transcending cultural borders: Implications for science teaching. Journal for Science & Technology Education, 17(1), 45–66.

Jones, M. E., & Hunter, J. (2003, July 11–14). Enshrining IK in the natural sciences curriculum: Issues arising from the Maori case. Paper presentation. RCSD Conference, Chang Mai, Thailand.

Le Grange, L. (2004). Multicultural science in South Africa's national curriculum statement. African Educational Review, 1(2), 204–219.

Le Grange, L. (2007). Integrating western and IK systems: The basis for effective science education in South Africa? International Review of Education, 53, 577–591.

Le Grange, L. (2019). Different voices on decolonizing the curriculum. In J. de Beer (Ed.), The decolonization of the curriculum project: The affordances of IK for self-directed learning (pp. 25–47). Cape Town: AOSIS.

Mavuru, L., & Ramnarain, U. (2017). Teachers' knowledge and views on the use of learners' socio-cultural background in teaching natural sciences in Grade 9 township classes. African Journal of Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 21(2) 176–186.

https://doi.org/10.1080/18117295.2017.1327239

Mentz, E., & De Beer, J. (2019). The use of Cultural-Historical Activity Theory in researching the affordances of IK for self-directed learning. In J. de Beer (Ed.), The decolonization of the curriculum project: The affordances of IK for self-directed learning (pp. 49–86). Cape Town: AOSIS.

Mothwa, M. M. (2011). Teachers' experiences of incorporating IK in the life sciences classroom (Unpublished master's thesis). University of Johannesburg.

Nxumalo, S. A., & Mncube, D. W. (2019). Using indigenous games and knowledge to decolonise the school curriculum: Ubuntu perspectives. Perspectives in Education, 36(2), 103–118.

Ogunniyi, M. B. (2004). The challenge of preparing and equipping science teachers in higher education with knowledge and skills to integrate science and IK systems for learners. South African Journal of Higher Education, 18(3), 289–304.

Ogunniyi, M. B. (2007). Teachers' stances and practical arguments regarding a science-IK curriculum, paper 1. International Journal of Science Education, 29(8), 963–985.

Ogunniyi, M. B. (2011). The context of training teachers to implement socially relevant science education in Africa. African Journal of Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 15(3), 98–121. Ogunniyi, M. B., & Ogawa, M. (2008). The prospects and challenges of training South African and Japanese educators to enact an indigenized science curriculum. SAJHE, 22(1), 175–190.

Oluka, S. (2017). African condition: Transforming STEM education. Mosenodi Journal, 20(1), 57–66. Onwu, G. , & Mosimege, M. (2004). IK systems and science and technology education: A dialogue. African Journal for Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 8(1), 1–12.

Patel, F., & Lynch, H. (2013). Glocalization as an alternative to internationalization in higher education: Embedding positive glocal learning perspectives. International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education, 8(1), 1–12. Petersen, N., Golightly, A., & Dudu, W. T. (2019). Engaging pedagogies to facilitate the border-crossing between the natural sciences and IK: Implications for science teacher education. In J. de Beer (Ed.), The decolonization of the curriculum project: The affordances of IK for self-directed learning (pp. 143–180). Cape Town: AOSIS.

Ramnarain, U. (2021). Exploring embodied, situated, and distributed cognition. In I. C. Chahine & J. de Beer (Eds.), Evidence-based inquiries in ethno-stem research: Investigations in knowledge systems across disciplines and transcultural settings (pp. 309–321). Charlotte: Information Age Publishing.

Rankoana, S. A. (2017). The use of IK in subsistence farming: Implications for sustainable agricultural production in Dikgale community in Limpopo province, South Africa. Viewed on September 25, 2018, from http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.O/.

Sanders, M. (2010). Teaching skills in the sciences. In H. van Rooyen & J. de Beer (Eds.), Teaching science (pp. 51–69). Johannesburg: Macmillan.

Savelyeva, T. (2017). Vernadsky meets Yulgok: A non-Western dialog on sustainability. Educational Philosophy and Theory, 49(5) 501–520. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2016.1138851

Sebotsa, T. (2020). Teachers' lived experiences of contextualised interventions, and its affordances for their professional development and self-directed learning in physical sciences (Unpublished master's thesis). North-West University.

Shizha, E. (2007). Critical analysis of problems encountered in incorporating IK in science teaching by primary school teachers in Zimbabwe. The Alberta Journal of Educational Research, 53(3), 302–319.

Sjöström, J. (2007). The discourse of chemistry. HYLE: International Journal for Philosophy of Chemistry, 13(2), 83–97.

Sjöström, J., & Eilks, I. (2018). Reconsidering different visions of scientific literacy and science education based on the concept of Bildung. In Y. Dori, Z. Mevarech & D. Baker (Eds.), Cognition, metacognition and culture in STEM education (pp. 65–88). New York: Springer.

Speight-Vaughn, M., & De Beer, J. (2020). Contextualizing science and mathematics teacher professional development in rural areas. Perspectives in Education, 38(2), 213–226.

Sylva, T., Chinn, P., & Kinoshita, C. (2010). A culturally relevant agricultural and environmental course for K – 12 teachers in Hawaii. Journal of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Education, 39(1) 10–14. https://doi.org/10.4195/jnrlse.2008.0040k

Taylor, D., & Cameron, A. (2016). Valuing IKS in successive South African physical sciences curricula. African Journal of Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 20(1) 35–44. https://doi.org/10.1080/10288457.2016.1147800

Thomson, N. (2010). Science education researchers as orthographers: Documenting Keiyo (Kenya) knowledge, learning and narratives about snakes. International Journal of Science Education, 25(1) 89–115. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690210126587

Vygotsky, L. (1978). Mind in Society. The development of higher psychological processes. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Wang, B., Zhao, H., Wang, X., Duan, C., Wang, D., & Sakanishi, A. (2002). Influence of sound stimulation on plasma membrane H+-ATPase activity. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 25(3) 183–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-7765(01)00320-4

Zidny, R. , Sjöström, J. , & Eilks, I. (2020). A multi-perspective reflection on how IK and related ideas can improve science education for sustainability. Science & Education, 29 145–185. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-019-00100-x

Zinyeka, G., Onwu, G. O. M., & Braun, M. (2016). A truth-based epistemological framework for supporting teachers in integrating IK into science teaching. African Journal of Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 20(3) 256–266. https://doi.org/10.1080/18117295.2016.1239963

Action Research

Abd Alrahman Hawamdeh, A. (2020). How does the role-playing strategy affect the development of interpretive skill for astronomical phenomena among fourth-grade female students? Action Research and Innovation in Science Education, 3(2) 39–42. https://doi.org/10.12973/arise/295514

Bancroft, S. F., & Nyirenda, E. M. (2020). Equity-focused k-12 science teacher professional development: A review of the literature 2001–2017. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 31(2) 151–207. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2019.1685629

Borjas, M. P., & De la Peña-Leyva, F. (2009). Desarrollo de habilidades de pensamiento creativo en el área de ciencias naturales y educación ambiental. Zona Próxima, 10, 12–35.

Bradley, F. B. (2019). Exploring new teacher beliefs: Identity, home-life, and culture in the classroom (Publication Number 13904185) (Ph.D., University of South Florida). ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global. Tampa, FL.

Brenner, M. E., Bianchini, J. A., & Dwyer, H. A. (2016). Science and mathematics teachers working toward equity through teacher research: Tracing changes across their research process and equity views. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 27(8) 819–845. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-016-9490-3

Brickhouse, N. W., Lowery, P., & Schultz, K. (2000). What kind of girl does science? The construction of school science identities. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 37(5), 441–458.

Buck, G. A., Cook, K. L., Quigley, C. F., Prince, P., & Lucas, Y. (2014). Seeking TO improve African American girls' attitudes TOWARD science: A participatory action research project. Elementary School Journal, 114(3) 431–453. https://doi.org/10.1086/674419

Çaliskan, M. , & Serçe, H. (2018). Action research articles on education in Turkey: A content analysis. Ahi Evran University Journal of Kirsehir Education Faculty, 19(1), 80–102.

Capobianco, B. M. (2007). Science teachers' attempts at integrating feminist pedagogy through collaborative action research. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 44(1) 1–32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tea.20120 Capobianco, B. M., & Ní Ríordáin, M. (2015). Navigating layers of teacher uncertainty among preservice science and mathematics teachers engaged in action research. Educational Action Research, 23(4) 581–598. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09650792.2015.1045537

Cochran-Smith, M., & Lytle, S. (1993). Inside/outside: Teacher research and knowledge. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

del Pilar Díaz-Bazo, C. (2017). La investigación-acción en la educación básica en Iberoamérica. Una revisión de la literatura. MAGIS. Revista Internacional de Investigacion en Educacion, 10(20), 159–182.

Dueñas, O. R., & Pérez, L. F. M. (2020). Estado De La Formación Del Profesor Como Investigador Y Configuración De Colectivos Interesados En Tal Desarrollo A Partir Del Abordaje De Cuestiones Sociocientíficas. Vivências, 17(32), 9–30.

Eilks, I. (2013). Action research in science education: From general justifications to a specific model in practice. In T. Stern , A. Townsend , F. Rauch , & A. Schuster (Eds.), Action research, innovation and change (pp. 172–192). Oxford, UK: Routledge.

Elliot, J. (1988). Educational research and outsider-insider relations. International journal of Qualitative Studies in Education, 1(2) 155–166. https://doi.org/10.1080/0951839880010204.

Essiam, C. (2019). Effect of regular classroom tests on learning and understanding of concepts in chemistry. ARISE – Action Research and Innovation in Science Education, 2(2) 3–12.

https://doi.org/10.12973/arise/111754

Fazio, X., & Melville, W. (2008). Science teacher development through collaborative action research. Teacher Development, 12(3) 193–209. https://doi.org/10.1080/13664530802259222

Feldman, A. (1995). The institutionalization of action research: The California'100 schools'. In S. E. Noffke & R. Stevenson (Eds.), Educational action research: Becoming practically critical (pp. 180–196). New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

Feldman, A. (2007). Teachers, responsibility and action research. Educational action research, 15(2) 239–252. https://doi.org/10.1080/09650790701314809

Feldman, A. (2017). An emergent history of educational action research in the English-speaking world. In L. L. Rowell , C. D. Bruce , J. M. Shosh , & M. M. Riel (Eds.), The Palgrave international handbook of action research (pp. 125–145). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-40523-4 8.

Feldman, A., Altrichter, H., Posch, P., & Somekh, B. (2018). Teachers investigate their work. An introduction to action research across the professions (3rd ed.). London and New York: Routledge.

Feldman, A., Mason, C., & Goldberg, F. (Eds.). (1992). Action research: Reports from the field, 1991–92. San Diego, CA: Center for Research in Mathematics and Science Education.

Feldman, A., & Minstrell, J. (2000). Action research as a research methodology for study of teaching and learning science. In A. E. Kelly & R. A. Lesh (Eds.), Handbook of research design in mathematics and science education (pp. 429–455). Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Ferrari, R. (2015). Writing narrative style literature reviews. Medical Writing, 24(4), 230–235.

Furman, M., Calabrese Barton, A., & Muir, B. (2012). Learning to teach science in urban schools by becoming a researcher of one's own beginning practice. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 7(1) 153–174. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11422-011-9347-1

Green, B. N. , Johnson, C. D. , & Adams, A. (2006). Writing narrative literature reviews for peer-reviewed journals: Secrets of the trade. Journal of Chiropractic Medicine, 5(3) 101–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0899-3467(07)60142-6

Grundy, S. (1987). Curriculum: Product or praxis. New York: Falmer.

Hairon, S. (2017). Action research in Singapore: Where are we now? Asia-Pacific Science Education, 3(1), 5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41029-017-0016-x

Halim, L., Yong, T. K., & Meerah, T. S. M. (2014). Overcoming students' misconceptions on forces in equilibrium: An action research study. Creative Education, 2014.

Kemmis, S. , McTaggart, R. , & Nixon, R. (2014). The action research planner. Singapore: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-4560-67-2.

Küçük, M. , & Çepni, S. (2005). Implementation of an action research course program for science teachers: A case for Turkey. The Qualitative Report, 10(2), 190–207.

Laudonia, I., Mamlok-Naaman, R., Abels, S., & Eilks, I. (2018). Action research in science education – an analytical review of the literature. Educational Action Research, 26(3) 480–495. https://doi.org/10.1080/09650792.2017.1358198

Laux, K. (2019). Changing High School Science Teacher Beliefs on Student Voice Through Action Research (Publication Number 13903130) (Ph.D., University of South Florida). ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global. Ann Arbor.

Lee, H., & Yang, J.-e. (2019). Science teachers taking their first steps toward teaching socioscientific issues through collaborative action research. Research in Science Education, 49(1) 51–71. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-017-9614-6

Mamlok-Naaman, R. (2018). Using the action research rationale to enhance the creation of teachers' professional learning communities (PLCs). Action Research and Innovation in Science Education, 1(1), 27–32. Mamlok-Naaman, R., & Eilks, I. (2012). Action research to promote chemistry teachers' professional development: Cases and experiences from Israel and Germany. International Journal of Mathematics and Science Education, 10(3) 581–610. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-011-9306-z.

Mamlok-Naaman, R., Navon, O., Carmeli, M., & Hofstein, A. (2005). Chemistry teachers research their own work two case studies. In K. M. Boersma, O. De Jong & H. Eijkelhof (Eds.), Research and the quality of science education (pp. 141–156). Heidelberg: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-3673-6_12. Manfra, M. M. (2019). Action research and systematic, intentional change in teaching practice. Review of Research in Education, 43(1) 163–196. https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X18821132

Mitchell, I., & Mitchell, J. (2008). The Project for Enhancing Effective Learning (PEEL): 22 Years of Praxis. In A. P. Samaras, A. R. Freese, C. Kosnik, & C. Beck (Eds.), Learning communities in practice (pp. 7–18). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-8788-2 1

Noffke, S. E. (1997). Professional, personal, and political dimensions of action research. Review of Research in Education, 22 305–343. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X022001305

Nyström, E. (2007). Exclusion in an inclusive action research project: drawing on student perspectives of school science to identify discourses of exclusion. Educational Action Research, 15(3) 417–440. https://doi.org/10.1080/09650790701549693

Rahman, M. A. (2008). Some trends in the praxis of Participatory Action Research. In P. Reason & H. Bradbury (Eds.), The Sage handbook of action research (2nd ed., pp. 49–63). Los Angeles, CA: Sage. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781848607934.n9.

Rearick, M., & Feldman, A. (1999). Orientations, product, reflections: A framework for understanding action research. Teaching and Teacher Education, 15(4) 333–350. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X(98)00053-5. Schön, D. (1983). The Reflective Practitioner: How professionals think in practice. New York, NY: Basic Books. Schön, D. A. (1987). Educating the reflective practitioner. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Smail, B. (1985). An attempt to move mountains: The 'Girls into Science and Technology'(GIST) project. Journal of Curriculum Studies, 17(3) 351–354. https://doi.org/10.1080/0022027850170308

Solomon, J. (1997). Girls' science education: Choice, solidarity and culture. International Journal of Science Education, 19(4) 407–417. https://doi.org/10.1080/0950069970190404

Trauth-Nare, A., & Buck, G. (2011). Using reflective practice to incorporate formative assessment in a middle school science classroom: A participatory action research study. Educational Action Research, 19(3) 379–398. https://doi.org/10.1080/09650792.2011.600639

Whyte, J. B. (1986). Starting early: Girls and engineering. European Journal of Engineering Education, 11(3), 271–279.

Including All Learners Through Science Teacher Education

Alexander, P. A. (2020). Methodological guidance paper: The art and science of quality systematic reviews. Review of Educational Research, 90(1) 6–23. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654319854352

Alghamdi, A. H. K., & Azam, S. (2018). Differentiation in Saudi pre-service science teacher program. Journal of Baltic Science Education, 17(3), 428.

Armstrong, T. (2012). Neurodiversity in the classroom: Strength-based strategies to help students with special needs succeed in school and life. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

Ashby, C. (2012). Disability studies and inclusive teacher preparation: A socially just path for teacher

preparation. Research and Practice for Persons with Severe Disabilities, 37(2) 89–99.

https://doi.org/10.1177/154079691203700204

Atchison, C. L., & Carnahan, C. R. (2018). Preparing tomorrow's teachers through first-hand perspectives of ability in an inclusively designed science methods course. In M. Koomen, S. Kahn, C. L. Atchison, & T. A. Wild (Eds.), Towards Inclusion of All Learners through Science Teacher Education (pp. 185–195). Brill Sense. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004368422_020

Bargerhuff, M. E. , Cowan, H. , & Kirch, S. A. (2010). Working toward equitable opportunities for science students with disabilities: Using professional development and technology. Disability and Rehabilitation:

Assistive Technology, 5(2) 125–135. https://doi.org/0.3109/17483100903387531

Baum, S. M. (2009). Talent centered model for twice exceptional learners. In J. S. Renzulli , E. J. Gubbins , et al. (Eds.), Systems & Models for Developing Programs for the Gifted and Talented (pp. 17–48). Creative Learning Press.

Bülbül, M. S. (2018). From academician's office to physics lab for students with special needs: A guide for transformation. In M. Koomen , S. Kahn , C. L. Atchison , & T. A. Wild (Eds.), Towards Inclusion of All Learners through Science Teacher Education (pp. 141–150). Brill Sense. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004368422_016 Carabajal, I. G. , Marshall, A. M. , & Atchison, C. L. (2017). A synthesis of instructional strategies in geoscience education literature that address barriers to inclusion for students with disabilities. Journal of Geoscience Education, 65(4) 531–541. https://doi.org/10.5408/16-211.1

CAST (2018). Universal design for learning guidelines version 2.2. http://udlguidelines.cast.org

Cofré, H., González-Weil, C., Vergara, C., Santibáñez, D., Ahumada, G., Furman, M., & Pérez, R. (2015). Science teacher education in South America: The case of Argentina, Colombia and Chile. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(1) 45–63. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-015-9420-9

Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (2020). SEL framework. https://casel.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/CASEL-SEL-Framework-10.2020-1.pdf

Connor, D. J., Gabel, S. L., Gallagher, D. J., & Morton, M. (2008). Disability studies and inclusive educationimplications for theory, research and practice. International Journal of Inclusive Education, 12(5–6), 441–457. Counsell, S. L., & Geiken, R. (2019). Improving STEM teaching practices with R&P: Increasing the full range of young children's STEM outcomes. Journal of Early Childhood Teacher Education, 40(4) 352–381. https://doi.org/10.1080/10901027.2019.1603173

Department for Education . (2011). Teachers' standards: Guidance for school leaders, school staff and governing bodies. Government of the United Kingdom. www.gov.uk/government/publications/teachers-standards

Department of Basic Education . (2011). Curriculum and assessment policy statement (CAPS) natural sciences grades 7, 8, 9. Republic of South Africa.

www.thutong.doe.gov.za/supportformatrics/NonLanguageCAPSSeniorPhase/tabid/5013/Default.aspx Eysink, T. E. , Hulsbeek, M. , & Gijlers, H. (2017). Supporting primary school teachers in differentiating in the regular classroom. Teaching and Teacher Education, 66 107–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.04.002 González, L. F. , Hennemann, T. , & Schlüter, K. (2019). Teachers' perception of an integrated approach to biology and emotional learning. Journal of Science Education for Students with Disabilities, 22(1) 1–25. https://doi.org10.14448/jsesd.11.0002

Goodnough, K. (2010). Investigating pre-service science teachers' developing professional knowledge through the lens of differentiated instruction. Research in Science Education, 40(2) 239–265. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-009-9120-6

Grande, M., & Whalen, J. (2017). Creating digital science texts: An opportunity for teacher candidates to understand and implement Universal Design for Learning. Teacher Education and Practice, 30(4), 616–630. Huffling, L. Benavides, A., Matthews, C. E., Compton, M. V., Kurtts, S., & Carlone, H. B. (2018). Learning frog calls when you can't hear: Fieldwork with high school students who are deaf and hard-of-hearing. In M. Koomen, S. Kahn, C. L. Atchison, & T. A. Wild (Eds.), Towards inclusion of all learners through science teacher education (pp. 165–174). Brill Sense. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004368422_018

Izci, K. (2018). Turkish science teacher candidates' understanding of equitable assessment and their plans about it. Journal of Education in Science, Environment and Health, 4(2), 193–205.

Israel, M., Shehad, S., & Wherfel, Q. M. (2018). Increasing science learning and engagement for academically diverse students through scaffolded scientific inquiry and universal design for learning. In M. Koomen, S. Kahn, C. L. Atchison, & T. A. Wild (Eds.), Towards inclusion of all learners through science teacher education (pp. 201–211). Leiden, the Netherlands: Brill Sense. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004368422_022

Kahn, S. (2018). From access to assets: Strength-based visions for inclusive science education. In M. Koomen , S. Kahn , C. L. Atchison , & T. A. Wild (Eds.), Towards inclusion of all learners through science teacher education (pp. 105–114). Brill Sense. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004368422_012

Kahn, S., Hartman, S. L., Oswald, K., & Samblanet, M. (2018). Promoting "science for all" through teacher candidate collaboration and community engagement. Innovations in Science Teacher Education, 3(2). Kahn, S., & Lewis, A. R. (2014). Survey on teaching science to K-12 students with disabilities: Teacher preparedness and attitudes. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 25(8) 885–910. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-014-9406-z

Kahn, S., Pigman, R., & Ottley, J. (2017). A tale of two courses: Exploring teacher candidates' translation of science and special education methods instruction into inclusive science practices. Journal of Science Education for Students with Disabilities, 20(1) 50–68. https://doi.org/10.14448/jsesd.08.0004

Kennedy, M. J., Rodgers, W. J., Romig, J. E., Lloyd, J. W., & Brownell, M. T. (2017). Effects of a multimedia professional development package on inclusive science teachers' vocabulary instruction. Journal of Teacher Education, 68(2) 213–230. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487116687554

Kennedy, M. J., Rodgers, W. J., Romig, J. E., Mathews, H. M., & Peeples, K. N. (2018). Introducing the content acquisition podcast professional development process: Supporting vocabulary instruction for inclusive middle school science teachers. Teacher Education and Special Education, 41(2) 140–157.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0888406417745655

King-Sears, M. E., Brawand, A. E., Jenkins, M. C., & Preston-Smith, S. (2014). Co-teaching perspectives from secondary science co-teachers and their students with disabilities. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 25(6) 651–680. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-014-9391-2

Kirch, S. A., Bargerhuff, M. E., Cowan, H., & Wheatly, M. (2007). Reflections of educators in pursuit of inclusive science classrooms. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 18(4) 663–692. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-007-9052-9

Kizilaslan, A. (2019). Linking theory to practice: Science for students with visual impairment. Science Education International, 30(1), 56–64.

Koehler, C. M. (2018). No student teacher left behind: Lessons learned from a science student teacher with a physical disability. In M. Koomen , S. Kahn , C. L. Atchison , & T. A. Wild (Eds.), Towards Inclusion of All Learners through Science Teacher Education (pp. 353–362). Brill Sense. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004368422 038

Koehler, K. E., & Wild, T., A. (2019). Students with visual impairments' access and participation in the science curriculum: Views of teachers of students with visual impairments. Journal of Science Education for Students

with Disabilities, 22(1), 1–17.

Koomen, M. H. (2016). Inclusive science education: Learning from Wizard. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 11(2) 293–325. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11422-015-9668-6

Koomen, M. H. (2018). A good teacher makes science lighthearted: Experiences in learning science from Alejandro. In M. Koomen, S. Kahn, C. L. Atchison, & T. A. Wild (Eds.), Towards inclusion of all learners through science teacher education (pp. 43–51). Leiden, the Netherlands: Brill Sense. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004368422 005

Koomen, M. H., Kahn, S., Atchison, C., & Wild, T. (Eds.). (2018). Towards inclusion of all learners through science teacher education. Leiden, the Netherlands: Brill Sense.

Ladson-Billings, G. (1995). Toward a theory of culturally relevant pedagogy. American Educational Research Journal, 32(3), 465–491.

Lauterbach, A. A., Benedict, A. E., Yakut, A. D., & Garcias, A. A. (2020). Improving vocabulary outcomes in inclusive secondary science classrooms through professional development. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 31(1) 56–74. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2019.1661738

Maeng, J., & Bell, R. (2015). Differentiating science instruction: Secondary science teachers' practices. International Journal of Science Education, 37(13) 2065–2090.

https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2015.1064553

Maerten-Rivera, J., Myers, N., Lee, O., & Penfield, R. (2010). Student and school predictors of high-stakes assessment in science. Science Education, 94(6) 937–962. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20408c

Morrell, P., Pyle, E. J., Rodgers, M. P., Roehrig, G., & Veal, W. R. (2018). Standards for preservice teacher preparation national recognition: A joint project of the Association for Science Teacher Education and the National Science Teachers Association. Approved by ASTE Board of Directors (June 2018) and NSTA Board of Directors (July 2018). www.nsta.org/nsta-standards-science-teacher-preparation, retrieved 09/09/2021. National Center for Educational Statistics . (2020). The conditions of education: Students with disabilities. https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/indicator_cgg.asp

National Science Foundation . (2017). Accountability for broadening participation in STEM biennial report to congress 2015–16. www.nsf.gov/od/oia/activities/ceose/reports/CEOSE%202015-2016%20Biennial%20Report%20(Final).pdf

Neca, P., Borges, M. L., & Pinto, P. C. (2020). Teachers with disabilities: A literature review, International Journal of Inclusive Education, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2020.1776779

Newman Thomas, C., Van Garderen, D., Sadler, K., Decker, M., & Hanuscin, D. (2018). Applying a Universal Design for Learning framework to mediate the language demands of science. In M. Koomen, S. Kahn, C. L. Atchison, & T. A. Wild (Eds.), Towards inclusion of all learners through science teacher education (pp. 91–103). Brill Sense. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004368422 011

NGSS Lead States . (2013). Next generation science standards: For states, by states. The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/18290

Ogunniyi, M. B., & Rollnick, R. (2015). Pre-service science teacher education in Africa: Prospects and challenges. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(1) 65–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-014-9415-y Penfield, R. D., & Lee, O. (2010). Test-based accountability: Potential benefits and pitfalls of science assessment with student diversity. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 47(1), 6–24.

Porter, J., & Gee, K. (2018). A collaborative process for preparing pre-service general education and special education science teachers. In M. Koomen, S. Kahn, C. L. Atchison, & T. A. Wild (Eds.), Towards inclusion of all learners through science teacher education (pp. 339–352). Brill Sense.

https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004368422_037

Posner, G. J., Strike, K. A., Hewson, P. W., & Gertzog, W. A. (1982). Accommodation of a scientific conception: Toward a theory of conceptual change. Science Education, 66(2) 211–227. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.3730660207

Pugach, M. C., Matewos, A. M., & Gomez-Najarro, J. (2020). Disability and the meaning of social justice in teacher education research: A precarious guest at the table? Journal of Teacher Education.

https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487120929623

Rule, A. C., Stefanich, G. P., Boody, R. M., & Peiffer, B. (2011). Impact of adaptive materials on teachers and their students with visual impairments in secondary science and mathematics classes. International Journal of Science Education, 33(6) 865–887. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2010.506619

Shume, T. (2020). Conceptualising disability: A critical discourse analysis of a teacher education textbook. International Journal of Inclusive Education. https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2020.1839796

Shume, T., & DeSutter, K. (2018). Co-Teaching for Inclusiveness: How to teacher educators collaborated across disciplinary boundaries in an elementary science methods course. In M. Koomen, S. Kahn, C. L. Atchison, & T. A. Wild (Eds.), Towards Inclusion of All Learners through Science Teacher Education (pp. 317–328). Brill Sense. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004368422_035

Siegel, M. A., & Wissehr, C. (2011). Preparing for the plunge: Pre-service teachers' assessment literacy. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 22(4) 371–391. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-011-9231-6

South-East Asian Ministers of Education Organization . (2016). Southeast Asian guidelines for early childhood teacher development and management. UNESCO Office Bangkok and Regional Bureau for Education in Asia and the Pacific. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000244370

Sumida, M. (2010). Identifying twice-exceptional children and three gifted styles in the Japanese primary science classroom. International Journal of Science Education, 32(15) 2097–2111.

https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690903402018

Summy, S., & Fetters, M. (2018). Universal Design for Learning in Science: A framework that supports the needs of all. In M. Koomen, S. Kahn, C. L. Atchison, & T. A. Wild (Eds.), Towards Inclusion of All Learners through Science Teacher Education (pp. 125–135). Leiden, the Netherlands: Brill Sense. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004368422 014

Taylor, J. C., Koehler, K., Rizzo, K., & Hwang, J. (2018). The rise of measurement: Assessing science and the implications for students with special needs for inclusive science education. In M. Koomen, S. Kahn, C. L. Atchison, & T. A. Wild (Eds.), Towards Inclusion of All Learners through Science Teacher Education (pp. 267–275). Leiden, the Netherlands: Brill Sense. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004368422_029

Taylor, M., Lohmann, M., & Kappel, A. (2020). Using assistive technology to support science instruction in the inclusive elementary classroom. Journal of Special Education Technology. https://doi.org/10.1177/0162643420947826

Tobin, R., & Tippett, C. (2014). Possibilities and potential barriers: Learning to plan for differentiated instruction in elementary science. International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 12 423–443. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-013-9414-z

Tomlinson, C. A. (2017). How to differentiate instruction in academically diverse classrooms (3rd ed.). Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization Institute for Statistics . (1994). Salamanca declaration and framework for action on special needs education.

https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000098427

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization Institute for Statistics . (2016). The world needs almost 69 million new teachers to reach the 2030 education goals (UIS Fact Sheet No. 39).

http://uis.unesco.org/en/document/world-needs-almost-69-million-new-teachers-reach-2030-education-goals Van Garderen, D., Hanuscin, D., & Lee, E. (2012). QUEST: A collaborative professional development model to meet the needs of diverse learning in K-6 science. Psychology in the Schools, 49(5) 429–443. https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.21611

Weinburg, A. E., Sebald, A., Stevenson, C. A., & Wakefield, W. (2020). Toward conceptual clarity: A scoping review of co-teaching in teacher education. The Teacher Educator, 55(2) 190–213. https://doi.org/10.1080/08878730.2019.1657214

Wild, T. A. (2013). Teacher perceptions regarding teaching and learning of seasonal change concepts of middle school students with visual impairments. Journal of Science Education for Students with Disabilities, 16(1) 1–13. https://doi.org/10.14448/jsesd.05.0001

Wild, T. A., Hilson, M., & Hobson, S. (2013). Conceptual understanding of sound by children with visual impairments. Journal Visual Impairment and Blindness, 107(2) 107–116. https://doi.org/10.1177/0145482X1310700204

Zimmer, K. E., McHatton, P. A., Driver, M. K., Datubo-Brown, C. A., & Steffen, S. (2018). Innovative communities: Embedding special education faculty in science methods courses. Teacher Education Quarterly, 45(4). 73–92.

Zygouris-Coe, V. I. (2015). Teaching discipline-specific literacies in grades 6–12: Preparing students for college, career, and workforce demands. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203073162

The Role of Teacher Education in Teaching Science to Emergent Bilingual Learners

Amin, T., & Badreddine, D. (2020). Teaching science in Arabic: Diglossia and discourse patterns in the elementary classroom. International Journal of Science Education, 42(14), 2290–2330.

Arreguín-Anderson, M. G., & Garza, E. V. (2014). Bilingual pairs in teacher education: Exploring wild strategies in an environmental education workshop. Action in Teacher Education, 36(2), 171–184.

August, D. , McCardle, P. , & Shanahan, T. (2014). Developing literacy in English language learners: Findings from a review of the experimental research. School Psychology Review, 43(4), 490–498.

Banilower, E. R., Smith, P. S., Malzahn, K. A., Plumley, C. L., Gordon, E. M., & Hayes, M. L. (2018). Report of the 2018 NSSME+. Chapel Hill, NC: Horizon Research, Inc.

Bravo, M. A. (2017). Cultivating teacher knowledge of the role of language in science: A model of elementary grade pre-service teacher preparation. In Science teacher preparation in content-based second language acquisition (pp. 25–39). ASTE Series in Science Education. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing. Bunch, G. C. (2013). Pedagogical language knowledge: Preparing mainstream teachers for English learners in the new standards era. Review of Research in Education, 37(1), 298–341.

Bunch, G. C. (2014). The language of ideas and the language of display: Reconceptualizing "academic language" in linguistically diverse classrooms. International Multilingual Research Journal, 8(1), 70–86. Buxton, C. A., Allexsaht-Snider, M., Kayumova, S., Aghasaleh, R., Choi, Y. J., & Cohen, A. (2015). Teacher agency and professional learning: Rethinking fidelity of implementation as multiplicities of enactment. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 52(4), 489–502.

Buxton, C. A., Allexsaht-Snider, M., Rodríguez, Y. H., Aghasaleh, R., Cardozo-Gaibisso, L., & Kirmaci, M. (2017). A Design-based model of teacher professional learning in the LISELL-B Project. In A. Oliveira & M. Weinburgh (Eds.), Science teacher preparation in content-based second language acquisition (pp. 215–234). ASTE Series in Science Education. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.

Buxton, C. A., Allexsaht-Snider, M., Suriel, R., Kayumova, S., & Choi, Y. (2013). Using educative assessments to support science teaching for middle school English language learners. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(2), 347–366.

Buxton, C. A., & Caswell, L. (2020). Next generation sheltered instruction to support multilingual learners in secondary science classrooms. Science Education, 104(3) 555–580. Springer International.

Buxton, C. A., & Lee, O. (2014). English language learners in science education. In N. Lederman & S. Abell (Eds.), Handbook of research in science education, volume II (pp. 204–222). London: Taylor & Francis. Coyle, D. (2007). Content and language integrated learning: Towards a connected research agenda for CLIL pedagogies. International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism, 10(5), 543–562.

Crawford, J., & Reyes, S. A. (2015). The trouble with SIOP®: How a behaviorist framework, flawed research, and clever marketing have come to define-and diminish-sheltered instruction for English language learners. Portland, OR: Institute for Language & Education Policy.

Cummins, J. (1980). The cross-lingual dimensions of language proficiency: Implications for bilingual education and the optimal age issue. TESOL Quarterly, 175–187.

Davis, E. A., & Krajcik, J. S. (2005). Designing educative curriculum materials to promote teacher learning. Educational Researcher, 34(3), 3–14.

Echevarria, J., Vogt, M., & Short, D. (2000). Making content comprehensible for English learners: The SIOP model. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

Evans, C. , Arnot-Hopffer, E. , & Jurich, D. (2005). Making ends meet: Bringing bilingual education and mainstream students together in preservice teacher education. Equity & Excellence in Education, 38(1), 75–88. Faltis, C. J. , & Valdés, G. (2016). Preparing teachers for teaching in and advocating for linguistically diverse classrooms: A vade mecum for teacher educators. In D. H. Gitomer & C. A. Bell (Eds.), Handbook of research on teaching (5th ed, pp. 549–592). Washington, DC: American Educational Research Association.

García, O (2009). Education, multilingualism and translanguaging in the 21st century. In A. Mohanty , M. Panda , R. Phillipson , & T. Skutnabb-Kangas (Eds.), Multilingual education for social justice: Globalising the local (pp. 128–145). New Delhi: Orient Blackswan.

Goldenberg, C. (2013). Unlocking the research on English learners: What we know – and don't yet know – about effective instruction. American Educator, 37(2), 4–11.

Heineke, A. J., Smetana, L., & Sanei, J. C. (2019). A qualitative case study of field-based teacher education: One candidate's evolving expertise of science teaching for emergent bilinguals. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(1), 80–100.

Jackson, J. K., Huerta, M., Garza, T., & Narvaez, R. (2019). Examining the effects of a professional development initiative on English learning and economically disadvantaged adolescents' scores on a high-stakes science test. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(2), 122–143.

Janzen, J. (2008). Teaching English language learners in the content areas. Review of Educational Research, 78(4), 1010–1038.

Jimenez-Silva, M., Rillero, P., Merritt, J., & Kelley, M. F. (2016). Working together to prepare teachers of science and language: Examining the value of collaboration among science and language faculty. The Electronic Journal for Research in Science & Mathematics Education, 20(3), 73–91.

Kibler, A. K., & Roman, D. (2013). Insights into professional development for teachers of English language learners: A focus on using students' native languages in the classroom. Bilingual Research Journal, 36(2), 187–207.

Krashen, S. D. (1981). Second language acquisition and second language learning. Oxford: Pergamon Press. Lara-Alecio, R., Tong, F., Irby, B. J., Guerrero, C., Huerta, M., & Fan, Y. (2012). The effect of an instructional intervention on middle school English learners' science and English reading achievement. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 49(8), 987–1011.

Lee, O., & Buxton, C. A. (2013). Integrating science and English proficiency for English language learners. Theory into Practice, 52(1), 36–42.

Lee, O., Hart, J. E., Cuevas, P., & Enders, C. (2004). Professional development in inquiry-based science for elementary teachers of diverse student groups. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 41(10), 1021–1043. Lee, O., Llosa, L., Jiang, F., Haas, A., O'Connor, C., & Van Booven, C. D. (2016). Elementary teachers' science knowledge and instructional practices: Impact of an intervention focused on English language learners. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 53(4), 579–597.

Lee, O. , & Luykx, A. (2007). Science education and student diversity: Race/ethnicity, language, culture, and socioeconomic status. In S. K. Abell & N. G. Lederman (Eds.) Handbook of research on science education (pp. 171–197). New York: Routledge.

Lee, O., & Stephens, A. (2020). English Learners in STEM Subjects: Contemporary views on STEM subjects and language With English learners. Educational Researcher, 49(6), 426–432.

Lemmi, C. , Brown, B. A. , Wild, A. , Zummo, L. , & Sedlacek, Q. (2019). Language ideologies in science education. Science Education, 103(4), 854–874.

Licona, P., & Kelly, G. (2020). Translanguaging in a middle school science classroom: Constructing scientific arguments in English and Spanish. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 15, 485–510.

Llosa, L., Lee, O., Jiang, F., Haas, A., O'Connor, C., Van Booven, C. D., & Kieffer, M. J. (2016). Impact of a large-scale science intervention focused on English language learners. American Educational Research Journal, 53(2), 395–424.

Lodge, W. G. (2020). What's in a name? The power of the English language in secondary school science education. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 15, 287–301.

Lucas, T., Villegas, A. M., & Freedson-Gonzalez, M. (2008). Linguistically responsive teacher education preparing classroom teachers to teach English language learners. Journal of Teacher Education, 59(4), 361–373.

Lyon, E. G. (2013a). Learning to assess science in linguistically diverse classrooms: Tracking growth in secondary science preservice teachers' assessment expertise. Science Education, 97(3), 442–467. Lyon, E. G. (2013b). What about language while equitably assessing science? Case studies of preservice

teachers' evolving expertise. Teaching and Teacher Education, 32, 1–11.

Lyon, E. G. (2017). Exploring secondary science teachers' enactment of assessment practices to reflect responsive science teaching for English learners. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 28(8), 674–698. Lyon, E. G., Stoddart, T., Bunch, G. C., Tolbert, S., Salinas, I., & Solis, J. (2018). Improving the preparation of novice secondary science teachers for English learners: A proof of concept study. Science Education, 102(6), 1288–1318.

Mavuru, L., & Ramnarain, U. (2020). Learners' socio-cultural backgrounds and science teaching and learning: A case study of township schools in South Africa. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 15 1067–1095. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11422-020-09974-8

Meier, V., Aminger, W., McLean, M., Carpenter, S. L., Moon, S., Hough, S., & Bianchini, J. A. (2020). Preservice secondary science teachers' understanding of academic language: Moving beyond "just the vocabulary." Science Education, 104(2), 222–251.

Moll, L. C. , Amanti, C. , Neff, D. , & Gonzalez, N. (1992). Funds of knowledge for teaching: Using a qualitative approach to connect homes and classrooms. Theory into Practice, 31(2), 132–141.

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine . (2018). English learners in STEM Subjects: Transforming classrooms, schools, and lives. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/25182.

Nieto, S. (2005). Schools for a new majority: The role of teacher education in hard times. The New Educator, 1(1), 27–43.

Palmer, D., & Martínez, R. A. (2013). Teacher agency in bilingual spaces: A fresh look at preparing teachers to educate Latina/o bilingual children. Review of Research in Education, 37(1), 269–297.

Probyn, M. (2015). Pedagogical translanguaging: Bridging discourses in South African science classrooms. Language and Education, 29(3), 218–234.

Rillero, P., Koerner, M., Jimenez-Silva, M., Merritt, J., & Farr, W. J. (2017). Developing teacher competencies for problem-based learning pedagogy and for supporting learning in language-minority students. Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning, 11(2).

Ruiz, R. (1984). Orientations in language planning. NABE journal, 8(2), 15–34.

Rutt, A. A. , & Mumba, F. M. (2020). Developing secondary pre-service science teachers' instructional planning abilities for language-and literacy-integrated science instruction in linguistically diverse classrooms. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 31(8), 841–868.

Rutt, A. A., Mumba, F., & Kibler, A. (2021). Preparing preservice teachers to teach science to English learners: A review. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 58(5), 625–660.

Salloum, S., Siry, C., & Espinet, M. (2020). Examining the complexities of science education in multilingual contexts: Highlighting international perspectives, International Journal of Science Education, 42(14) 2285–2289. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2020.1831644

Settlage, J., Gort, M., & Ceglie, R. J. (2014). Mediated language immersion and teacher ideologies: Investigating trauma pedagogy within a "Physics in Spanish" course activity. Teacher Education Quarterly, 41(3), 47–66.

Shea, L. M., Sandholtz, J. H., & Shanahan, T. B. (2018). We are all talking: A whole-school approach to professional development for teachers of English learners. Professional Development in Education, 44(2), 190–208.

Siegel, M. A. (2014). Developing preservice teachers' expertise in equitable assessment for English learners. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 25(3), 289–308.

Smit, J., Gijsel, M., Hotze, A., & Bakker, A. (2018). Scaffolding primary teachers in designing and enacting language-oriented science lessons: Is handing over to independence a fata morgana?. Learning, Culture and Social Interaction, 18, 72–85.

Smith, L. K. , Hanks, J. H. , & Erickson, L. B. (2017). Secondary biology textbooks and national standards for English learners. Science Education, 101(2), 302–332.

Stoddart, T., & Mosqueda, E. (2015). Teaching science to English language learners: A study of preservice teacher preparation. Teacher Education and Practice, 28(2–3), 269–286.

Stoddart, T., Pinal, A., Latzke, M., & Canaday, D. (2002). Integrating inquiry science and language development for English language learners. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 39(8), 664–687. Suárez, E. (2020). "Estoy Explorando Science": Emerging bilingual students problematizing electrical phenomena through translanguaging. Science Education, 104(5), 791–826.

Thompson, M., & Wiliam, D. (2008). Tight but loose: A conceptual framework for scaling up school reforms. In E. C. Wylie (Ed.), Tight but loose: Scaling up teacher professional development in diverse contexts (RR-08–29, pp. 1–44). Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.

Tolbert, S. (2016). Contextualizing science activity. In E. Lyon , S. Tolbert , J. Solís , T. Stoddart , & G. Bunch (Eds.), Secondary science teaching for English Learners: Developing supportive and responsive learning contexts for sense-making and language development. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers. Tolbert, S. , & Knox, C. (2016). 'They might know a lot of things that I don't know': Investigating differences in preservice teachers' ideas about contextualizing science instruction in multilingual classrooms. International Journal of Science Education, 38(7), 1133–1149.

Tolbert, S., Knox, C., & Salinas, I. (2019). Framing, adapting, and applying: Learning to contextualize science activity in multilingual science classrooms. Research in Science Education, 49(4), 1069–1085.

Turkan, S., De Oliveira, L. C., Lee, O., & Phelps, G. (2014). Proposing a knowledge base for teaching academic content to English language learners: Disciplinary linguistic knowledge. Teachers College Record, 116(3), 1–30.

Viesca, K. M., Strom, K., Hammer, S., Masterson, J., Linzell, C. H., Mitchell-McCollough, J., & Flynn, N. (2019). Developing a complex portrait of content teaching for multilingual learners via nonlinear theoretical understandings. Review of Research in Education, 43(1), 304–335.

Villegas, A. M., SaizdeLaMora, K., Martin, A. D., & Mills, T. (2018). Preparing future mainstream teachers to teach English language learners: A review of the empirical literature. The Educational Forum, 82, 138–155. Weinburgh, M., Silva, C., Smith, K. H., Groulx, J., & Nettles, J. (2014). The intersection of inquiry-based science and language: Preparing teachers for ELL classrooms. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 25(5), 519–541.

Zhao, S., & Flewitt, R. (2020). Young Chinese immigrant children's language and literacy practices on social media: A translanguaging perspective. Language and Education, 34(3), 267–285.

Zwiep, S. G., Straits, W. J., Stone, K. R., Beltran, D. D., & Furtado, L. (2011). The integration of English language development and science instruction in elementary classrooms. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 22(8), 769–785.

Educative Curriculum Materials and Their Role in the Learning of Science Teachers

Albornoz, F., Anauati, M. V., Furman, M., Luzuriaga, M., Podestá, M. E., & Taylor, I. (2020). Training to teach science: Experimental evidence from Argentina. The World Bank Economic Review, 34(2) 393–417. https://doi.org/10.1093/wber/lhy010

Arias, A. M., Davis, E. A., Marino, J.-C., Kademian, S. M., & Palincsar, A. S. (2016). Teachers' use of educative curriculum materials to engage students in science practices. International Journal of Science Education, 38(9) 1504–1526. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2016.1198059

Arzi, H. J., & White, R. T. (2008). Change in teachers' knowledge of subject matter: A 17-year longitudinal study. Science Education, 92(2) 221–251. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20239

Ball, D. L., & Cohen, D. K. (1996). Reform by the book: What is – or might be – the role of curriculum materials in teacher learning and instructional reform? Educational Researcher, 25(9) 6–14. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X025009006

Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. Psychological Review, 84(2) 191–215. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.84.2.191

Beyer, C. T., & Davis, E. A. (2009a) Supporting preservice elementary teachers' critique and adaptation of science lesson plans using educative curriculum materials. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 20(6) 517–536. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-009-9148-5

Beyer, C. T., & Davis, E. A. (2009b) Using educative curriculum materials to support preservice elementary teachers' curricular planning: A Comparison between two different forms of support. Curriculum Inquiry, 39(5), 679–703. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-873x.2009.00464.x

Beyer, C. T., & Davis, E. A. (2012). Learning to critique and adapt science curriculum materials: Examining the development of preservice elementary teachers' pedagogical content knowledge. Science Teacher Education, 96(1) 130–157. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20466

Brown, M. W. (2009). The teacher-tool relationship: Theorizing the design and use of curriculum materials. In J. T. Remillard , B. A. Herbel-Eisenmann , & G. M. Lloyd (Eds.), Mathematics teachers at work: Connecting curriculum materials and classroom instruction. New York: Routledge.

Brunner, J. L., & Abd-El-Khalick, F. (2019). Improving nature of science instruction in elementary classes with modified science trade books and educative curriculum materials. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 57(2) 154–183. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21588

Coenders, F., Terlouw, C., & Dijkstra, S. (2008). Assessing teachers' beliefs to facilitate the transition to a new chemistry curriculum: What do the teachers want? Journal of Science Teacher Education, 19(4) 317–335. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-008-9096-5

Coenders, F., Terlouw, C., Dijkstra, S., & Pieters, J. (2010). The effects of the design and development of a chemistry curriculum reform on teachers' professional growth: A case study. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 21(5) 535–557. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-010-9194-z

Czajka, C. D., & McConnell, D. (2019). The adoption of student-centered teaching materials as a professional development experience for college faculty. International Journal of Science Education, 41(5) 693–711. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2019.1578908

Dajani, M. M. Y. (2017). Introducing science stories in Palestinian elementary classrooms: Facilitating teacher learning. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 28(1) 73–91. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2017.1279509 Davis, E. A., Janssen, F. J. J. M., & Van Driel, J. H. (2016). Teachers and science curriculum materials: Where we are and where we need to go. Studies in Science Education, 52(2) 127–160. https://doi.org/10.1080/03057267.2016.1161701

Davis, E. A. , & Krajcik, J. S. (2005). Designing educative curriculum materials to promote teacher learning. Educational Researcher, 34(3) 3–14. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X034003003

Davis, E. A., Palincsar, A. S., Smith, P. S., Arias, A. M., & Kademian, S. M. (2017). Educative curriculum materials: Uptake, impact, and implications for research and design. Educational Researcher, 46(6) 293–304. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X17727502

Dias, M., Eick, C. J., & Brantley-Dias, L. (2011). Practicing what we teach: A self-study in implementing an inquiry-based curriculum in a middle grades classroom. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 22(1) 53–78. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-010-9222-z

Donna, J. D., & Hick, S. R. (2017). Developing elementary preservice teacher subject matter knowledge through the use of educative science curriculum materials. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 28(1) 92–110. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2017.1279510

Flanagan, J. C., Herrmann-Abell, C. F., & Roseman, J. E. (2013, April). Developing and evaluating an eighth grade curriculum unit that links foundational chemistry to biological growth: Using teacher measures to evaluate the promise of the intervention. Paper presentation. NARST Annual International Conference, Rio Grande, Puerto Rico.

Furman, M., Luzuriaga, M., Taylor, I., Podestá, M. E., & Jarvis, D. (2017). From inception to implementation: An Argentine case study of teachers enacting early years inquiry-based science. Early Years, 39(4) 408–425. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09575146.2017.1389856

Gess-Newsome, J., & Lederman, N. G. (Eds.). (1999). Examining pedagogical content knowledge: The construct and its implications for science education (Vol. 6). Dordrecht: Springer Science & Business Media. Granger, E. M., Bevis, T. H., Southerland, S. A., Saka, Y., & Ke, F. (2018). Examining features of how professional development and enactment of educative curricula influences elementary science teacher learning. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 56(3) 348–370. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21480 Grossman, P., & Thompson, C. (2004). Learning from curriculum materials: Scaffolds for new teachers? Teaching and Teacher Education, 24(8) 2014–2026. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2008.05.002

Harris, C. J., Penuel, W. R., D'Angelo, C. M., DeBarger, A. H., Gallagher, L. P., Kennedy, C. A., & Krajcik, J. S. (2015). Impact of project-based curriculum materials on student learning in science: Results of a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 52(10) 1362–1385.

https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21263

Janssen, N., & Lazonder, A. W. (2015). Implementing innovative technologies through lesson plans: What kind of support do teachers prefer? Journal of Science Education and Technology, 24(6) 910–920. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-015-9573-5

Kademian, S. M., Arias, A. M., Davis, E. A., & Palincsar, A. S. (2017). Supporting the use of scientific language: Teachers' use of content-foregrounded educative features. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 28(2) 146–168. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2016.1277596

Klassen, R. M., & Tze, V. M. C. (2014). Teachers' self-efficacy, personality, and teaching effectiveness: A meta-analysis. Educational Research Review, 12 59–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2014.06.001 Kleickmann, T., Tröbst, S., Jonen, A., Vehmeyer, J., & Möller, K. (2016). The effects of expert scaffolding in elementary science professional development on teachers' beliefs and motivations, instructional practices, and student achievement. Journal of Educational Psychology, 108(1) 21–42. https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000041 Knight, J. (2007). Instructional coaching: A partnership approach to improving instruction. Thousand Oaks: Corwin Press.

Kraft, M. A., Blazar, D., & Hogan, D. (2018). The effect of teacher coaching on instruction and achievement: A meta-analysis of the causal evidence. Review of Educational Research, 88(4) 547–588. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654318759268

Krajcik, J. , & Delen, I. (2017). The benefits and limitations of educative curriculum materials. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 28(1) 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2017.1279470

Lederman, N. G. (1992). Students' and teachers' conceptions of the nature of science: A review of the research. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 29(4) 331–359. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.3660290404 Li, C. J. , Monroe, M. C. , Oxarart, A. , & Ritchie, T. (2021). Building teachers' self-efficacy in teaching about climate change through educative curriculum and professional development. Applied Environmental Education and Communication, 20(1) 34–48. https://doi.org/10.1080/1533015X.2019.1617806

Lin, S. F. , Lieu, S. C. , Chen, S. , Huang, M. T. , & Chang, W. H. (2012). Affording explicit-reflective science teaching by using an educative teachers' guide. International Journal of Science Education, 34(7) 999–1026. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2012.661484

Loper, S., McNeill, K. L., González-Howard, M., Marco-Bujosa, L. M., & O'Dwyer, L. M. (2019). The impact of multimedia educative curriculum materials (MECMs) on teachers' beliefs about scientific argumentation, Technology, Pedagogy and Education, 28(2) 173–190. https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939X.2019.1583121 Luera, G. R., Moyer, R. H., & Everett, S. A. (2005). What type and level of science content knowledge of elementary education students affect their ability to construct an inquiry-based science lesson? Journal of Elementary Science Education, 17(1) 12–25. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03174670

Magnusson, S., Krajcik, J., & Borko, H. (1999). Nature, sources, and development of pedagogical content knowledge for science teaching. In J. Gess-Newsome & N. G. Lederman (Eds.), Examining pedagogical content knowledge (Vol. 6, pp. 95–132). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Malanson, K. , Jacque, B. , Faux, R. , & Meiri, K. F. (2014). Modeling for fidelity: Virtual mentorship by scientists fosters teacher self-efficacy and promotes implementation of novel high school biomedical curricula. PLoS ONE, 9(12) 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0114929

Marco-Bujosa, L. M., McNeill, K. L., González-Howard, M., & Loper, S. (2016). An exploration of teacher learning from an educative reform-oriented science curriculum: Case studies of teacher curriculum use. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 54(2) 141–168. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21340

McNeill, K. L., Katsh-Singer, R., González-Howard, M., & Loper, S. (2016). Factors impacting teachers' argumentation instruction in their science classrooms. International Journal of Science Education, 38(12) 2026–2046. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2016.1221547

Monney, N. (2014). L'analyse interprétative de l'utilisation du matériel pédagogique par des enseignants du primaire en classe multiâge. Canadian Journal for New Scholars in Education, 5(1), 1–9.

Moore, N., Coldwell, M., & Perry, E. (2021). Exploring the role of curriculum materials in teacher professional development, Professional Development in Education. 47(2–3) 331–347.

https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2021.1879230

Mourshed, M., Chijioke, C., & Barber, M. (2010). How the world's most improved school systems keep getting better. London: McKinsey & Company.

Mujawamariya, D., & Lorette, N. (2004). Du matériel didactique de sciences conçu pour des enseignants en milieu francophone minoritaire: mise à contribution du potentiel des étudiants maîtres. Francophonies d'Amérique, 18 37–50. https://doi.org/10.7202/1005348ar

Pajares, M. F. (1992). Teachers' beliefs and educational research: Cleaning up a messy construct. Review of Educational Research, 62(3) 307–332. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543062003307

Penuel, W. R., Fishman, B. J., Yamaguchi, R., & Gallagher, L. P. (2007). What makes professional development effective? Strategies that foster curriculum implementation. American Educational Research Journal, 44(4) 921–958. https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831207308221

Pringle, R. M., Mesa, J., & Hayes, L. (2017). Professional development for middle school science teachers: Does an educative curriculum make a difference? Journal of Science Teacher Education, 28(1) 57–72. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2016.1277599 Remillard, J. T. (2005). Examining key concepts in research on teachers' use of mathematics curricula. Review of Educational Research, 75(2) 211–246. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543075002211

Remillard, J. T. , & Heck, D. J. (2014). Conceptualizing the curriculum enactment process in mathematics education. ZDM, 46(5) 705–718. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-014-0600-4

Roblin, N. P., Schunn, C., & McKenney, S. (2017). What are critical features of science curriculum materials that impact student and teacher outcomes? Science Education, 102(2) 260–282. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21328

Roseman, J. E., Herrmann-Abell, C. F., & Koppal, M. (2017). Designing for the Next Generation Science Standards: Educative curriculum materials and measures of teacher knowledge. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 28(1) 111–141. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560x.2016.1277598

Schmidt, W. H., Jorde, D., Cogan, L., Barrier, E., Ganzalo, I., Moser, U., ... Wolfe, R. G. (1996). Characterizing pedagogical flow: An investigation of mathematics and science teaching in six countries. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Schneider, R. M. (2013). Opportunities for teacher learning during enactment of inquiry science curriculum materials: Exploring the potential for teacher educative materials. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(2) 323–346. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-012-9309-9

Schneider, R. M., & Krajcik, J. (2002). Supporting science teacher learning: The role of educative curriculum materials. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 13(3) 221–245. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016569117024 Shulman, L. S. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: Foundations of the new reform. Harvard Educational Review, 57(1), 1–22.

Stein, M. K., Remillard, J., & Smith, M. S. (2007). How curriculum influences student learning. In F. K. Lester (Ed.), Second handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning. Greenwich: Information Age. Tan, Y. S. M., & Nashon, S. M. (2013). Promoting teacher learning through learning study discourse: The case of science teachers in Singapore. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(5) 859–877. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-013-9340-5

Taylor, J. A., Getty, S. R., Kowalski, S. M., Wilson, C. D., Carlson, J., & Van Scotter, P. (2015). An efficacy trial of research-based curriculum materials with curriculum-based professional development. American Educational Research Journal, 52(5) 984–1017. https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831215585962

Van Driel, J. H., Bulte, A. M., & Verloop, N. (2007). The relationships between teachers' general beliefs about teaching and learning and their domain specific curricular beliefs. Learning and Instruction, 17(2) 156–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2007.01.010

Wyner, Y. (2013). The impact of a novel curriculum on secondary biology teachers' dispositions toward using authentic data and media in their human impact and ecology lessons. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 24(5) 833–857. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-013-9335-2

Learning to Teach Controversial Topics

Bennett, J., Dunlop, L., Knox, K. J., Reiss, M. J., & Torrance-Jenkins, R. (2018). Practical Independent Research Projects in science: A synthesis and evaluation of the evidence of impact on high school students. International Journal of Science Education, 40 1755–1773. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2018.1511936 Branch, G., Reid, A., & Plutzer, E. (2021). Teaching evolution in U.S. public middle schools: Results of the first national survey. Evolution: Education and Outreach, 14, 8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12052-021-00145-z Bridges, D. (1986). Dealing with controversy in the curriculum: A philosophical perspective. In J. J. Wellington (Ed.), Controversial issues in the curriculum (pp. 19–38). Oxford: Basil Blackwell.

Britt, M. A., Richter, T., & Rouet, J.-F. (2014). Scientific literacy: The role of goal-directed reading and evaluation in understanding scientific information. Educational Psychologist, 49(2) 104–122. https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2014.916217

Bush, D., Sieber, R., Seiler, G., & Chandler, M. (2016). The teaching of anthropogenic climate change and earth science via technology-enabled inquiry education. Journal of Geoscience Education, 64(3) 159–174. https://doi.org/10.5408/15-127

Carr, W. A., Patterson, M., Yung, L., & Spencer, D. (2012). The faithful skeptics: Evangelical religious beliefs and perceptions of climate change. Journal for the Study of Religion, Nature and Culture, 6(3) 276–299. https://doi.org/10.1558/jsrnc.v6i3.276

Chen, Y., & So, W. M. (2017). An investigation of mainland china high school biology teachers' attitudes toward and ethical reasoning of three controversial bioethics issues. Asia-Pacific Science Education, 3, 1. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41029-016-0012-6

Claire, H., & Holden, C. (Eds.). (2007). The challenge of teaching controversial issues. Stoke-on-Trent: Trentham.

Dawson, V. (2015). Western Australian high school students' understandings about the socioscientific issue of climate change. International Journal of Science Education, 37(7) 1024–1043. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2015.1015181 Dearden, R. F. (1981/1984). Theory and practice in education. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul. Deniz, H., & Borgerding, L. A. (Eds.) (2018). Evolution education around the globe. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-90939-4

Durbach, N. (2004). Bodily matters: The anti-vaccination movement in England, 1853–1907. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Erduran, S. , & Jiménez-Aleixandre, M. P. (Eds.). (2007). Argumentation in science education: Perspectives from classroom-based research. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6670-2

Hand, M. (2008). What should we teach as controversial? A defense of the epistemic criterion. Educational Theory, 58 213–228. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-5446.2008.00285.x

Harms, U., & Reiss, M. J. (Eds.). (2019). Evolution education re-considered: Understanding what works. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-14698-6

Hermann, R. S. (2008). Evolution as a controversial issue: A review of instructional approaches. Science & Education, 17 1011–1032. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-012-9328-6

Hess, D. E. (2009). Controversy in the classroom: The democratic power of discussion. New York, NY: Routledge.

International Energy Agency . (2019). Nuclear power in a clean energy system. International Energy Agency. www.iea.org/publications/nuclear

Kötter, M., & Hammann, M. (2017). Controversy as a blind spot in teaching Nature of Science. Science & Education, 26 451–482. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-017-9913-3

Levinson, R. (2006). Towards a theoretical framework for teaching controversial socio-scientific issues. International Journal of Science Education, 28 1201–1224. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690600560753 Lockyer, B., Islam, S., Rahman, A., Dickerson, J., Pickett, K., Sheldon, T., ... Sheard, L. (2020).

Understanding Covid-19 misinformation and vaccine hesitancy in context: Findings from a qualitative study involving citizens in Bradford. UKmedRxiv, 2020.12.22.20248259. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.22.20248259 Lowe, P. (2015). Lessening sensitivity: Student experiences of teaching and learning sensitive issues. Teaching in Higher Education, 20(1), 119–129. https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2014.957272

Ma, J. (Ed.). (2021). Battery technologies: Materials and components. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

Mangahas, A. M. E. (2017). Perceptions of high school biology teachers in Christian schools on relationships between religious beliefs and teaching evolution. Journal of Research on Christian Education, 26(1) 24–43. https://doi.org/10.1080/10656219.2017.1282902

Markandya, A., & Wilkinson, P. (2007). Electricity generation and health. The Lancet, 370(9591) 979–990. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61253-7

Maynard Smith, J., & Szathmary, E. (2000). The origins of life: From the birth of life to the origin of language. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

McLaughlin, T. (2003). Teaching controversial issues in citizenship education. In A. Lockyer , B. Crick , & J. Annette (Eds.), Education for democratic citizenship: Issues of theory and practice (pp. 149–160). London: Routledge.

Oulton, C. , Dillon, J. , & Grace, M. (2004). Reconceptualizing the teaching of controversial issues. International Journal of Science Education, 26(4) 411–423. https://doi.org/10.1080/0950069032000072746

Pew Research Center . (2014). Religious groups' views on evolution. Pew Research Center.

www.pewforum.org/2009/02/04/religious-groups-views-on-evolution/

Ping, I. L. L., Halam, L., & Osman, K. (2020). Explicit teaching of scientific argumentation as an approach in developing argumentation skills, science process skills and biology understanding. Journal of Baltic Science Education, 19(2) 276–288. https://doi.org/10.33225/jbse/20.19.276

Reiss, M. J. (1993) Science education for a pluralist society. Milton Keynes: Open University Press.

Reiss, M. J. (2010). Ethical thinking. In A. Jones , A. McKim , & M. Reiss (Eds.), Ethics in the science and technology classroom: A new approach to teaching and learning (pp. 7–17). Rotterdam: Sense.

Reiss, M. J. (2011). How should creationism and intelligent design be dealt with in the classroom? Journal of Philosophy of Education, 45 399–415. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9752.2011.00790.x

Reiss, M. J. (2019). Evolution education: Treating evolution as a sensitive rather than a controversial issue. Ethics and Education, 14(3) 351–366. https://doi.org/10.1080/17449642.2019.1617391

Reiss, M. J. (2020). Science education in the light of COVID-19: The contribution of History, Philosophy and Sociology of Science. Science & Education, 29(4) 1079–1092. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-020-00143-5. Ristanto, R. H., Zubaidah, S., Amin, M., & Rohman, F. (2017). Scientific literacy of students learned through guided inguiry. International Journal of Research & Review, 4(5), 23–30.

Ritchie, S. M., Tomas, L., & Tones, M. (2011). Writing stories to enhance scientific literacy. International Journal of Science Education, 33(5) 685–707. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500691003728039

Rittel, H. W. J., & Webber, M. M. (1973). Dilemmas in a general theory of planning. Policy Sciences, 4 155–169. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01405730

Rousell, D., & Cutter-Mackenzie-Knowles, A. (2020). A systematic review of climate change education: Giving children and young people a 'voice' and a 'hand' in redressing climate change. Children's Geographies, 18(2) 191–208. https://doi.org/10.1080/14733285.2019.1614532

Rushton, E. A. C., Charters, L., & Reiss, M. J. (2021). The experiences of active participation in academic conferences for high school science students. Research in Science & Technological Education, 39(1) 90–108. https://doi.org/10.1080/02635143.2019.1657395

Sharma, A. (2012). Global climate change: What has science education got to do with it? Science & Education, 21 33–53. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-011-9372-1

Siani, M. , & Yarden, A. (2020). "Evolution? I don't believe in it." Science & Education, 29 411–441. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-020-00109-7

Susetyadi, A. D., Permanasari, A., & Riandi, R. (2020). The feasibility and readability test of stem-based integrated science teaching book model themed "blood as transportation system on our body." Journal of Physics: Conference Series, Science and STEM Education, 1521, 042054. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1521/4/042054

Sutton, C. (1992). Words, science and learning. Milton Keynes: Open University Press.

Wellington, J. (Ed.). (1986). Controversial issues in the curriculum. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.

Wellington, J., & Osborne, J. (2001). Language and literacy in science education. Buckingham: Open University Press.

Ylönen, M., Litmanen, T., Kojo, M., & Lindell, P. (2017). The (de)politicisation of nuclear power: The Finnish discussion after Fukushima. Public Understanding of Science, 26(3) 260–274.

https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662515613678

Yuliastini, I. B., Rahayu, S., Fajaroh, F., & Mansour, N. (2018). Effectiveness of POGIL with SSI context on vocational high school students' chemistry learning motivation. Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia, 7(1) 85–95. https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v7i1.9928

Zeidler, D. L. , & Sadler, T. D. (2008). Social and ethical issues in science education: A prelude to action. Science & Education, 17 799–803. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03173684

Professional Identity as a Framework for Science Teacher Education and Professional Development

Adams, J. D., & Gupta, P. (2017). Informal science institutions and learning to teach: An examination of identity, agency, and affordances. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 54(1), 121–138.

Akerson, V. L., Pongsanon, K., Weiland, I. S., & Nargund-Joshi, V. (2014). Developing a professional identity as an elementary teacher of nature of science: A self-study of becoming an elementary teacher. International Journal of Science Education, 36(12), 2055–2082.

Avraamidou, L. (2014a). Studying science teacher identity: Current insights and future research directions. Studies in Science Education, 50(2), 145–179.

Avraamidou, L. (2014b). Tracing a beginning elementary teacher's development of identity for science teaching. Journal of Teacher Education, 65(3), 223–240.

Avraamidou, L. (2016). Studying science teacher identity. In L. Avraamidou (Ed.), Studying science teacher identity (pp. 1–14). Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.

Beauchamp, C., & Thomas, L. (2009). Understanding teacher identity: An overview of issues in the literature and implications for teacher education. Cambridge Journal of Education, 39(2), 175–189.

Beijaard, D. , Meijer, P. C. , & Verloop, N. (2004). Reconsidering research on teachers' professional identity. Teaching and Teacher Education, 20(2), 107–128.

Beijaard, D., Verloop, N., & Vermunt, J. D. (2000). Teachers' perceptions of professional identity: An exploratory study from a personal knowledge perspective. Teaching and Teacher Education, 16(7), 749–764. Carlone, H. B., Haun-Frank, J., & Kimmel, S. C. (2010). Tempered radicals: Elementary teachers' narratives of teaching science within and against prevailing meanings of schooling. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 5, 941–965.

Chen, J. L., & Mensah, F. M. (2018). Teaching contexts that influence elementary preservice teachers' teacher and science teacher identity development. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 29(5), 420–439.

Chung-Parsons, R. , & Bailey, J. M. (2019). The hierarchical (not fluid) nature of preservice secondary science teachers' perceptions of their science teacher identity. Teaching and Teacher Education, 78, 39–48.

Danielsson, A. T., & Warwick, P. (2014). 'You have to give them some science facts': Primary student teachers' early negotiations of teacher identities in the intersections of discourses about science teaching and about primary teaching. Research in Science Education, 44(2), 289–305.

Deneroff, V. (2016). Professional development in person: Identity and the construction of teaching within a high school science department. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 11(2), 213–233.

Enyedy, N., Goldberg, J., & Welsh, K. M. (2006). Complex dilemmas of identity and practice. Science Education, 90(1), 68–93.

García, E., & Weiss, E. (2019). The teacher shortage is real, large and growing, and worse than we thought. The first report in "The Perfect Storm in the Teacher Labor Market" series. Economic Policy Institute. www.epi.org/publication/the-teacher-shortage-is-real-large-and-growing-and-worse-than-we-thought-the-firstreport-in-the-perfect-storm-in-the-teacher-labor-market-series/

Garner, J. K., & Kaplan, A. (2019). A complex dynamic systems perspective on teacher learning and identity formation: An instrumental case. Teachers and Teaching, 25(1), 7–33.

Gee, J. P. (2000). Identity as an analytic lens for research in education. Review of Research in Education, 25(1), 99–125.

Gunning, A. M., & Mensah, F. M. (2011). Preservice elementary teachers' development of self-efficacy and confidence to teach science: A case study. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 22(2), 171–185.

Holland, D. , Lachicotte, W. , Skinner, D. D. , & Cain, C. (1998). Identity and agency in cultural worlds. Cambridge, MA and London, England: Harvard University Press.

Kane, J. M., & Varelas, M. (2016). Elementary school teachers constructing teacher-of-science identities. In L. Avraamidou (Ed.), Studying science teacher identity (pp. 177–195). Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.

Korthagen, F. (2017). Inconvenient truths about teacher learning: Towards professional development 3.0. Teachers and Teaching, 23(4), 387–405.

Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

Lefstein, A., Vedder-Weiss, D., & Segal, A. (2020). Relocating research on teacher learning: Toward pedagogically productive talk. Educational Researcher, 49(5), 360–368.

Luehmann, A. (2007). Identity development as a lens to science teacher preparation. Science Education, 91, 822–839.

Luehmann, A. (2016). Practice-linked identity development in science teacher education: GET REAL! Science as a Figured World. In L. Avraamidou (Ed.), Studying science teacher identity (pp. 15–47). Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.

Luehmann, A. L., & Markowitz, D. (2007). Science teachers' perceived benefits of an out-of-school enrichment programme: Identity needs and university affordances. International Journal of Science Education, 29(9), 1133–1161.

Marco-Bujosa, L. M., Levy, A. J., & McNeill, K. (2020a). A case study exploring the identity of an in-service elementary science teacher: A language teacher first. Research in Science Education, 50(1), 79–98.

Marco-Bujosa, L. M., McNeill, K. L., & Friedman, A. A. (2020b). Becoming an urban science teacher: How beginning teachers negotiate contradictory school contexts. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 57(1), 3–32.

Melville, W., & Bartley, A. (2013). Constituting identities that challenge the contemporary discourse: Power, discourse, experience, and emotion. Science Education, 97(2), 171–190.

Melville, W., Wallace, J., & Bartley, A. (2007). Individuals and leadership in an Australian secondary science department: A qualitative study. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 16(6), 463–472.

Moore, F. M. (2008). Positional identity and science teacher professional development. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 45(6), 684–710.

NGSS Lead States . (2013). Next generation science standards: For states, by states. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

Nichols, S. L., Schutz, P. A., Rodgers, K., & Bilica, K. (2017). Early career teachers' emotion and emerging teacher identities. Teachers and Teaching, 23(4), 406–421.

Richmond, G. (2016). Making sense of the interplay of identity, agency, and context in the development of beginning science teachers in high-poverty schools. In L. Avraamidou (Ed.), Studying science teacher identity (pp. 219–235). Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.

Rivera Maulucci, M. S. (2013). Emotions and positional identity in becoming a social justice science teacher: Nicole's story. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 50(4), 453–478.

Rushton, E. A., & Reiss, M. J. (2020). Middle and high school science teacher identity considered through the lens of the social identity approach: A systematic review of the literature. Studies in Science Education. https://doi.org/10.1080/03057267.2020.1799621

Rutter, M., Maughan, B., Mortimore, P., & Ouston, J. (1979). Fifteen thousand hours: Secondary schools and their effects on children. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Saka, Y., Southerland, S. A., Kittleson, J., & Hutner, T. (2013). Understanding the induction of a science teacher: The interaction of identity and context. Research in Science Education, 43(3), 1221–1244. Schaefer, L. (2013). Beginning teacher attrition: A question of identity making and identity shifting. Teachers and Teaching, 19(3), 260–274.

Segal, A., Vedder-Weiss, D., & Trachtenberg-Maslaton, R. (2019, April 5–9). Tensions in teacher professional identity and talk about teaching in informal learning environments. Paper presentation. American Educational Research Association Annual Meeting, Toronto, Canada.

Settlage, J., Southerland, S. A., Smith, L. K., & Ceglie, R. (2009). Constructing a doubt-free teaching self: Self-efficacy, teacher identity, and science instruction within diverse settings. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 46(1), 102–125.

Smith, D. C., & Neale, D. C. (1989). The construction of subject matter knowledge in primary science teaching. Teaching and Teacher Education, 5(1), 1–20.

Sutherland, L., Howard, S., & Markauskaite, L. (2010). Professional identity creation: Examining the development of beginning preservice teachers' understanding of their work as teachers. Teaching and Teacher Education, 26, 455–465.

Upadhyay, B. (2009). Negotiating identity and science teaching in a high-stakes testing environment: An elementary teacher's perceptions. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 4(3), 569–586.

Van Driel, J. H., Beijaard, D., & Verloop, N. (2001). Professional development and reform in science education: The role of teachers' practical knowledge. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 38(2), 137–158.

Varelas, M. , House, R. , & Wenzel, S. (2005). Beginning teachers immersed into science: Scientist and science teacher identities. Science Education, 89(3), 492–516.

Vedder-Weiss, D., Biran, L., Kaplan, A., & Garner, J. K. (2018). Reflexive inquiry as a scaffold for teacher identity exploration during the first year of teaching. In E. Lyle (Ed.), The negotiated self: Employing reflexive inquiry to explore teacher identity (pp. 225–235). Leiden and Boston: Brill Sense.

Weldon, P. (2018). Early career teacher attrition in Australia: Evidence, definition, classification and measurement. Australian Journal of Education, 6(1), 61–78.

Emotion and Science Teacher Education

* Studies included in review

Abell, S. K. , & Bryan, L. A. (1997). Reconceptualizing the Elementary Science Methods Course Using a Reflection Orientation. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 8(3), 153–166.

* Adler, I., Zion, M., & Rimerman-Shmueli, E. (2019). Fostering teachers' reflections on the dynamic characteristics of open inquiry through metacognitive prompts. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30 763–787. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2019.1627060

Ahmed, S. (2004). Affective economies. Social Text, 22 117–139. https://doi.org/10.1215/01642472-22-2_79-117

* Akerson, V. L., Pongsanon, K., Weiland, I. S., & Nargund-Joshi, V. (2014). Developing a professional identity as an elementary teacher of nature of science: A self-study of becoming an elementary teacher. International Journal of Science Education, 36(12) 2055–2082. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2014.890763 Alexakos, K., Pride, L. D., Amat, A., Tsetsakos, P., Lee, K. J., Paylor-Smith, C., ... Smith, T. (2016). Mindfulness and discussing "thorny" issues in the classroom. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 11, 741–769.

Alsop, S. (2011). The body bites back. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 6, 611–623.

Bellocchi, A. (2017). Interaction ritual approaches to emotion and cognition in science learning experiences. In A. Bellocchi , C. Quigley , & K. Otrel-Cass (Eds.), Exploring emotions, aesthetics and wellbeing in science education research (pp. 85–106). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-43353-0_5

Bellocchi, A. (2019). Emotions and teacher education. In G. Noblit (Ed.), The Oxford research encyclopaedia of education. London, UK: Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190264093.013.773. Bellocchi, A., Quigley, C., & Otrel-Cass, K. (Eds.). (2017). Exploring emotions, aesthetics and wellbeing in science education research. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-43353-0 Chapman, M. (2006). Postcolonialism: A literary turn. English in Africa, 33, 7–20.

Davis, J. P., & Bellocchi, A. (2018). Emotions in learning science. In S. M. Ritchie & K. G. Tobin (Eds.), Eventful learning: Learner emotions. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.

https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004377912_002 * Dreon, O. , & McDonald, S. (2012). Being in the hot spot: A phenomenological study of two beginning teachers' experiences enacting inquiry science pedagogy. Teachers & Teaching, 18 297–313.

https://doi.org/10.1080/13540602.2012.629837

Fortus, D. (2014, June). Attending to affect in science education. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, Virtual Issue. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.

Grant, M. J., & Booth, A. (2009). A typology of reviews: An analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. Health Information Library Journal, 26(2) 91–108. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x.

Kolstø, S. D. (2001). Scientific literacy for citizenship: Tools for dealing with the science dimension of controversial SSI. Science Education, 85, 291–310.

* Lee, H., Chang, H., Choi, K., Kim, S.-W., & Zeidler, D. L. (2012). Developing character and values for global citizens: Analysis of pre-service science teachers' moral reasoning on socioscientific issues. International Journal of Science Education, 34(6) 925–953. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2011.625505

* Mbowane, C. K., de Villiers, J. J. R., & Braun, M. W. H. (2017). Teacher participation in science fairs as professional development in South Africa. South African Journal of Science, 113 1–7. https://doi.org/10.17159/sajs.2017/20160364 Moisander, J. K., Hirsto, H., & Fahy, K. M. (2016). Emotions in institutional work: A discursive perspective. Organization Studies, 37 963–990. https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840615613377

* Ritchie, S. M., Kidman, G., & Vaughan, T. (2007). Professional learning opportunities from uncovering cover stories of science and science teaching for a scientist-in-transition. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 2 225–242. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11422-006-9044-7

Ritchie, S. M., & Tobin, K. G. (Eds.). (2018). Eventful learning: Learner emotions. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004377912

* Ritchie, S. M., Tobin, K. G., Hudson, P., Roth, W.-M., & Mergard, V. (2011). Reproducing successful rituals in bad times: Exploring emotional interactions of a new science teacher. Science Education, 95 745–765. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20440

* Ritchie, S. M., Tobin, K. P., Sandhu, M., Sandhu, S., Henderson, S., & Roth, W.-M. (2013). Emotional arousal of beginning physics teachers during extended experimental investigations. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 50 137–161. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21060

Sadler, T. D. (2004). Informal reasoning regarding SSI: A critical review of research. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 41, 513–536.

Sadler, T. D., & Zeidler, D. L. (2005). Patterns of informal reasoning in the context of socioscientific decision making. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 42(1), 112–138.

Scherer, K. R. (2005). What are emotions? And how can they be measured? Social Science Information, 44(4), 695–729.

Sinatra, G., Broughton, S. H., & Lombardi, D. (2014). Emotions in science education. In R. Perkun & L. Linnenbrink-Garcia (Eds.), International handbook of emotions in education (pp. 415–436). London: Routledge. Thoits, P. A. (1989). The sociology of emotions. Annual Reviewof Sociology, 15, 317–342.

* Topçu, M. S., Yilmaz-Tuzun, O., & Sadler, T. D. (2011). Turkish preservice science teachers' informal reasoning regarding socioscientific issues and the factors influencing their informal reasoning. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 22 313–333. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-010-9221-0

Turner, J. H. (2007). Human emotions: A sociological theory. London, UK: Routledge.

Watts, M., & Alsop, S. (1997). A feeling for learning: Modelling affective learning in school science. The Curriculum Journal, 8, 351–365.

Wickman, P-O. (2017). Back to the drawing board: Examining the philosophical foundations of educational research on aesthetics and emotions. In A. Bellocchi , K. Otrel-Cass , & C. Quigley (Eds.), Exploring emotions, aesthetics and wellbeing in science education research (pp. 39–54). Dordrecht, Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-43353-0 2

Zembylas, M. (2005). Emotions and science teaching: Present research and future agendas. In S. Alsop (Ed.), Beyond Cartesian dualism: Encountering affect in the teaching and learning of science. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer.

* Zembylas, M. , & Buhlman Barker, H. (2002). Preservice teacher attitudes and emotions: Individual spaces, community conversations and transformations. Research in Science Education, 32 329–351. https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1020862000107

Learning to Teach Science From a Contextualized Stance

Amolins, M. W., Ezrailson, C. M., Pearce, D. A., Elliott, A. J., & Vitiello, P. F. (2015). Evaluating the effectiveness of a laboratory-based professional development program for science educators. Advances in Physiology Education, 39(4) 341–351. https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00088.2015

Anderson, D., Nashon, S., Namazzi, E., Okemwa, P., Ombogo, P., Ooko, S., & Beru, F. (2015). Transformations in Kenyan science teachers' locus of control: The Influence of contextualized science and emancipated student learning. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(7) 599–617. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-015-9440-5

Boger, R., Yule, S., & Sparrow, E. (2013). Strategies for teaching to a changing world: Lessons from Arusha, Tanzania. International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education, 22(3) 209–225. https://doi.org/10.1080/10382046.2013.817655

Brown, J. C. , & Crippen, K. J. (2016). The growing awareness inventory: Building capacity for culturally responsive science and mathematics with a structured observation protocol. School Science and Mathematics, 116(3) 127–138. https://doi.org/10.1111/ssm.12163

Brown, J. C., & Crippen, K. J. (2017). The knowledge and practices of high school science teachers in pursuit of cultural responsiveness. Science Education, 101(1) 99–133. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21250

Chinn, P. W. U. (2006). Preparing science teachers for culturally diverse students: Developing cultural literacy through cultural immersion, cultural translators and communities of practice. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 1(2) 367–402. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11422-006-9014-0

de Putter-Smits, L., G. A., Nieveen, N. M., Taconis, R., & Jochems, W. (2020). A one-year teacher professional development programme towards context-based science education using a concerns-based

approach. Professional Development in Education, Published Online, 18.

https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2020.1712616

Elster, D. (2009). Biology in context: Teachers' professional development in learning communities. Journal of Biological Education, 43(2) 53–61. https://doi.org/10.1080/00219266.2009.9656152

Engle, R. A., Nguyen, P. D., & Mendelson, A. (2011). The influence of framing on transfer: Initial evidence from a tutoring experiment. Instructional Science, 39(5) 603–628. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-010-9145-2 George, J. M. (2013). 'Do you have to pack?' – Preparing for culturally relevant science teaching in the Caribbean. International Journal of Science Education, 35(12) 2114–2131.

https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2012.760138

George, J. M., & Lubben, F. (2002). Facilitating teachers' professional growth through their involvement in creating context-based materials in science. International Journal of Educational Development, 22(6) 659–672. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0738-0593(01)00033-5

Giamellaro, M. (2014). Primary contextualization of science learning through immersion in content-rich settings. International Journal of Science Education, 36(17) 2848–2871. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2014.937787 Giamellaro, M. (2017). Dewey's yardstick: Contextualization as a measure of experience in learning and education. SAGE Open, 7(1) 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244017700463

Glazewski, K., Shuster, M. I., Brush, T., & Ellis, A. (2014). Conexiones: Fostering socioscientific inquiry in graduate teacher preparation. Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning, 8(1). https://doi.org/10.7771/1541-5015.1419

Handa, V. C. , & Tippins, D. J. (2013). Tensions in the third space: Locating relevancy in preservice science teacher preparation. International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 11(1) 237–265. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-012-9364-x

Herrington, D. G., Luxford, K., & Yezierski, E. J. (2012). Target inquiry: Helping teachers use a research experience to transform their teaching practices. Journal of Chemical Education, 89(4) 442–448. https://doi.org/10.1021/ed1006458

Hughes-McDonnell, F. J., & Burgess, D. R. (2011). Teacher explorations of science and science learning generate insights into inquiry and teaching. LEARNing Landscapes, 4(2) 195–213. https://doi.org/10.36510/learnland.v4i2.396

Kapici, H. O., & Ilhan, G. (2016). Pre-service teachers' attitudes toward socio-scientific issues and their views about nuclear power plants. Journal of Baltic Science Education, 15(5), 642–652.

Kara, Y. (2012). Pre-service biology teachers' perceptions on the instruction of socio-scientific issues in the curriculum. European Journal of Teacher Education, 35(1) 111–129.

https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2011.633999

King, D., & Ritchie, S. M. (2012). Learning science through real-world contexts. In B. J. Fraser, K. Tobin, & C. J. McRobbie (Eds.), Second international handbook of science education (Vol. 24, pp. 69–79). Springer Netherlands. http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4020-9041-7_6

Klosterman, M. L., Sadler, T. D., & Brown, J. (2012). Science teachers' use of mass media to address socioscientific and sustainability issues. Research in Science Education, 42(1) 51–74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-011-9256-z

Lehman, J. D., George, M., Buchanan, P., & Rush, M. (2006). Preparing teachers to use problem-centered, inquiry-based science: Lessons from a four-year professional development project. Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.7771/1541-5015.1007

Lupión-Cobos, T., López-Castilla, R., & Blanco-López, Á. (2017). What do science teachers think about developing scientific competences through context-based teaching? A case study. International Journal of Science Education, 39(7) 937–963. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2017.1310412

Mandrikas, A., Stavrou, D., Halkia, K., & Skordoulis, C. (2018). Preservice elementary teachers' study concerning wind on weather maps. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 29(1) 65–82. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2017.1423458

Miller, A. R., & Kastens, K. A. (2018). Investigating the impacts of targeted professional development around models and modeling on teachers' instructional practice and student learning. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 55(5) 641–663. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21434

Mishra, C., Ha, S. J., Parker, L. C., & Clase, K. L. (2019). Describing teacher conceptions of technology in authentic science inquiry using technological pedagogical content knowledge as a lens. Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education, 00(00), 1–8.

Nam, Y., Roehrig, G., Kern, A., & Reynolds, B. (2013). Perception and practices of culturally relevant science teaching in American Indian classrooms. International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 11(1) 143–167. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-012-9372-x

Peters-Burton, E. E., Merz, S. A., Ramirez, E. M., & Saroughi, M. (2015). The effect of cognitive apprenticeship-based professional development on teacher self-efficacy of science teaching, motivation, knowledge, calibration, and perceptions of inquiry-based teaching. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 26(6) 525–548. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-015-9436-1

Rebull, L. M., Roberts, T., Laurence, W., Fitzgerald, M., French, D., Gorjian, V., & Squires, G. K. (2018). Motivations of educators for participating in NITARP, an authentic astronomy research experience professional development program. Physical Review Physics Education Research, 14(2), 020102. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.14.020102

Roehrig, G. H., Dubosarsky, M., Mason, A., Carlson, S., & Murphy, B. (2011). We look more, listen more, notice more: Impact of sustained professional development on head start teachers' inquiry-based and culturally-relevant science teaching practices. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 20(5), 566–578. Rosenthal, J. L. (2018). Teacher candidates in the garden. Science Activities: Classroom Projects and Curriculum Ideas, 55(1) 20–27. https://doi.org/10.1080/00368121.2017.1403875

Sadler, T. D., Amirshokoohi, A., Kazempour, M., & Allspaw, K. M. (2006). Socioscience and ethics in science classrooms: Teacher perspectives and strategies. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 43(4) 353–376. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20142

Schumacher, A., & Reiners, C. S. (2013). Designing authentic Learning environments in chemistry lessons: Paving the way in pre-service teacher education. Science & Education, 22(9) 2173–2191. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-012-9552-7

Sezen-Barrie, A., Moore, J., & Roig, C. E. (2015). Discovering plate boundaries in data-integrated environments: Preservice teachers' conceptualization and implementation of scientific practices. International Journal of Science Education, 37(12) 2013–2037. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2015.1061226 Singh-Pillay, A., Alant, B. P., & Nwokocha, G. (2017). Tapping into basic 7–9 science and technology teachers' conceptions of indigenous knowledge in Imo State, Nigeria. African Journal of Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 21(2) 125–135. https://doi.org/10.1080/18117295.2017.1327240

Stark, S. M., Reagh, Z. M., Yassa, M. A., & Stark, C. E. L. (2017). What's in a context? Cautions, limitations, and potential paths forward. Neuroscience Letters, 680 77–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2017.05.022 Titu, P., Ring-Whalen, E. A., Brown, J. C., & Roehrig, G. H. (2018). Exploring changes in science teachers' attitudes toward culturally diverse students during an equity-focused course. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 29(5) 378–396. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2018.1461006

Tolbert, S. , & Knox, C. (2016). 'They might know a lot of things that I don't know': Investigating differences in preservice teachers' ideas about contextualizing science instruction in multilingual classrooms. International Journal of Science Education, 38(7) 1133–1149. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2016.1183266 Torquati, J. , Cutler, K. , Gilkerson, D. , & Sarver, S. (2013). Early childhood educators' perceptions of nature, science, and environmental education. Early Education & Development, 24(5) 721–743. https://doi.org/10.1080/10409289.2012.725383

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Waldrip, B. G., Timothy, J. T., & Wilikai, W. (2007). Pedagogic principles in negotiating cultural conflict: A Melanesian example. International Journal of Science Education, 29(1) 101–122. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690600718195

Wallace, C. S., & Brooks, L. (2015). Learning to teach elementary science in an experiential, informal context: Culture, learning, and identity. Science Education, 99(1) 174–198. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21138 Windschitl, M. (2003). Inquiry projects in science teacher education: What can investigative experiences reveal about teacher thinking and eventual classroom practice? Science Education, 87(1) 112–143. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.10044

Yerrick, R., & Beatty-Adler, D. (2011). Addressing equity and diversity with teachers through informal science institutions and teacher professional development. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 22(3) 229–253. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-011-9226-3

Learning in and Through Researcher-Teacher Collaboration

Aksela, M. (2019). Towards student-centred solutions and pedagogical innovations in science education through co-design approach within design-based research. LUMAT: International Journal on Math, Science and Technology Education, 7(3) 113–139. https://doi.org/10.1080/02680513.2016.1265442

Allen, C. D., & Heredia, S. C. (2021). Reframing organizational contexts from barriers to levers for teacher learning in science education reform. Journal of Science Teacher Education. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2020.1794292

Areljung, S. , Leden, L. , & Wiblom, J. (2021). Expanding the notion of 'ownership' in participatory research involving teachers and researchers. International Journal of Research & Method in Education, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1080/1743727X.2021.1892060

Bang, M., Faber, L., Gurneau, J., Marin, A., & Soto, C. (2016). Community-Based design research: Learning across generations and strategic transformations of institutional relations toward axiological innovations. Mind, Culture, and Activity, 23(1) 28–41. https://doi.org/10.1080/10749039.2015.1087572

Bang, M. , Medin, D. , Washinawatok, K. , & Chapman, S. (2010). Innovations in culturally based science education through partnerships and community. In New science of learning (pp. 569–592). New York, NY: Springer.

Bang, M., & Vossoughi, S. (2016). Participatory design research and educational justice: Studying learning and relations within social change making. Cognition and Instruction, 34(3) 173–193. https://doi.org/10.1080/07370008.2016.1181879

Basile, V., & Lopez, E. (2015). And still I see no changes: Enduring views of students of color in science and mathematics education policy reports. Science Education, 99(3), 519–548.

Bell, P. (2019). Infrastructuring teacher learning about equitable science instruction. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(7) 681–690. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2019.1668218

Bereiter, C. (2014). Principled practical knowledge: Not a bridge but a ladder. Journal of the Learning Sciences, 23(1), 4–17.

Berland, L., Manz, E., Miller, E., & Stroupe, D. (2019). Working with and shifting the system: A response to Elby's commentary. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 56(4) 521–525. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21543

Bryk, A. S., Gomez, L. M., Grunow, A., & LeMahieu, P. G. (2015). Learning to improve: How America's schools can get better at getting better. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.

Calabrese Barton, A., & Johnson, V. (2002). Truncating agency: Peer review and participatory research. Research in Science Education, 32(2) 191–214. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016078128502

Campbell, T. , McKenna, T. J. , Fazio, X. , Hetherington-Coy, A. , & Pierce, P. (2019). Negotiating coherent science teacher professional learning experiences across a university and partner school settings. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(2) 179–199. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2018.1547033

Cochran-Smith, M., & Lytle, S. (1993). Inside/outside: Teacher research and knowledge. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

Cuban, L. (1993). How teachers taught: Constancy and change in American classrooms, 1880–1990 (2nd ed.). New York: Teachers College Press.

DiSalvo, B., Yip, J., Bonsignore, E., & DiSalvo, C. (Eds.). (2017). Participatory design for learning: Perspectives from practice and research. London: Taylor & Francis.

Emilson, A., Hillgren, P.-A., & Seravalli, A. (2014). Designing in the neighborhood: Beyond (and in the shadow of) creative communities. In P. Ehn, E. M. Nilsson, & R. Topgaard (Eds.), Making futures: Marginal notes on innovation, design, and democracy (pp. 35–62). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Engeström, Y., & Sannino, A. (2010). Studies of expansive learning: Foundations, findings and future challenges. Educational Research Review, 5 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2009.12.002

Esteban-Guitart, M. , Serra, J. M. , & Llopart, M. (2018). The role of the study group in the funds of knowledge approach. Mind, Culture, and Activity, 25(3) 216–228. https://doi.org/10.1080/10749039.2018.1448871

Fishman, B. J., Penuel, W. R., Hegedus, S., & Roschelle, J. (2011). What happens when the research ends? Factors related to the sustainability of a technology-infused mathematics curriculum. Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching, 30(4) 329–353. www.editlib.org/p/36145

Fortney, B. S., Morrison, D., Rodriguez, A. J., & Upadhyay, B. (2019). Equity in science teacher education: Toward an expanded definition. Cultural Studies of Science Education, 14(2), 259–263.

Frumin, K. (2018). Researchers and practitioners in partnership: Co-design of a high school biology curriculum. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Graduate School of Education.

Gray, R., McDonald, S., & Stroupe, D. (2021). What you find depends on how you see: Examining asset and deficit perspectives of preservice science teachers' knowledge and learning. Studies in Science Education, 1–32. https://doi.org/10.1080/03057267.2021.1897932

Gutiérrez, K. D. (2008). Developing a sociocritical literacy in the third space. Reading Research Quarterly, 43(2), 148–164.

Gutiérrez, K. D., Morales, P. Z., & Martinez, D. C. (2009). Re-mediating literacy: Culture, difference, and learning for students from nondominant communities. Review of Research in Education, 33(1), 212–245. Gutiérrez, K. D., & Jurow, A. S. (2016). Social design experiments: Toward equity by design. Journal of the Learning Sciences, 25(4) 565–598. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10508406.2016.1204548

Hall, J. L., Campbell, T., & Lundgren, L. (2021). Re-designing infrastructure as a strategy for crafting coherence across three networks focused on the implementation of the Next Generation Science Standards. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21688

Hamza, K., Piqueras, J., Wickman, P. O., & Angelin, M. (2018). Who owns the content and who runs the risk? Dynamics of teacher change in teacher – researcher collaboration. Research in Science Education, 48(5) 963–987. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-016-9594-y

Herrenkohl, L. R., DeWater, L. S., & Kawasaki, K. (2010). Teacher-researcher collaboration as a human science. In W. R. Penuel & K. O'Connor (Eds.), Learning research as a human science. National Society for the Study of Education Yearbook, 109(1), 207–221.

Hopkins, M., & Spillane, J. P. (2015). Conceptualizing relations between instructional guidance infrastructure (IGI) and teachers' beliefs about mathematics instruction: Regulative, normative, and cultural-cognitive considerations. Journal of Educational Change, 16(4) 431–450. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10833-015-9257-1 Hundal, S., Levin, D. M., & Keselman, A. (2014). Lessons of researcher – teacher co-design of an environmental health afterschool club curriculum. International Journal of Science Education, 36(9) 1510–1530. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2013.844377

Kali, Y., Eylon, B. S., McKenney, S., & Kidron, A. (2018). Design-centric research-practice partnerships: Three key lenses for building productive bridges between theory and practice. Learning, Design, and Technology, 1–30.

Kidron, A., & Kali, Y. (2017). Extending the applicability of design-based research through research-practice partnerships. EDe R. Educational Design Research, 1(2). https://doi.org/10.15460/eder.1.2.1157

Kumpulainen, K. , Kajamaa, A. , & Rajala, A. (2018). Understanding educational change: Agency-structure dynamics in a novel design and making environment. Digital Education Review, (33), 26–38.

Lave, J. (1996). Teaching, as learning, in practice. Mind, Culture, and Activity, 3(3) 149–164. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327884mca0303_2

Manz, E., & Suárez, E. (2018). Supporting teachers to negotiate uncertainty for science, students, and teaching. Science Education, 102(4) 771–795. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21343

Matuk, C. F., Linn, M. C., & Eylon, B. S. (2015). Technology to support teachers using evidence from student work to customize technology-enhanced inquiry units. Instructional Science, 43(2) 229–257. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-014-9338-1

National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine . (2016). Science teachers' learning: Enhancing opportunities, creating supportive contexts. Washington, DC: National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine.

Oakes, J., & Lipton, M. (2002). Struggling for educational equity in diverse communities: School reform as social movement. Journal of Educational Change, 3(3–4) 383–406. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021225728762 Ormel, B. J., Roblin, N. N. P., McKenney, S. E., Voogt, J. M., & Pieters, J. M. (2012). Research – practice interactions as reported in recent design studies: Still promising, still hazy. Educational Technology Research and Development, 60(6) 967–986. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-012-9261-6

Packer, M. (2010). Educational research as a reflexive science of constitution. Learning Research as a Human Science, 109(1), 17–33.

Parsons, E. R. (2019). Why not an integrative and inclusive approach – hands on and "mindson?" A lesson for mentoring 21st century science education researchers. Science Education, 103(5) 1284–1288. https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21540

Peel, A., Dabholkar, S., Anton, G., Wu, S., Wilensky, U., & Horn, M. (2020). A case study of teacher professional growth through co-design and implementation of a computationally enriched biology unit. In M. Gresalfi, I. S. Horn, N. Enyedy, H.- J. So, V. Hand, K. Jackson, S. E. McKenney, A. Leftstein, & T. M. Philip (Eds.), Proceedings of the international conference of the learning sciences. International Society of the Learning Sciences.

Penuel, W. R. (2019a). Co-Design as infrastructuring with attention to power: Building collective capacity for equitable teaching and learning through Design-Based Implementation Research. In J. M. Pieters , J. M. Voogt , & N. N. P. Roblin (Eds.), Collaborative curriculum design for sustainable innovation and teacher learning (pp. 387–401). Cham, Switzerland: Springer.

Penuel, W. R. (2019b). Infrastructuring as a practice of design-based research for supporting and studying equitable implementation and sustainability of innovations. Journal of the Learning Sciences, 28(4–5) 659–677. https://doi.org/10508406.2018.1552151

Penuel, W. R., Roschelle, J., & Shechtman, N. (2007). Designing formative assessment software with teachers: An analysis of the co-design process. Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning, 2(1) 51–74. https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793206807000300.

Rajala, A., & Kumpulainen, K. (2017). Researching teachers' agentic orientations to educational change in Finnish schools. In M. Goller & S. Paloniemi (Eds.), Agency at Work (pp. 311–329). Cham, Switzerland: Springer.

Reiser, B. J., Spillane, J. P., Steinmuler, F., Sorsa, D., Carney, K., & Kyza, E. (2000). Investigating the mutual adaptation process in teachers' design of technology-infused curricula. In DiSalvo, B., Yip, J., Bonsignore, E., & DiSalvo, C. (Eds.) Fourth international conference of the learning sciences (pp. 342–349). International Society of the Learning Sciences.

Riedy, R., Van Horne, K., Bell, P., Penuel, W. R., Neill, T., & Shaw, S. (2018). Mapping networks to help education leaders gain insights into complex educational systems. In J. Kay & R. Luckin (Eds.), 13th international conference of the learning sciences (Vol. 1, pp. 656–662). International Society of the Learning Sciences.

Roth, W. M., Tobin, K., Zimmermann, A., Bryant, N., & Davis, C. (2002). Lessons on and from the dihybrid cross: An activity – theoretical study of learning in coteaching. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 39(3) 253–282. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.10018

Sabelli, N., & Dede, C. (2013). Empowering design based implementation research: The need for infrastructure. National Society for the Study of Education Yearbook, 112(2), 464–480.

Sfard, A. (1998). On two metaphors for learning and the dangers of choosing just one. Educational Researcher, 27(2), 4–13.

Severance, S., Penuel, W. R., Sumner, T., & Leary, H. (2016). Organizing for teacher agency in curricular codesign. Journal of the Learning Sciences, 25(4), 531–564.

Thompson, J., Richards, J., Shim, S. Y., Lohwasser, K., Von Esch, K. S., Chew, C., ... Morris, A. (2019). Launching networked PLCs: Footholds into creating and improving knowledge of ambitious and equitable

teaching practices in an RPP. AERA Open, 5(3), 2332858419875718.

Tissenbaum, M. , Lui, M. , & Slotta, J. D. (2012). Co-designing collaborative smart classroom curriculum for secondary school science. Journal of Universal Computer Science, 18(3), 327–352.

Voogt, J., Laferriere, T., Breuleux, A., Itow, R. C., Hickey, D. T., & McKenney, S. (2015). Collaborative design as a form of professional development. Instructional Science, 43(2) 259–282. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-014-9340-7

Voogt, J. M., Pieters, J. M., & Handelzalts, A. (2016). Teacher collaboration in curriculum design teams: Effects, mechanisms, and conditions. Educational Research and Evaluation, 22 (3–4) 121–140. https://doi.org/10.1080/13803611.2016.1247725

Wingert, K., Riedy, R., Campanella, M., & Penuel, W. R. (2020). Equity across state systems: Possibilities and tensions in understanding scale. In Gresalfi, M. & Horn, I. S. (Eds.), The Interdisciplinarity of the Learning Sciences, 14th International Conference of the Learning Sciences (ICLS) 2020 (Vol. 4, pp. 2453–2460). Nashville, TN: International Society of the Learning Sciences.

Woulfin, S. L. (2015). Highway to reform: The coupling of district reading policy and instructional practice. Journal of Educational Change, 16(4) 535–557. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10833-015-9261-5

Yamagata-Lynch, L. C., & Haudenschild, M. T. (2009). Using activity systems analysis to identify inner contradictions in teacher professional development. Teaching and Teacher Education, 25(3) 507–517. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2008.09.014

Yeager, D., Bryk, A., Muhich, J., Hausman, H., & Morales, L. (2013). Practical measurement. Palo Alto, CA: Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching.

Zeichner, K. M. (1995). Beyond the divide of teacher research and academic research. Teachers and Teaching, 1(2) 153–172. https://doi.org/10.1080/13540

Integrated STEM Teacher Education

Adams, A. E., Miller, B. G., Saul, M., & Pegg, J. (2014). Supporting elementary pre-service teachers to teach STEM through place-based teaching and learning experiences. Electronic Journal of Science Education, 18(5), 1–22.

Adeyemi, B. A. (2007). Learning social studies through mastery approach. Educational Research and Review, 2(4), 60–63.

Alan, B., Zengin, F. K., & Keçeci, G. (2019). Using STEM Applications for supporting integrated teaching knowledge of pre-service science teachers. Journal of Baltic Science Education, 18(2) 158–170. https://doi.org/10.33225/jbse/19.18.158

Aranda, M. L., Guzey, S. S., & Moore, T. J. (2020). Multidisciplinary discourses in an engineering designbased science curricular unit. International Journal of Technology and Design Education, 30(3) 507–529. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-019-09517-5

Asghar, A., Ellington, R., Rice, E., Johnson, F., & Prime, G. M. (2012). Supporting STEM education in secondary science contexts. The Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning, 6, 85–125. Avery, Z. K., & Reeve, E. M. (2013). Developing effective STEM professional development programs. Journal of Technology Education, 25(1), 55–69.

Baker, C. K., & Galanti, T. M. (2017). Integrating STEM in elementary classrooms using model eliciting activities: Responsive professional development for mathematics coaches and teachers. International Journal of STEM Education, 4(1), 10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-017-0066-3

Bakirci, H. , & Kutlu, E. (2018). Determination of science teachers' views on STEM approach. Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education, 9(2), 367–389. https://doi.org/10.16949/turkbilmat.417939

Barrell, J. (2010). Problem-based learning: The foundation for 21st century skills. In J. Bellanca & R. Brandt (Eds.), 21st century skills: Rethinking how students learn. Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree Press.

Bartels, S. L., Rupe, K. M., & Lederman, J. S. (2019). Shaping preservice teachers' understandings of STEM: A collaborative math and science methods approach. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 30(6) 666–680. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2019.1602803

Bauer, K. W., & Bennett, J. S. (2003). Alumni perceptions used to assess undergraduate research experience. The Journal of Higher Education, 74(2), 210–230.

Becker, K., & Park, K. (2011). Effects of integrative approaches among science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) subjects on students' learning: A preliminary meta-analysis, Journal of STEM Education, 12, 23–37.

Boyle, J. D., Svihla, V., Tyson, K., Bowers, H., Buntjer, J. Garcia-Olp, M., . . Sample, S. (2013). Preparing teachers for new standards: From content in core disciplines to disciplinary practices. Teacher Education and Practice, 26(2), 199–220.

Breiner, J., Harkness, M., Johnson, C. C., & Koehler, C. (2012). What is STEM? A discussion about conceptions of STEM in education and partnerships. School Science and Mathematics, 112(1), 3–11.

Brown, B. A., Boda, P., Lemmi, C., & Monroe, X. (2018). Moving culturally relevant pedagogy from theory to practice: Exploring teachers' application of culturally relevant education in science and mathematics. Urban Education, 54(6), 775–803.

Bruce-Davis, M. N., Gubbins, E. J., Gilson, C. M., Villanueva, M., Foreman, J. L., & DaVia Rubenstein, L. (2014). STEM high school administrators', teachers' and students' perceptions of curricular and instructional strategies and practices. Journal of Advanced Academics, 25, 272–306.

Burris, C. C. , & Welner, K. G. (2005). Closing the achievement gap by detracking. Phi Delta Kappan, 86, 594–598.

Chubin, D. E., May, G. S., & Babco, E. (2005). Diversifying the engineering workforce. Journal of Engineering Education, 94(1), 73–86.

Cinar, S. , Pirasa, N. , Uzun, N. , & Erenler, S. (2016). The effect of STEM education on pre-service teachers' perception of interdisciplinary education. Journal of Turkish Science Education, 13, 118–142.

Cole, D., & Espinoza, A. (2008). Examining the academic success of Latino students in science technology engineering and mathematics (STEM) majors. Journal of College Student Development, 49(4), 285–300. Corlu, M. S., Capraro, R. M., & Capraro, M. M. (2014). Introducing STEM education: Implications for educating our teachers for the age of innovation. Education and Science, 39(171), 74–85.

Dare, E. A., Ring-Whalen, E. A., & Roehrig, G. H. (2019). Creating a continuum of STEM models: Exploring how K – 12 science teachers conceptualize STEM education. International Journal of Science Education, 41, 1701–1720.

Desimone, L. M. (2009). Improving impact studies of teachers' professional development: Toward better conceptualizations and measures. Educational Researcher, 38(3), 181–199.

DiFrancesca, D., Lee, C., & McIntyre, E. (2014). Where is the "E" in STEM for young children? Engineering design education in an elementary teacher preparation program. Issues in Teacher Education, 23(1), 49–64. Dong, Y., Wang, J., Yang, Y., & Kurup, P. M. (2020). Understanding intrinsic challenges to STEM instructional practices for Chinese teachers based on their beliefs and knowledge base. International Journal of STEM Education, 7(1), 47. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-020-00245-0

Ellerbrock, C. R., Vomvoridi-Ivanovic, E., Sarnoff, K., Jones, B., & Thomas, M. (2019). Collaborating to "grow our own": The helios STEM middle school residency program. The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas, 92(4–5) 119–124. https://doi.org/10.1080/00098655.2019.1614516

Fries-Britt, S., Younger, T., & Hall, W. (2010). Underrepresented minorities in physics: How perceptions of race and campus climate affect student outcomes. In T. E. Dancy (Ed.), Managing diversity: (Re)visioning equity on college campuses (pp. 181–198). New York, NY: Peter Lang.

Hurtado, S., Cabrera, N. L., Lin, M. H., Arellano, L., & Espinosa, L. L. (2009). Diversifying science: Underrepresented student experiences in structured research programs. Research in Higher Education, 50(2), 189–214.

Jaipal-Jamani, K., & Angeli, C. (2017). Effect of robotics on elementary preservice teachers' self-efficacy, science learning, and computational thinking. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 26, 175–192. Johnson, C. C. (2013). Conceptualizing integrated STEM education. School Science and Mathematics, 113, 367–368.

Johnson, C. C. , Peters-Burton, E. E. , & Moore, T. J. (Eds.). (2015). STEM road map: A framework for integrated STEM education. New York, NY: Routledge.

Johnson, C. C. , & Sondergeld, T. A. (2020). Outcomes of an integrated STEM high school: Enabling access and achievement for all students. Urban Education, 1–27, https://doi.org/10.1177/0042085920914368 Johnston, A. C. , Akarsu, M. , Moore, T. J. , & Guzey, S. S. (2019). Engineering as the integrator: A case study of one middle school science teacher's talk. Journal of Engineering Education, 108(3) 418–440. https://doi.org/10.1002/jee.20286

Jones, W. M., Smith, S., & Cohen, J. (2017). Preservice teachers' beliefs about using maker activities in formal K-12 educational settings: A multi-institutional study. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 49(3–4) 134–148. https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2017.1318097

Ladson-Billings, G. (1995). Toward a theory of culturally relevant pedagogy. American Educational Research Journal, 32(3) 465–491. https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312032003465

Lesh, R., Lester, F., & Hjalmarson, M. (2003). A models and modelling perspective on metacognitive functioning in everyday situations where mathematical constructs need to be developed. In R. Lesh & H. M. Doerr (Eds.), Beyond constructivism: A models & modelling perspective on mathematics problem solving, learning & teaching (pp. 383–404). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.

Li, Y. (2008). Mathematical preparation of elementary school teachers: Generalists versus content specialists. School Science and Mathematics, 108(5), 169–172.

Luft, J. A., Diamond, J. M., Zhang, C., & White, D. Y. (2020). Research on K-12 STEM professional development programs. In C. C. Johnson , M. J. Mohr-Schroeder , T. J. Moore , & L. D. English (Eds.), Handbook of research on STEM education (pp. 361–374). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429021381 Lynch, S. J., Peters-Burton, E. E., Behrend, T., House, A., Ford, M., Spillane, N., ... Means, B. (2018). Understanding inclusive STEM high schools as opportunity structures for underrepresented students: Critical components. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 55(5) 712–748. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21437

Margot, K. C., & Kettler, T. (2019). Teachers' perception of STEM integration and education: A systematic literature review. International Journal of STEM Education, 6(1), 2–18.

Moore, T. J., Johnston, A. C., & Glancy, A. W. (2020). STEM integration: A synthesis of conceptual frameworks and definitions. In Handbook of research on STEM education. New York: Routledge. Moyer-Packenham, P. S., Kitsantas, A., Bolyard, J. J., Huie, F., & Irby, N. (2009). Participation by STEM faculty in mathematics and science partnership activities for teachers. Journal of STEM Education, 10(3/4), 1–21.

Murphy, T., & Mancini-Samuelson, G. J. (2012). Graduating STEM competent and confident teachers: The creation of a STEM certificate for elementary education majors. Journal of College Science Teaching, 42(2), 18–23.

Nadelson, L. S., & Seifert, A. L. (2016). Putting the pieces together: A model K-12 teachers' educational innovation implementation behaviors. Journal of Research in Innovative Teaching, 9(1), 47–67.

NGSS Lead States . (2013). Next generation science standards: For states, by states. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

Nowikowski, S. H. (2017). Successful with STEM? A qualitative case study of pre-service teacher perceptions. The Qualitative Report, 22(9), 2312–2333.

Partnership for 21st Century Skills . (2009). A framework for twenty-first century learning. www.p21.org/ Peters-Burton, E. E. (2014). Is there a nature of STEM? School Science and Mathematics, 114, 99–101. Pinnell, M. , Rowly, J. , Preiss, S. , Franco, S. , Blust, R. , & Beach, R. (2013). Bridging the gap between engineering design and PK-12 curriculum development through the use the STEM education quality framework. Journal of STEM Education, 14(4), 9.

Ring, E. A., Dare, E. A., Crotty, E. A., & Roehrig, G. H. (2017). The evolution of teacher conceptions of STEM education throughout an intensive professional development experience. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 28(5) 444–467. https://doi.org/10.1080/1046560X.2017.1356671

Roehrig, G. H., Moore, T. J., Wang, H-H., & Park, M. S. (2012). Is adding the E enough? Investigating the impact of K-12 engineering standards on the implementation of STEM integration. School Science and Mathematics, 119, 31–44.

Schuster, D., Buckwalter, J., Marrs, K., Prittchet, S., Sebens, J., & Hiatt, B. (2012). Aligning university-based teacher preparation and new STEM teacher support. Science Educator, 21(2), 39–44.

Schwartz, R. S., & Gess-Newsome, J. (2008). Elementary science specialists: A pilot study of current models and a call for participation in the research. Science Educator, 17(2), 19–30.

Shernoff, D. J., Sinha, S., Bressler, D. M., & Ginsburg, L. (2017). Assessing teacher education and professional development needs for the implementation of integrated approaches to STEM education. International Journal of STEM Education, 4(1), 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-017-0068-1

Smolleck, L. D., Zembal-Saul, C., & Yoder, E. P. (2006). The development and validation of an instrument to measure preservice teachers' self-efficacy in regard to the teaching of science as inquiry. Journal of Science Teacher Education, 17(2), 137–163.

Sondergeld, T. A., Johnson, C. C., & Walton, J. B. (2016). Assessing the impact of a statewide STEM investment on K - 12, higher education, and business/community STEM awareness over time. School Science and Mathematics, 116(2) 104–110. https://doi.org/10.1111/ssm.12155

Sondergeld, T. A., Provinzano, K., & Johnson, C. C. (2020). Investigating the impact of an urban community school effort on middle school STEM-related student outcomes over time through propensity score matched methods. School Science and Mathematics, 120(2) 90–103. https://doi.org/10.1111/ssm.12387.

Stehle, S. M., & Peters-Burton, E. E. (2019). Developing student 21st century skills in selected exemplary inclusive STEM high schools. International Journal of STEM Education, 6(39). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-019-0192-1

Stohlmann, M., Moore, T., & Roehrig, G. (2012). Considerations for Teaching Integrated STEM Education. Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-PEER), 2(1) 28–34. https://doi.org/10.5703/1288284314653

Wambugu, P. W., & Changeiywo, J. M. (2008). Effects of mastery learning approach on secondary students' physics achievement. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science, and Technology Education, 4(3), 293–302. Wang, H.-H., Charoenmuang, M., Knobloch, N. A., & Tormoehlen, R. L. (2020). Defining interdisciplinary collaboration based on high school teachers' beliefs and practices of STEM integration using a complex designed system. International Journal of STEM Education, 7(1), 3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-019-0201-4 Weiss, I. R., Banilower, E. R., McMahon, K. C., & Smith, P. S. (2001). 2000 National survey of science and mathematics education. Chapel Hill, NC: Horizon Research, Inc.

Wiswall, M., Stiefel, L., Schwartz, A. E., & Boccardo, J. (2014). Does attending a STEM high school improve student performance? Evidence from New York City, Economics of Education Review, 40, 93–105.